Corrections to the Flood and Drainage Rating Model Review - Statement of Proposal

Page	Reference	Previous Version	Correction
16	Figure 9: *Lower Taieri Drainage Scheme – Proposed Scheme Boundary Movements*	The map shows an incorrect extent for the proposed additions to the Lower Taieri Drainage Scheme, particularly along the Maungatua foothills to the north and north-east of Outram.	Figure 9 has been replaced with the corrected map. Boundary smoothing has refined the scheme margins and excludes land that does not form part of the proposed drainage scheme, particularly along the Maungatua foothills north and north-east of Outram.
22	*Section 7.4 Properties that fall partially within the scheme*	This section is missing.	The missing section has been added. This section explains the treatment of properties that extend across a scheme boundary, or more than one flood protection zone.
25	Figure 13: *Lower Taieri Drainage Scheme – Proposed Benefit Zones*	The East and West Taieri benefit zones are based on the incorrect drainage scheme boundary shown in the published Figure 13.	Figure 13 has been replaced with the corrected benefit-zone map. The corrected map aligns the benefit zones with the corrected drainage scheme boundary, including excluding the foothill land north and north-east of Outram that is not proposed to form part of the scheme.
26	Figure 14: *Drainage Rates Differentials*	The indicative drainage-rate differentials shown in the chart are incorrect. The chart label also contains a typo. 2025/22025/26 rates requirement	Figure 14 has been replaced with the corrected chart. The corrected indicative rates per hectare are: **West Taieri:** Business $260, Residential $220, Lifestyle $180 and Rural $120. **East Taieri:** Business $212, Residential $180, Lifestyle $147 and Rural $98. The typo in the chart title has been corrected. 2025/26 rates requirement Also, see below Figure 14: Drainage Rates Differentials, numerical changes

30	Table 2: *Preliminary rates impact comparison for typical properties in Lower Clutha*	The indicative Option 4 rate for the typical rural property in **Stirling** is shown incorrectly as **$5,730**.	The figure for **Stirling** Option 4 has been corrected to **$6,880**. The other figures in Table 2 are unchanged.

Figure 14: Drainage Rates Differentials, numerical changes

Land-use category	West Taieri: previous version	West Taieri: correction	East Taieri: previous version	East Taieri: correction
Business	$283	$260	$236	$212
Residential	$240	$220	$200	$180
Lifestyle	$196	$180	$163	$147
Rural	$131	$120	$109	$98

While updating the document, the opportunity was taken to improve some supporting information and formatting.

This can be seen in the table below.

Page	Reference	Previous Version	Current Version
4	Executive summary, first paragraph	These schemes reduce flood risk, support community resilience	These schemes reduce flood risk to land and property, support community resilience
4	Executive summary, fourth paragraph	or to increase the total scheme budgets.	or to increase the total scheme fundings.
4	Executive summary	No equivalent paragraph.	This proposal deals with the method of collection for targeted rate funding for each scheme consistent with Council's current Funding and Finance Policy, it does not propose any change to the current proportion of general rate and targeted funding for the schemes.

10	Section 3.2.1, critical infrastructure	Dunedin airport, power and three waters infrastructure.	Dunedin airport, electricity and three waters infrastructure.
13	Section 3.3, Activities and costs covered	Ends after: The proposal is intended to apply to targeted rates used to fund flood protection and drainage activities for all of the schemes.	Adds: The proposal has not included a review of the current proportion of general rate and targeted funding for the schemes set through Councils Funding and Finance Policy.
17	Section 4, funding history	The schemes were funded by Central Government until 1989. Since then, local ratepayers have funded the development, operation and maintenance of the schemes.	Local ratepayers fund the majority of the development, operation and maintenance of the schemes.
17	Section 5, first sentence	The current rating models were developed before the 2000s and have evolved	The current rating models were developed and modified over several decades, and have evolved
17	Section 5, final sentence of first paragraph	Council has identified the need for a clear, defensible, and easily communicated ratings approach that has community support.	a clear, defensible, and easily communicated ratings approach, with a recent opportunity for community consultation.