

From: [Terry](#)
To: [RPS](#)
Subject: Submission on Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement 2021
Date: Friday, 3 September 2021 2:55:35 p.m.
Attachments: [ORC RPS 2021=TW Sub.pdf](#)

Submission attached (pdf).

TW

Submission on Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement 2021

(Submissions must be received by Otago Regional Council by 3 pm Friday 3 September 2021)

To: Otago Regional Council

1. **Name of submitter** *(full name of person/persons or organisation making the submission. Note: The submissions will be referred to by the name of the submitter)*

Terry Wilson

2. This is a submission on the **Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement 2021**.
3. I ~~could~~/**could not** *(Select one)* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. *(See notes to person making submission)*
4. I ~~am~~/**am not** *(Select one)* directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that
- a. adversely affects the environment; and
 - b. does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition *(See notes to person making submission)*
5. I ~~wish~~/**do not wish** *(Select one)* to be heard in support of my submission

6. If others make a similar submission, I **will/will not** (Select one) consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing

7. Submitter Details

a. Signature of submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)

TW

b. Signatory name, position, and organisation (if signatory is acting on behalf of a submitter organisation or group referred to at Point 1 above)

Name

Position

Organisation

c. Date

2/9/2021

Address for service of submitter (This is where all correspondence will be directed)

d. Contact person (name and designation, if applicable)

Terry Wilson

e. Email:

tww@slingshot.co.nz

f. Telephone:

tww@slingshot.co.nz

g. Postal address (or alternative method of service under [section 352](#) of the Act):

tww@slingshot.co.nz

8. My submission is:

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are:	support or oppose or wish to amend this provision:	The reasons for my views are:	I seek the following decision from the local authority:
MW-01	amend	The principles of The Treaty Of Waitangi have been corrupted by self-interest and political ideology and do not represent the actual Treaty – as signed. Interpretations of the principles change according to who does the interpreting. An example of this type of corruption is Kāi Tahu’s “Treaty Principles” – as revealed in MW-P2. This variability means that “the principles” cannot be part of this RPS.	In this RPS, replace all occurrences of “Principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi” (and similar) with “The Treaty Of Waitangi”. Refer to The Treaty, not the principals of The Treaty.
MW-01	amend	You propose to give <u>effect</u> to the principles of the treaty. RPS 2019 is less reckless, it requires that the principles are “are taken into account”. The difference is large and you don’t seem to understand how dangerous this change is.	Do not use the word “effect”. Require that The Treaty is “considered” in determining resource management decisions.
MW-01	amend	Fraudulent interpretations of The Treaty have created the expectation of a “partnership approach” (co-governance) between councils and the tribes. The Treaty Of Waitangi does not mention “partnership” or “power-	Recognise that the “Treaty Partnership” is fraudulent and remove all mention of this from all parts of this RPS.

		sharing” – the aim of The Treaty is the opposite: for all people to be subjects of The Crown – one government for all – equal rights and responsibilities.	
MW-01	amend	The RPS allows Kai Tahu to exercise influence, kaitiakitaka etc over areas covering all of Otago. This right is not included in The Treaty and tramples over our property rights. Democracy, property rights and the rule of law are what separates 1 st -world countries from 3 rd -world countries. Compromising our property rights would be harmful to our prosperity and well-being.	Restrict the areas of influence of Kai Tahu and the other tribes to the Maori land reserves and property that they own.
MW-P2	oppose	These ways of implementing the principles of the treaty bear no similarity to The Treaty and the various interpretations of the principles of The Treaty. Instead this demonstrates Kai Tahu’s selfishness and willingness to promote its commercial interests. Aukaha also represents the commercial interests of Ngai Tahu Corporation and needs to disclose this.	Remove all of MW-P2