From:	Portia King
To:	<u>RPS</u>
Cc:	Hugh Loughnan; Andrew Hill; Karin Lepoutre; 4263529 - MoE RMA Monitoring Contract; Stuart Graham; Resource Management; Kate Graham
Subject:	Submission on the Proposed Regional Policy Statement - Ministry of Education
Date:	Friday, 27 August 2021 3:53:06 p.m.
Attachments:	image009.png image010.png image011.png image012.png Ministry of Education Submission on the ORC Proposed RPS.pdf

Kia ora

Please find attached a submission on the Proposed Regional Policy Statement on behalf of the Ministry of Education.

Ngā mihi

Portia King Planner Beca DDI: +64 3 374 3160 www.beca.com





Sensitivity: General

NOTICE: This email, if it relates to a specific contract, is sent on behalf of the Beca company which entered into the contract. Please contact the sender if you are unsure of the contracting Beca company or visit our web page http://www.beca.com for further information on the Beca Group. If this email relates to a specific contract, by responding you agree that, regardless of its terms, this email and the response by you will be a valid communication for the purposes of that contract, and may bind the parties accordingly. This e-mail together with any attachments is confidential, may be subject to legal privilege and applicable privacy laws, and may contain proprietary information, including information protected by copyright. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not copy, use or disclose this e-mail; please notify us immediately by return e-mail and then delete this e-mail.





FORM 5

Submission on publicly notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation under Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

То:	Otago Regional Council
Name of submitter:	Ministry of Education ('the Ministry')
Address for service:	C/- Beca Ltd PO Box 13960 Christchurch 8141
Attention:	Portia King
Phone:	(03) 374 3160
Email:	Portia.King@beca.com

This is a submission on the Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement ('Proposed RPS'). The Ministry previously provided feedback to Otago Regional Council in response to the draft Otago Regional Policy Statement in March 2021.

The Ministry has previously provided feedback on the draft RPS and we commend Council for taking into consideration that feedback as part of the proposed RPS.

The specific parts of the proposal that the Ministry of Education's submission relates to are:

The Ministry of Education ('the Ministry') have provided feedback on provisions in the Proposed RPS relating to drinking water and freshwater, regionally significant infrastructure, transport, and urban development.

Background:

The Ministry of Education is the Government's lead advisor on the New Zealand education system, shaping direction for education agencies and providers and contributing to the Government's goals for education. The Ministry assesses population changes, school roll fluctuations and other trends (including policy directions) and challenges impacting on education provision at all levels of the education network to identify changing needs within the network so the Ministry can respond effectively.

The Ministry has responsibility not only for all State schools owned by the Crown, but also those State schools that are not owned by the Crown, such as designated character schools and State integrated schools. For the Crown owned State school this involves managing the existing property portfolio, upgrading and improving the portfolio, purchasing and constructing new property to meet increased demand, identifying and disposing of surplus State school sector property and managing teacher and caretaker housing.

The Ministry has many schools within the Otago Region and is therefore a considerable stakeholder in terms of the policy direction and rules that may impact on existing and future educational facilities and assets in the Otago region

The Ministry of Education's submission

The Ministry's detailed comments on specific provisions within the proposed RPS are provided in the table below and generally support specific provisions in relation to:

- Safe drinking water
- The consideration of additional infrastructure requirements as part of growth planning
- The provision of a well-integrated public transport system.

The Ministry would welcome the opportunity to discuss these points further as development of the Proposed RPS progresses.

The key Ministry contact person is Stuart Graham. Contact details for Stuart are:

Stuart Graham Infrastructure Manager- Asset Planning +64 3-378 7886 <u>Stuart.Graham@education.govt.nz</u>

The Ministry wishes to be heard in support of their submission.

Plking

Portia King Planner – Beca Ltd Consultant to the Ministry of Education

Date: 27/08/2021

Table A. Comments on specific provisions of the Proposed RPS.

Page Number	RPS Provision	Issue and Explanation or Reasons	Proposed Alternative Text (shown in <u>underline (addition) and strikethrough (delete)</u>
	Reference		
LF – Land a	and Freshwater	– Te Mana o Te Wai	
Policies			
129	LF-FW-P7 –	The Ministry supports that the use of freshwater and land is managed	
	Fresh water	so that drinking water supplies are safe for human consumption (as outlined in provision 4) as this will include school drinking water supplies.	
Methods			
133	LF-FW-M6 – Regional plans	The Ministry support the inclusion of provision (4)(f) which provides for community drinking water supplies as it includes school water supply.	
Anticipated	Environmental	Results	
136	LF-AER7	The Ministry supports this outcome that requires water in Otago's aquifers is suitable for human consumption as it will include water for school use/consumption.	
INF – Infras	structure		
Objectives			
158	EIT-INF-P17 – Urban growth and infrastructu	The Ministry supports this policy to the extent that additional infrastructure includes schools, and additional infrastructure should be provided for in urban growth.	
TRAN - Tra	nsport		
Policies			
161	EIT-TRAN- P19 -	The Ministry supports this policy to the extent that well-integrated active transport infrastructure enables easy and safe access to	
	Transport system design	schools. The safety of school staff and students travelling to and from school is important to the Ministry.	
161	EIT-TRAN-	The Ministry supports this policy that enhances the uptake of public	
	P20 – Public transport	transport while ensuring the safety and amenity for pedestrians and cyclists. The safety of school staff and students travelling to and from school is important to the Ministry.	
Methods			1

163	EIT-TRAN- M8 – District plans	The Ministry supports provision (3) requiring that district plans include design standards that recognise the needs of the entire community, including children. The Ministry supports provision (4) to prevent the development of activities that may compromise the safety of the transport system and negatively impact on the safety of school staff and students.	
Anticipated	d Environmental	Results	
UFD - Urba	In Form and Dev	elopment	
Objectives			
186	UFD-O2 – Urban areas	The Ministry supports this policy on the basis that schools are considered under the term 'additional infrastructure' and need to be provided for in the development of urban areas.	
Policies			
187	UFD-P1 – Strategic planning	The Ministry supports this policy on the basis that schools are considered under the term 'additional infrastructure' and need to be considered as part of the strategic planning process.	
188	UFD-P3 – Urban intensificati on	The Ministry acknowledges that schools are considered under the term 'additional infrastructure' in part (2) of this policy. The policy requires intensification to be serviced by additional infrastructure however it does not consider the capacity of that additional infrastructure.	The Ministry request that this policy be amended as follows: (2) is well-served by existing or planned development infrastructure and additional infrastructure <u>that has sufficient</u> capacity.
190	UFD-P4 – Urban expansion	The Ministry supports this on the basis that schools are considered under the term 'additional infrastructure' which need to be integrated as part of any urban expansion consideration.	
190	UFD-P8 – Rural lifestyle and rural residential zones	The Ministry supports this on the basis that schools are considered under the term 'additional infrastructure' and will need to be considered in the development of lifestyle blocks.	
191	UFD-P10 – Criteria for significant developmen t capacity	The Ministry supports provision (4)(c) to include the location and capacity of education facilities as a criterion for determining where increasing development capacity is appropriate. This will contribute to ensuring that adequate capacity of schools is possible where population growth is expected and provides the opportunity for the Ministry and Council to collaborate in the early stages of development.	
Methods	1		

192	UFD-M1 – Strategic planning	The Ministry supports this method to the extent that additional infrastructure considerations will be integrated into the strategic planning processes of all ORC's territorial authorities.		
Anticipated Environmental Results				
	UFD-AER2	The Ministry supports this AER on the basis that schools are considered under the term 'additional infrastructure'.		