

From: [Erin Auchterlonie](#)
To: [RPS](#)
Cc: [Michelle Mors](#); [Alyson Hutton](#)
Subject: Further Submission on the ORC PRPS by QLDC
Date: Friday, 12 November 2021 4:45:40 p.m.
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[ORC PRPS21 FS points by QLDC.docx](#)

Hi,

Please see attached the Further Submission by QLDC on the ORC Proposed RPS.

Kind regards,
Erin

Erin Auchterlonie | Strategy and Development
Policy and Performance Advisor | Corporate Services
Queenstown Lakes District Council
DD: +64 3 450 1789 | P: +64 3 441 0499
E: erin.auchterlonie@qldc.govt.nz



Form 6

Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission on notified proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement 2021

(Submissions must be received by Otago Regional Council by 5pm on Friday 12 November 2021, and by original submitters within 5 working days of service on ORC)

To: Otago Regional Council

1. Name of person making further submission

Queenstown Lakes District Council

2. This is a further submission in support of (or in opposition to) a submission on the **Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement 2021**.

3. I am (tick whichever applies and add grounds if required):

Yes	A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest. In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or
	a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has. In this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or
Grounds:	
Yes	the local authority for the relevant area.

4. I **wish/do not wish** (Select one) to be heard in support of my further submission.

5. If others make a similar submission, I **will/will not** (Select one) consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

6. Further Submitter Details

a. Signature of person making further submission

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter. A signature is NOT required if you make your submission by electronic means).

b. Signatory name, position, and organisation *(if signatory is acting on behalf of a submitter organisation or group referred to at Point 1 above)*

Name

Position

Organisation

c. Date

12/11/2021

Address for service of person making further submission *(This is where all correspondence will be directed)*

d. Contact person *(name and designation, if applicable)*

Erin Auchterlonie

e. Email: *(this is our preferred means of contact)*

Erin.auchterlonie@qldc.govt.nz

f. Telephone:

03 441 0499

g. Postal address (or alternative method of service under [section 352](#) of the Act):

10 Gorge Road, Queenstown 9300



Private Bag 50072, Queenstown 9348, New Zealand
QUEENSTOWN, 10 Gorge Road, Phone +64 3 441 0499, Fax +64 3 450 2223
WANAKA, 47 Ardmore Street, Phone +64 3 443 0024, Fax +64 3 450 2223

12 November 2021

Via email: rps@orc.govt.nz

FURTHER SUBMISSION TO THE OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL’S REGIONAL POLICY STATEMENT

Thank you for providing the Queenstown Lakes District Council (QLDC) with the opportunity to further submit on the abovementioned document.

Queenstown-Lakes District Council initial submission broadly supports the intent of the ORC with regard to the development of the Regional Policy Statement (RPS). That submission outlined key details and recommendations under each section. In summary, QLDC supported some provisions of the proposed RPS, and sought amendments to other provisions in the below sections:

- QLDC seeks amendments to the Significant Resource Management Issues
- QLDC generally supports the policy statement with a few amendments sought for Air
- The section on Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity has some amendments sought
- Land and Freshwater is for the most part supported by QLDC with a few amendments
- In Energy and Infrastructure, there are sections supported and opposed by QLDC and some amendments sought
- QLDC seeks amendments are made to the Transport Provisions
- Hazards and Risks provisions have several amendments sought
- QLDC supports all provisions on Historical and Cultural Values
- Natural Feature and Landscapes section is predominantly supported by QLDC and,
- Urban Form and Development section has conditional support

In its further submission (attached), QLDC details our position on submissions, as it relates to the views and priorities of QLDC and the district.

QLDC would welcome the opportunity to be heard on its submission. It should be noted that this submission represents the view of officers and has not yet been ratified by full council. Thank you again for the opportunity to comment.

Yours sincerely,



Jim Boulton
Mayor



Mike Theelen
Chief Executive

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
AgResearch Limited			
00208.001 00208.004 00208.008	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend SRMR – I4 to include “potential primary production activities”; Amend LF – LS – E4 to land used “predominantly” for primary production; New rules for “substantial setbacks from residential areas for some typical rural activities”.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Aotearoa Water Action (AWA)			
00502.001	Support in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: Amend SRMR – 15 “Some of these uses largely beneficial effects on the environment and communities; in contrast, other uses of water can have unacceptable adverse effects”.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Aurora Energy Limited			
00315.035 00315.036 00315.037	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend ECO – P3, P4, P5, P6 by adding a carve out for infrastructure.

00315.038 00315.039 00315.040 00315.047			Amend ECO – M4, M5 to delete reference to ECO – P3. Amend EIT – INF – P11 to delete reference to ECO – P4.
00315.043	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: EIT – INF – O4 Delete “within environmental limits”.
00315.045	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend EIT – INF – O6 to include “the national grid and distribution network”.
00315.054	Support in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: Amend EIT – INF – P16 to change maintain to “provide for”.
00315.059	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend EIT – INF – M6 to Local authorities must “Initiate a private plan change to remedy the significant adverse effects.”
00315.074 00315.075	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend NFL – P2, P3 by adding a carve out for infrastructure.
00315.080	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend UFD – P4 to add “while restricting the establishment of those activities that may result in reverse sensitivity effects”.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited			
00409.009 00409.013	Support in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: Amend LF – VM – P6, LF – FW – P7 to include “in consultation with Kāi Tahu and the community”.
00409.014	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed:

			Amend LF – LS – P19 to delete “cohesiveness” from land used for primary production.
00409.016	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend LF – LS – P21 to add “where practicable” to reducing discharges of contaminants to water.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Beef & Lamb NZ and Deer Industry NZ			
00237.011	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend SRMR – I5 to remove reference to goal of improving freshwater quality within 5 years.
00237.012	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend SRMR – I6 to describe and distinguish effects of urban development to the same extent as agricultural land uses.
00237.026	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend LF – VM – O2 to add “where necessary to ensure” for discharges of nutrients and other contaminants.
00237.049	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Delete ECO chapter and redraft when the NPS-IB has been made operative.
00237.060 00237.061	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend NFL – O1 to replace ‘protection’ with ‘sustainment’. Amend NFL – P2 to avoiding “more than minor” adverse effects on landscape values.
00237.063	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Rewrite UFD Topic.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Blackthorn Lodge Glenorchy Limited			
00119.009	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend LF – FW – O9 to add “discernible” to no reduction in ecosystem health.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Boxer Hill Trust			
00025.004 00025.005	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Delete UFD – P8(1) “the land is adjacent to existing or planned urban areas and ready access to employment and services is available”.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Cain whānau			
00010.004	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: MW – P4 shall be given primacy over any other provision in this RPS.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].

Central Otago District Council			
00201.006 00201.007	Support	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: IM – M2 Support partnering with mana whenua, consulting with communities, and working with other key stakeholders.
00201.011	Support	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: AIR – M3 Support in principle providing for walking and cycling connectivity and active transport options in the District Plan, reducing dependence on motor vehicles. Support managing spatial growth by consolidation of towns and urban development will assist by making active transport options a more attractive option.
00201.025	Support	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: Amend ECO – M7 to state that the responsibility of monitoring ecosystems will sit with the Regional council.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Central Otago Winegrowers Association			
00302.001 00302.002 00302.003	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: A compelling requirement for engagement with primary industry, landowners, representative associations and related parties should form part of the overriding context of the pORPS.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed):
----------------------------------	-------------------	--	---

			[Please state].
Chorus, New Zealand Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited and Vodafone New Zealand			
00310.014	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend NFL – P2 to exclude infrastructure from Protection of outstanding natural features and landscape.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Christchurch International Airport Limited			
00307.001	Oppose	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission, and because the amendment sought would create significant uncertainty regarding the meaning and application of the definition of RSI, including uncertainty regarding the status of airports that aren't specifically listed in the notified version of the definition, which is intentionally precise.	I seek that the submission point seeking amendments to the definition of regionally significant infrastructure be disallowed.
00307.014	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend ECO – P6 to delete "if the residual adverse effects cannot be compensated for in accordance with APP4, the activity is avoided."

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].

Contact Energy Limited			
00318.011	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: "In the Clutha Mata – au FMU: (5) "effective migration of" indigenous species along and within the river system is "maintained or where practicable improved".
00318.015	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend LF – FW – P13 to delete "(i) for effects on indigenous biodiversity, either ECO – P3 or ECO – P6 (whichever is applicable)...".
00318.034	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend NFL – P2 to Protect outstanding natural features and landscapes "from inappropriate subdivision, use and development" by: (1) avoiding "significant" adverse effects.
00318.035	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend NFL – P3 to delete "(1) Avoiding significant adverse effects on the values of the natural feature or landscape"

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Director-General of Conservation			
00137.081 00137.078 00137.077 00137.079 00137.080	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Insert a new method LF – LS and LF – FW as follows or words to like effect: "x. Local authorities must: 1. establish a long term monitoring programme that incorporates cultural health monitoring; 2. record information (including monitoring data) about the state of land and soils and the challenges to their health and well-being;

			and regularly prepare reports in the matters in (1) and (2) and publish those reports.”
00137.149	Support in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: Amend NFL – P5 to provide buffer distances from wilding conifers to ONFs and ONLs

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Federated Farmers of New Zealand			
00239.192	Support in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: Adopt a comprehensive glossary of all te reo terms utilised in the RPS.
00239.164	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Delete NFL – P2 and replace with an approach consistent with Policy 3.2.4 of the partially operative RPS.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Fire and Emergency New Zealand - Te Kei Region Otago Southland			
00219.006	Support in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: Enable the sharing of registers and mapping layers of significant places/sites/areas to enable joint planning activities and foster shared situational awareness.
00219.016	Support in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: Amend LF – FW – M7 (3) so that “water sensitive urban design techniques” to consider firefighting

			water supplies when managing subdivision, use or development of land.
00219.017	Support in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: Amend UFD – O4 so that FENZ have input into what is deemed to be "suitable" for expansion/development in terms of ability to service the community and mitigate fire risk in rural areas.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Fulton Hogan Limited			
00322.004	Support in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: Include a new statement in Part 2 of the RPS as follows. "Aggregates are a vital component of everyday life including as a key construction material for regionally and nationally significant infrastructure...".
00322.027	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Delete ECO – P2 (identify the areas and values of significant natural areas).

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Glenpanel Limited Partnership			
00405.015 00405.016	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend NFL – O1 to insert "inappropriate subdivision, use and development" into protection of outstanding natural features and landscapes.

			Define “inappropriate development”, rather than just seeking that ONLs/ ONFs be “protected”.
00405.017	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend NFL – P2 to insert “inappropriate subdivision, use and development”.
00405.003	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend UFD – O3 to allow strategic planning by the proponent of a private plan change.
00405.014	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend UFD – P10 clause (5) to also enable smaller contributions to housing.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga			
00123.009	Support in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: Insert a new appendix: for Archaeological Requirements.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Horticulture New Zealand			
00236.111	Support in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: Include a glossary of all te reo terms and phrases.
00236.051	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend AIR – E1 to add “Territorial authorities will include provisions in district plans for spatial distribution and separation in district plan provisions for urban development.”

00236.052	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: "Otago has an urban form that takes into account the effects of activities, including potential reverse sensitivity effects in the spatial distribution and separation of sensitive activities from activities that discharge to air."
-----------	----------------	---	--

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Infinity Investment Group Holdings Limited			
00414.002 00414.003 00414.004 00414.006	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend LF – LS – P19 to change "prioritising" the use of highly productive land for primary production to "supporting" and delete "ahead of other land uses" Amend UFD – O4, UFD – P4, and UFD – P8 to delete "avoids as the first priority, land and soils".
00414.005	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend UFD – P7 to delete "7. otherwise limits the establishment of residential activities, sensitive activities, and non-rural businesses to those that can demonstrate an operational need to be located in rural areas."

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Lane Hocking			
00210.037 00210.039 00210.043	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed:

			<p>Amend objective NFL – O1 to not provide for unqualified protection, but to reflect a more nuanced approach from the policy level.</p> <p>Remove recognition of highly valued section 7 landscape matters.</p> <p>Recognise a differential level of character and values for landscapes that are open, modified, or otherwise degraded, as opposed to truly 'natural' and unmodified landscapes (both at a policy and objective level, as well as at a schedule level when identifying landscapes).</p>
00210.045	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend or delete all urban growth provisions
00210.049 00210.050	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: UFD – remove all protections of development on rural land generally. Remove all references to amenity values, rural character and reverse sensitivity.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
LAC Properties Trustees Limited			
00211.039 00211.043	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	<p>I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Remove recognition of highly valued section 7 landscape matters.</p> <p>Recognise a differential level of character and values for landscapes that are open, modified, or otherwise degraded, as opposed to truly 'natural' and unmodified landscapes (both at a policy and objective level, as well as at a schedule level when identifying landscapes).</p>

00211.045	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend or delete all urban growth provisions
00211.049 00211.050	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: UFD – remove all protections of development on rural land generally. Remove all references to amenity values, rural character and reverse sensitivity.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Meridian Energy Limited			
00306.033	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend LF – FW – O8 to delete “(2) water flow is continuous throughout the whole system”.
00306.073	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: For NFL – M, NF - E, NFL – PR and NFL – AER, delete all references to highly valued natural features and landscapes in the NFL methods, explanations, principal reasons and anticipated environmental results.
00306.070	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Delete NFL – P3

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Minister for the Environment			
00136.003	Support in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: Amend LF –WAI–P1 – Prioritisation to “in all decision – making affecting freshwater”.

00136.011	Support in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: Amend or clarify UFD – P4 – Urban expansion to ensure it clearly does not limit territorial authorities' ability to give effect to NPS – UD Subpart 2 requirements.
-----------	-----------------	---	--

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Maryhill Limited			
00118.064	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend MFL – O1 to not provide for unqualified protection, but to reflect a more nuanced approach from the policy level.
00118.066		For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend or delete all urban growth provisions

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Mt Cardrona Station			
00014.064	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend MFL – O1 to not provide for unqualified protection, but to reflect a more nuanced approach from the policy level.
00014.066		For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend or delete all urban growth provisions.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed):
----------------------------------	-------------------	--	---

			[Please state].
New Zealand Infrastructure Commission, Te Waihanga			
00321.022	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Provide for a broad carve out for infrastructure is needed to access the effects management hierarchy (ECO – P4).

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Ltd			
00115.030	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Delete NFL – P3, or amend so as to achieve the following: ensuring development within such areas achieves appropriate integration with that landscape.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Off Road Adventures Limited			
00205.003	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Review the extent of Outstanding Natural Landscapes in the Queenstown Lakes District; with affected landowners to be involved in that review process.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Otago Water Resource Users Group			
00235.020	Support in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: Include te reo terms in the interpretation section.
00235.141 00235.142	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend Policy NFL – P2 as follows: (3) recognising that for infrastructure, EIT – INF – P13 applies instead of NFL – P2. Amend NFL – P3 as follows: (2) recognising that for infrastructure, EIT – INF – P13 applies instead of NFL – P3.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
PowerNet Limited			
00511.028	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Delete NFL – P3, or amend to “Avoiding, remedying or mitigating adverse effects on the values of the natural feature or landscape.”

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited			
00313.018	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend EIT – INF – P11 to delete ““Except as provided for by ECO – P4””.

00313.029	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend NFL – P2 to add “(3) recognising that for infrastructure, EIT – INF – P13 applies instead of NFL – P2(1) and (2).”
-----------	----------------	---	--

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)			
00230.009	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Add a method for district councils to provide for and protect key civic public spaces.
00230.014	Support in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: Amend definition of Rural Area – If rural production is the focus of rural areas then national parks and land held for other purposes should not be considered “rural area”. Include a definition which sets out the meaning for “rural area” where that term is used in the RPS, rather than a default.
00230.108	Support in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: Amend ECO – P9 to include (2) avoiding afforestation and replanting of plantation forests with wilding conifer species listed in APP5 within: (a) areas identified in a district plan as being of high amenity values; (b) outstanding natural features and landscapes.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Shaping our Future			

00013.001	Support in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: The ORC to establish funding and a group of Kai Tahu, key experts, local, regional and central government, key stakeholders, and community members to follow through the recommendations contained in the Shaping our Future Wakatipu Water report and prepare a plan of direct community and stakeholder actions.
-----------	-----------------	---	--

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Te Rūnanga o Moeraki, Kāti Huirapa Rūnaka ki Puketeraki, Te Rūnanga o Ōtākou, Hokonui Rūnanga (Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha)			
00226.279	Support in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: Amend HCV – WT – M1 to enable Kāi Tahu to identify wāhi tūpuna sites, areas and values, “where appropriate, using the guide set out in APP7”.
00226.284	Support in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: Amend HCV – WT – AER1 to “Wāhi tūpuna areas and places” are identified in the relevant regional and district plans
00226.290	Support in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: Amend HCV – HH – P6 to “Enhance places and areas of historic heritage through: a. the implementation of plan provisions, b. decisions on applications for resource consent and notices of requirement, c. the integration of historic heritage values into new activities, d. enabling adaptive

			reuse or upgrade of historic heritage places and areas, and e. non – regulatory methods”.
00226.293	Support in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: Amend HCV – HH – M5 Territorial authorities must prepare or amend and maintain their district plans to “(2) enable Kāi Tahu to identify places and areas with historic heritage values for mana whenua in accordance with HCV – HH – P4 that are located outside the beds of lakes and rivers, wetlands and the coastal marine area”
00226.294	Support in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: Amend HCV – HH – M6 Local authorities are encouraged to use other mechanisms or incentives to assist in achieving Policies HCV – HH – P3 to HCV – HH – P7, including: ... “(2) enabling Kāi Tahu to interpret places and areas with historic heritage values for mana whenua”.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Toitū Te Whenua, Land Information New Zealand			
00101.060	Support in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC’s Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be allowed: Amend NFL – P5 so that afforestation and planting of invasive species and plantation forest species, not just wilding conifers, is prevented from entering the highly valued features and landscapes in the Otago region. For example, the use of lupins should be prevented in high country landscapes.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed):
---	--------------------------	---	--

			[Please state].
Transpower New Zealand Limited			
00314.047	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend NFL – P2 to add “in the case of the development of the National Grid, seeking to avoid adverse effects on the values that contribute to the natural feature or landscape being considered outstanding, and (1) above does not apply”.
00314.048	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend NFL – P2 to add “3. avoiding, remedying or mitigating adverse effects of the development of the National Grid and (1) above does not apply.”

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Trojan Holdings			
00206.030	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend LF – FW – O9 to add “discernible” to no reduction in ecosystem health.
00206.043	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend ECO – P3 protect significant natural areas and indigenous species and ecosystems that are taoka by: (1) avoiding adverse effects that result in: (a) any “discernible” reduction of the area or values.
00206.064	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend NFL – P2 to Protect outstanding natural features and landscapes “from inappropriate subdivision, use and development” by: (1) avoiding “significant” adverse effects.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Trustpower Limited			
00311.024	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend ECO – P6 to add “significant” to adverse effects.
00311.059 00311.060	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend NFL – O1, NFL – P2 to add (1): the protection of ONF/ONL “from inappropriate subdivision, use and development”.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Tussock Rise Limited			
00401.003 00401.005	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend UFD – O3 and UFD – P1 to make it clear the proponent of a private plan change can also do strategic planning as part of providing for a competitive land market and increasing housing supply.
00401.006	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend UFD – P2 to replace the word ‘Sufficient’ with ‘Significant’ or ‘More than sufficient’
00401.011	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend UFD – P10 (4) and (5) to also enable smaller or moderate contributions.
00401.012	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend UFD – M2 (1)(a) and (b) to provide for greater flexibility by allow for departures from the future development strategy and a local authority

			adopted strategic plan at the discretion of the local authority.
--	--	--	--

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Universal Developments Haweia Limited			
00209.037 00209.039 00209.043	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend objective NFL – O1 to not provide for unqualified protection, but to reflect a more nuanced approach from the policy level. Remove recognition of highly valued section 7 landscape matters. Recognise a differential level of character and values for landscapes that are open, modified, or otherwise degraded, as opposed to truly 'natural' and unmodified landscapes (both at a policy and objective level, as well as at a schedule level when identifying landscapes).
00209.045	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend or delete all urban growth provisions.
00209.049 00209.05	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: UFD – remove all protections of development on rural land generally, remove all references to amenity values, rural character and reverse sensitivity.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Waterfall Park Developments Limited			

00023.005 00023.006	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Delete UFD – P8(1) “the land is adjacent to existing or planned urban areas and ready access to employment and services is available”.
------------------------	----------------	---	--

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Wayfare Group Ltd			
00411.042	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend LF – FW – O9 to add “discernible” to no reduction in ecosystem health.
00411.055	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend ECO – P3 protect significant natural areas and indigenous species and ecosystems that are taoka by: (1) avoiding adverse effects that result in: (a) any “discernible” reduction of the area or values.
00411.078	Oppose in part	For the reasons outlined in QLDC's Original Submission.	I seek that part of the submission be disallowed: Amend NFL – P2 to Protect outstanding natural features and landscapes “from inappropriate subdivision, use and development” by: (1) avoiding “significant” adverse effects.

Original submission point number	Support OR Oppose	The reasons for my support/opposition are:	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed): [Please state].
Natural Hazards			

00103.003	Oppose	QLDC supports the RPS defining 'significant risk', and supports the removal of existing use rights as an option to reduce risk.	Disallow submission point.
00418.002	Oppose	QLDC supports the RPS defining 'significant risk', and supports the removal of existing use rights as an option to reduce risk.	Disallow submission point.
00420.014	Support	Options to reduce risk should not be restricted.	Allow submission point
00012.002	Oppose	QLDC supports the RPS defining 'significant risk', and supports the removal of existing use rights as an option to reduce risk.	Disallow submission point.
00211.030	Oppose	QLDC supports the approach in the RPS.	Disallow submission point.
00211.031	Oppose	Mitigation measures should be taken into account following the initial risk assessment.	Disallow submission point.
00211.032	Oppose	QLDC generally supports the precautionary approach in the RPS.	Disallow submission point.
00210.030	Oppose	QLDC supports the approach in the RPS.	Disallow submission point.
00210.031	Oppose	Mitigation measures should be taken into account following the initial risk assessment.	Disallow submission point.
00210.032	Oppose	QLDC generally supports the precautionary approach in the RPS.	Disallow submission point.
00205.004	Oppose	Inconsistent with QLDC's submission.	Disallow submission point.
00205.005	Oppose	Management of existing activities may be necessary in some cases.	Disallow submission point.
00308.001	Oppose	QLDC supports the RPS defining 'significant risk', and supports the removal of existing use rights as an option to reduce risk.	Disallow submission point.
00217.002	Oppose	QLDC supports the RPS defining 'significant risk', and supports the removal of existing use rights as an option to reduce risk.	Disallow submission point.

00028.002	Oppose	QLDC supports the RPS defining 'significant risk', and supports the removal of existing use rights as an option to reduce risk.	Disallow submission point.
00029.002	Oppose	QLDC supports the RPS defining 'significant risk', and supports the removal of existing use rights as an option to reduce risk.	Disallow submission point.
00223.118	Supports	Aligns with QLDC's submission.	Allow the submission point.
00216.002	Oppose	QLDC supports the RPS defining 'significant risk', and supports the removal of existing use rights as an option to reduce risk.	Disallow submission point.
00215.003	Oppose	QLDC supports the RPS defining 'significant risk', and supports the removal of existing use rights as an option to reduce risk.	Disallow submission point.
00214.002	Oppose	QLDC supports the RPS defining 'significant risk', and supports the removal of existing use rights as an option to reduce risk.	Disallow submission point.
00011.002	Oppose	QLDC supports the RPS defining 'significant risk', and supports the removal of existing use rights as an option to reduce risk.	Disallow submission point.
00209.030	Oppose	QLDC supports the approach in the RPS.	Disallow submission point.
00209.031	Oppose	Mitigation measures should be taken into account following the initial risk assessment.	Disallow submission point.
00209.032	Oppose	QLDC generally supports the precautionary approach in the RPS.	Disallow submission point.
00237.056	Support	The gathering of information is important.	Allow the submission point.
00119.017	Oppose	Implications for Policy 28.3.1.2 of the QLD PDP need to be considered.	Disallow submission point to the extent is causes inconsistencies with Chapter 28 of the QLD PDP.
00139.191	Support	Consistent with QLDC's submission.	Allow the submission point.

00122.030	Oppose	It may be necessary for individual developments to be avoided.	Disallow the submission point.
00223.114	Support	Aligns with QLDC's submission.	Allow the submission point.
00230.135	Oppose	The practicalities of this inclusion need to be considered further.	Disallow the submission point.
00230.136	Oppose	The practicalities of this inclusion need to be considered further.	Disallow the submission point.
00139.194	Support	The change is helpful.	Allow the submission point.
00230.137	Oppose	The practicalities of this inclusion need to be considered further.	Disallow the submission point.
00119.015	Oppose	The suggested change needs further consideration.	Disallow the submission point.
00201.039	Oppose	Clarity would be helpful but regional level will not always be appropriate.	Disallow the submission point.
00139.195	Support	Guidance would be helpful.	Allow the submission point.
00236.085	Oppose	Clarity would be helpful but local authorities will not always be appropriate.	Disallow the submission point.
00321.075	Support	Clarity would be helpful.	Allow the submission point
00301.047	Support	Clarity would be helpful.	Allow the submission point
00206.054	Oppose	The suggested change needs further consideration.	Disallow the submission point.
00411.068	Oppose	The suggested change needs further consideration.	Disallow the submission point.
00509.104	Oppose	The intent of the change is not clear.	Disallow the submission point.

00315.061	Oppose	Nationally and regionally significant infrastructure should avoid areas of significant risk. 'appropriately' is not a helpful term to use in the policy.	Disallow the submission point.
00139.196	Support	The clarity sought would be helpful, although use of 'avoid' is considered appropriate.	Allow the submission point in part (retain 'avoid').
00022.021	Oppose	Functional need should not be a reason to establish activities in areas of significant risk.	Disallow the submission point.
00118.056	Oppose	QLDC generally supports the approach in the RPS.	Disallow the submission point.
00014.056	Oppose	QLDC generally supports the approach in the RPS.	Disallow the submission point.
00115.027	Oppose	The changes are considered unnecessary.	Disallow the submission point.
00314.044	Oppose	Nationally and regionally significant infrastructure should avoid areas of significant risk. 'appropriately' is not a helpful term to use in the policy.	Disallow the submission point.
00206.055	Oppose	The change is considered unnecessary.	Disallow the submission point.
00411.069	Oppose	The change is considered unnecessary.	Disallow the submission point.
00315.062	Oppose	The policy should not be deleted.	Disallow the submission point.
00119.016	Support	The addition is helpful.	Allow the submission point.
00310.009	Oppose	Clause 5 should not be deleted.	Disallow the submission point.
00226.251	Support	The amendment appears helpful.	Allow the submission point.
00118.057	Oppose	QLDC generally supports the approach in the RPS.	Disallow the submission point.

00014.057	Oppose	QLDC generally supports the approach in the RPS.	Disallow the submission point.
00321.077	Support	The clarification sought appears useful	Allow the submission point.
00301.049	Oppose	The amendment appears unnecessary as there is a separate policy for new activities.	Disallow the submission point.
00121.082	Oppose	Use of 'reduce' is supported.	Disallow the submission point.
00206.056	Oppose	The addition would change the intent of the policy.	Disallow the submission point.
00411.070	Oppose	The addition would change the intent of the policy	Disallow the submission point.
00239.136	Oppose	The policy should not be deleted.	Disallow the submission point.
00121.083	Oppose	The policy should not be deleted.	Disallow the submission point.
00139.198	Support	Additional explanation may be helpful.	Allow the submission point.
00118.058	Oppose	QLDC generally supports the approach in the RPS.	Disallow the submission point.
00014.058	Oppose	QLDC generally supports the approach in the RPS.	Disallow the submission point.
00226.254	Support	The additions are appropriate.	Allow the submission point.
00311.054	Oppose	The addition is unhelpful as it does not identify 'some circumstances'.	Disallow the submission point.
00119.020	Oppose	The deleted text should be retained.	Disallow the submission point.
00013.015	Oppose	The addition is not necessary and may cause implementation issues.	Disallow the submission point.

00139.205	Support	The amendment is practical.	Allow the submission point.
00236.088	Oppose	The timeframe is not reasonable.	Disallow the submission point.
00235.130	Oppose	The timeframe is not reasonable.	Disallow the submission point.
00121.086	Oppose	The deleted text should be retained.	Disallow the submission point.
00206.057	Oppose	The deleted text should be retained.	Disallow the submission point.
00411.071	Oppose	The deleted text should be retained.	Disallow the submission point.
00119.021	Oppose	The ability to manage existing uses should be identified as an available method.	Disallow the submission point.
00239.139	Oppose	The precautionary approach is appropriate.	Disallow the submission point.
00236.089	Support	The addition is appropriate.	Allow the submission point
00235.131	Support	The addition is appropriate.	Allow the submission point
00301.052	Oppose	The deleted text should be retained.	Disallow the submission point.
00121.087	Oppose	The precautionary approach is appropriate.	Disallow the submission point.
00206.058	Oppose	The ability to manage existing uses should be identified as an available method.	Disallow the submission point.
00411.072	Oppose	The ability to manage existing uses should be identified as an available method.	Disallow the submission point.
00239.140	Oppose	The precautionary approach is appropriate.	Disallow the submission point.

00236.090	Support	The addition is appropriate.	Allow the submission point.
00235.132	Support	The addition is appropriate.	Allow the submission point.
00121.088	Oppose	The precautionary approach is appropriate.	Disallow the submission point.
00119.023	Oppose	The proposed wording requires further consideration.	Disallow the submission point.
00119.024	Oppose	The proposed wording requires further consideration.	Disallow the submission point.
00119.025	Oppose	The deletion of 'reduces' is opposed.	Disallow the submission point.
0119.026	Oppose	The change is not appropriate.	Disallow the submission point.
00239.142	Oppose	The change is not appropriate.	Disallow the submission point.
00119.029	Oppose	The proposed changes require further consideration.	Disallow the submission point.
00119.030	Oppose	The proposed changes require further consideration.	Disallow the submission point.
00119.031	Oppose	The proposed changes require further consideration.	Disallow the submission point.
00119.032	Oppose	The proposed changes require further consideration.	Disallow the submission point.
00119.033	Oppose	The proposed changes require further consideration.	Disallow the submission point.
00139.206	Support	The change is practical.	Allow the submission point.
00239.189	Oppose	The proposed changes require further consideration.	Disallow the submission point.

00415.001	Support	The changes appear appropriate, but will need to be considered further.	Allow the submission point.
00415.002	Support	The changes appear appropriate, but will need to be considered further.	Allow the submission point.
00206.075	Oppose	The proposed changes require further consideration.	Disallow the submission point.
00206.076	Oppose	The proposed changes require further consideration.	Disallow the submission point.
00411.090	Oppose	The deletion is unnecessary.	Disallow the submission point.
00411.091	Oppose	The proposed changes require further consideration.	Disallow the submission point.
00411.092	Oppose	The proposed changes require further consideration.	Disallow the submission point.
00411.093	Oppose	The proposed changes require further consideration.	Disallow the submission point.
00411.094	Oppose	The proposed changes require further consideration.	Disallow the submission point.