Form 6

Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission on notified proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement 2021 – Freshwater Planning Instrument parts

To: Otago Regional Council

1. Name of person making further submission

Te Rūnanga o Moeraki, Kāti Huirapa Rūnaka ki Puketeraki, Te Rūnanga o Ōtākou and Hokonui Rūnanga (collectively **Kāi Tahu ki Otago** or **Kā Rūnaka**)

- 2. This is a further submission in support of (*or* in opposition to) a submission on the **Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement 2021 Freshwater**Planning Instrument parts.
- **3.** I am (tick whichever applies and add grounds if required):

	A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest. In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or
	a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has. In this case,
•	also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or
Grounds:	Te Rūnanga o Moeraki, Kāti Huirapa Rūnaka ki Puketeraki, Te Rūnanga o Ōtākou and Hokonui Rūnanga are Kāi
	Tahu Papatipu Rūnaka holding mana whenua in the Otago Region and have lodged an original submission on the
	Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement 2021 – Freshwater Planning Instrument parts. The interests of mana
	whenua, which include rakatirataka and kaitiakitaka interests in the management of natural resources, are set out
	in the original submission.

4.	wish/do not wish	(Select one) to be	heard in	suppor	t of my	, further	submission
-----------	------------------	-------------	---------	----------	--------	---------	-----------	------------

5. If	f others make a similar subn	ission , I will/will r	ot (Select one) con:	isider presenting	a i	joint case with	them at a	hearing
--------------	------------------------------	--	----------------------	-------------------	-----	-----------------	-----------	---------

6. Further Submitter Details

a. Signature of person making further submission

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter. A signature is NOT required if you make your submission by electronic means).

b. Signatory name, position, and organisation (if signatory is acting on behalf of a submitter organisation or group referred to at Point 1 above)

Name Dr Kate Timms Dean

Position General Manager: Mana Taiao

Organisation Aukaha (1997) Ltd

c. Date

3 February 2023

Address for service of person making further submission (This is where all correspondence will be directed)

d. Contact person (name and designation, if applicable)

Sandra McIntyre, Principal Planner

e. Email: (this is our preferred means of contact)

sandra@aukaha.co.nz

f. Telephone:

021 246 4138

g. Postal address (or alternative method of service under <u>section 352</u> of the Act):

P O Box 446, Dunedin 9054

7. My further submission is: - As set out in Appendix A below

Appendix A — Kā Rūnaka further submission on submissions to the Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement 2021 -Freshwater Planning Instrument parts

Preliminary comment: We note that several submitters have submitted on PORPS provisions that are not part of the freshwater planning instrument. While Kāi Tahu ki Otago do not necessarily agree with the way the PORPS has been split into two processes, submission points on provisions that have been identified by Otago Regional Council as "non-freshwater parts" should not be accepted by the Freshwater Hearings Panel as they are outside the scope of this process.

Provision	Submission point	Support/Oppose	Reason	Allow / Disallow					
Submitter: Beef &	Submitter: Beef & Lamb NZ and Deer Industry NZ (FPI025)								
SRMR-I6	FPI025.011	Oppose	It is appropriate to recognise the effects of these activities, and it is not adequate to refer to the Stock Exclusion Regulations and the NESF. Both of these instruments provide for more stringent restrictions to be set if required to achieve freshwater outcomes.	Disallow					
LF-FW-P7	FPI025.028	Oppose	The matter raised by the submitter would be more appropriately considered in the Land and Water Regional Plan.	Disallow					
LF – LS – General submission	FPI025.044	Oppose	Kāi Tahu ki Otago do not support the relief requested if it is intended to delete provisions that achieve freshwater outcomes. Kāi Tahu understanding is that all parts of the environment (te taiao) are interconnected, and that it is important to reflect this through holistic management. To give effect to Te Mana o te Wai, the effects of land use and development on the health and wellbeing of water bodies and their associated ecosystems must be managed.	Disallow					
Submitter: Central	l Otago Winegrowers As	ssociation (FPI009)							
LF-WAI-PR1	FPI009.005	Oppose	Mauri is a central concept for Kāi Tahu in freshwater management, and Kāi Tahu ki Otago do not support deletion of reference to mauri from the principal reasons for the Te Mana o te Wai provisions.	Disallow					
LF-FW-O8	FPI009.007	Oppose	The relief sought is an inappropriate way to give effect to the NPSFM 2020	Disallow					

Provision	Submission point	Support/Oppose	Reason	Allow / Disallow
LF-FW-M6	FPI009.009	Oppose	The matter raised by the submitter would be more appropriately considered in the Land and Water Regional Plan.	Disallow
Submitter: Contact E	nergy Limited (FPI027	<u>')</u>		
LF-WAI-P1	FPI027.016	Oppose	The relief sought is not consistent with the NPSFM 2020.	Disallow
LF-VM-P6	FPI027.022	Oppose	The policy is not an appropriate place to recognise section 3.31 of the NPSFM.	Disallow
General submission	FPI027.038	Support	Kāi Tahu ki Otago support use and correct spelling of the traditional place names.	Allow
DairyNZ Limited (FPI	024)	1	·	,
RMIA-WAI-I1	FPI024.013	Oppose	The relief sought is inappropriate. The issue reflects the position of Kāi Tahu as mana whenua, which is the basis for this section.	Disallow
LF-WAI-PR1	FPI024.017	Oppose	Mauri is a central concept for Kāi Tahu in freshwater management, and Kāi Tahu ki Otago do not support deletion of reference to mauri from the principal reasons for the Te Mana o te Wai provisions.	Disallow
General submission	FPI024.041	Oppose	The matters raised by the submitter would be more appropriately considered in the Land and Water Regional Plan.	Disallow
Submitter: Director-	General of Conservation	on (FPI044)		
LF-VM-O2	FPI044.008	Support	The relief sought will better give effect to the NPSFM 2020. In particular, Kāi Tahu ki Otago support a vision for restoration of the values of wetlands in the Clutha Mata-au catchment.	Allow
LF-VM-O2	FPI044.009	Support	The relief sought will better give effect to the NPSFM 2020.	Allow
LF-VM-O3	FPI044.010	Support	The relief sought will better give effect to the NPSFM 2020. In particular, Kāi Tahu ki Otago support a vision for restoring populations of indigenous species.	Allow
LF-VM-O4	FPI044.011	Support	The relief sought will better give effect to the NPSFM 2020. In particular, Kāi Tahu ki Otago support a vision for restoring populations of indigenous species.	Allow

Provision	Submission point	Support/Oppose	Reason	Allow / Disallow
LF-VM-O5	FPI044.012	Support	The relief sought will better give effect to the NPSFM 2020.	Allow
LF-VM-O6	FPI044.013	Support	The relief sought will better give effect to the NPSFM 2020.	Allow
Submitter: Dunedin (City Council (FPI001)			
LF-WAI - General submission	FPI001.005	Oppose	Kāi Tahu ki Otago support better integration of provisions between the Land and Freshwater and Coastal Environment chapters but the relief sought would not appropriately give effect to the NPSFM 2020.	Disallow
LF-WAI-P1	FPI001.007	Oppose	The matter raised by the submitter would be more appropriately considered in the Land and Water Regional Plan.	Disallow
LF – VM – O2	FPI001.008	Support	Kāi Tahu ki Otago support a vision to address the effects of disruption of natural sediment transport processes. This would assist in giving effect to te Mana o te Wai.	Allow
LF – VM – O4	FPI001.010	Oppose	The matters raised by the submitter would be more appropriately considered in the Land and Water Regional Plan	Disallow
LF-VM-05	FPI001.012	Oppose	The relief sought would not appropriately give effect to the NPSFM 2020.	Disallow
DEF - New provision - Community drinking water supply	FPI001.030	Support in part	Kāi Tahu ki Otago agree a definition of this term would add clarity. The appropriate scope of the definition will depend on the context in which it is used throughout the PORPS and requires further consideration.	Allow subject to consideration of specific wording
LF-FW-M6	FPI001.032	Oppose	A definition of "off-stream storage" is unnecessary as the meaning is commonly understood.	Disallow
DEF - New provision - water sensitive urban design	FPI001.036	Support	The relief requested would improve clarity and certainty for decision-making.	Allow
Submitter: Federated	Farmers of New Zea	land (FPI026)		
LF-WAI-01	FPI026.018	Oppose	The relief sought would not appropriately give effect to the NPSFM 2020. The submission point misinterprets reference in the NPSFM 2020 to "restoring and	Disallow

Provision	Submission point	Support/Oppose	Reason	Allow / Disallow
			preserving the balance between the water, the wider environment, and the community"	
LF-WAI-PR1	FPI026.020	Oppose	The submission point misinterprets reference in the NPSFM 2020 to "restoring and preserving the balance between the water, the wider environment, and the community"	Disallow
LF-VM-O4	FPI026.024	Oppose	The relief requested does not appropriately recognise the relationship of Kāi Tahu with the Upper Taiari Scroll Plain.	Disallow
LF-VM-P5	FPI026.027	Oppose	Kai Tahu ki Otago support consideration of the Taiari as a whole catchment, recognising its interconnectedness ki uta ki tai.	Disallow
LF-FW-M7	FPI026.033	Oppose	Kāi Tahu ki Otago do not support new storage dams being constructed in rivers, as this will modify the natural behaviour of the river and will not give effect to te Mana o te Wai. (Note that the submission point is tagged to LF-FW-M7, but the content appears to be directed at LF-FW-M6.)	Disallow
MAP1	FPI026.038	Oppose	Kai Tahu ki Otago support consideration of the Taiari as a whole catchment, recognising its interconnectedness ki uta ki tai.	Disallow
Submitter: Fulton Ho	ogan Limited (FPI033)			
LF-WAI-P1 [This is miscoded to LF-WAI-O1 in the SODR]	FPI033.001	Oppose	The matters raised by the submitter would be more appropriately considered in the Land and Water Regional Plan	Disallow
LF-VM – General submission	FPI033.002	Oppose	The matters raised by the submitter would be more appropriately considered in the Land and Water Regional Plan	Disallow
General submission	FPI033.009	Oppose	The matter raised by the submitter would be more appropriately considered in the Land and Water Regional Plan.	Disallow

Provision	Submission point	Support/Oppose	Reason	Allow / Disallow
General submission	FPI033.010	Oppose	The matters raised by the submitter would be more appropriately considered in the Land and Water Regional Plan.	Disallow
Submitter: Highton,	John (FPI007)			
RMIA-WAI-I3	FPI007.004	Oppose	The relief sought is inappropriate. The issue reflects the position of Kāi Tahu as mana whenua, which is the basis for this section.	Disallow
LF-FW-M6	FPI007.017	Oppose	The matter raised by the submitter would be more appropriately considered in the Land and Water Regional Plan.	Disallow
Submitter: Horticulti	ure NZ (FPI047)			
General submission	FPI047.001	Oppose	The relief sought would be inconsistent with the NPSFM.	Disallow
General submission	FPI047.004	Oppose	The relief sought would be inconsistent with the NPSFM.	Disallow
General submission	FPI047.07	Oppose	The relief sought would be inconsistent with the NPSFM.	Disallow
LF-WAI-P1	FPI047.013	Oppose	The relief sought is not consistent with the NPSFM 2020.	Disallow
LF-WAI-PR1	FPI047.014	Oppose	The relief relates to the submitter's request for a definition of "essential human health" that includes food production. This is not consistent with the NPSFM 2020.	Disallow
LF-FW-M6	FPI047.025	Oppose	The relief sought is an inappropriate way to give effect to the NPSFM 2020 and to achieve the objectives of and this PORPS.	Disallow
Submitter: Manawa	Energy Limited (FPI02	2)		
LF-WAI-P1	FPI022.004	Oppose	The relief sought is not consistent with the NPSFM 2020.	Disallow
LF-VM-O4	FPI022.005	Oppose	Kāi Tahu ki Otago consider the relief sought overstates the significance of the listed schemes and is not consistent with the NPSFM 2020 approach that limits special provision to the hydro-electric schemes listed in Section 3.31 of that NPS.	Disallow

Provision	Submission point	Support/Oppose	Reason	Allow / Disallow
LF-FW-P7	FPI022.006	Oppose	A policy protecting all future generation output goes further than the NPSREG requirements and would not be consistent with requirements of the NPSFM 2020.	Disallow
LF-FW-M6	FPI022.009	Oppose	The relief sought is an inappropriate way to give effect to the NPSFM 2020 and to achieve the objectives of this PORPS.	Disallow
Submitter: Manuher	ekia Catchment Group	(FPI005)		
RMIA-WAI-I5	FPI005.006	Oppose	The relief sought is inappropriate. The issue reflects the position of Kāi Tahu as mana whenua, which is the basis for this section.	Disallow
Submitter: McArthur	Ridge Vineyard Ltd (FPI041)		
LF-FW – New provision	FPI041.007	Oppose	The matters raised by the submitter would be more appropriately considered in the Land and Water Regional Plan.	Disallow
LF-FW-M6	FPI041.010	Oppose	The matters raised by the submitter would be more appropriately considered in the Land and Water Regional Plan.	Disallow
General submission	FPI041.014	Oppose	The matters raised by the submitter would be more appropriately considered in the Land and Water Regional Plan.	Disallow
General submission	FPI041.015	Oppose	The matters raised by the submitter would be more appropriately considered in the Land and Water Regional Plan.	Disallow
General submission	FPI041.016	Oppose	Referring to" primary production" rather than "agriculture" throughout the whole RPS will not be appropriate in all places that "agriculture" is currently used. "Primary production" is defined in the National Planning Standards to include mining, quarrying and forestry.	Disallow
Submitter: Meridian	Energy Limited (FPI01	16)		
LF-WAI-O1	FPI016.010	Oppose	Te Mana o te Wai is focused on the needs of water bodies. The matter raised by the submitter is not appropriately located in the Te Mana o te Wai objective.	Disallow

Provision	Submission point	Support/Oppose	Reason	Allow / Disallow
LF-WAI-P1	FPI016.011	Oppose	The matter raised by the submitter is not appropriately located in this policy, which sets out the Te Mana o te Wai hierarchy of priorities.	Disallow
LF-WAI-PR1	FPI016.012	Oppose	The matter raised by the submitter is not appropriately located in the principal reasons for the Te Mana o te Wai provisions.	Disallow
LF-FW-M6	FPI016.017	Oppose	The relief sought is an inappropriate way to give effect to the NPSFM 2020 and to achieve the objectives of this PORPS.	Disallow
LF-FW - New provision	FPI016.018	Oppose	Consideration of the extent to which use of water storage is appropriate in various circumstances would be better addressed in development of the Regional Land and Water Plan.	Disallow
Submitter: Moutere	Station Ltd (FPI023)			
RMIA-WAI-I1	FPI023.006	Oppose	The relief sought is inappropriate. The issue reflects the position of Kāi Tahu as mana whenua, which is the basis for this section.	Disallow
LF-FW-P7	FPI023.010	Oppose	The relief sought is an inappropriate way to give effect to the NPSFM 2020	Disallow
Submitter: Ngāi Tahu	ı ki Murihiku (FPI042)			
General submission - Over-allocation	FPI042.001	Support	While over-allocation must be addressed through the FMU process in the Land and Water Regional Plan, it would be helpful to provide direction on this matter to guide decision-making until the new framework is in place.	Allow
General submission - Specified infrastructure	FPI042.002	Support	Dams and weirs can have significant impacts on freshwater bodies and the ecosystems they support.	Allow
LF-LS - General submission	FPI042.014	Support	Kāi Tahu ki Otago support better recognition of the relationship between forestry management and freshwater outcomes.	Allow
General submission	FPI042.132	Support	Kāi Tahu ki Otago support correct spelling of traditional place names.	Allow

Provision	Submission point	Support/Oppose	Reason	Allow / Disallow
DEF - Over-allocation	FPI042.140	Support	The relief requested would improve clarity and certainty for decision-making.	Allow
Submitter: NZSki Ltd	(FPI038)			
General submission	FPI038.001	Oppose	Recreational activities can encompass a wide range of activities with varying types of positive and adverse effects, and a single approach to this range of activities would not be appropriate. The Land and Water Regional Plan would be a more appropriate place to consider the matters raised.	Disallow
General submission	FPI038.003	Oppose	It is not appropriate to replace this term throughout the PORPS, as the meaning will vary in different contexts.	Disallow
LF-FW-P9	FPI038.011	Oppose	The relief sought is inconsistent with the NPSFM 2020.	Disallow
LF-FW - New provision	FPI038.012	Support in part	Kāi Tahu ki Otago support improvement of access to wetlands for the purposes in LF-FW-P10. However, any provision for recreational access must be subject to being consistent with achieving these purposes.	Allow in part, to the extent that it is consistent with LF-FW-P10
Submitter: Oceana Go	old (New Zealand) Ltd	d (FPI031)		
LF-WAI-P1	FPI031.004	Oppose	The matter raised by the submitter would be more appropriately considered in the Land and Water Regional Plan.	Disallow
LF-VM-P6	FPI031.005	Oppose	It is not clear why the submitter considers the policy is inconsistent with the NPSFM 2020.	Disallow
Submitter: Otago Fis	h & Game Council an	d the Central South Is	land Fish & Game Council (FPI037)	
DEF – Natural environment	FPI037.002	Oppose	The proposed definition is too limited, and the value in attempting to define the natural environment is questioned. The proposed definition also does not provide for Kāi Tahu whakapapa connections to te taiao.	Disallow
DEF - Minimise	FPI037.003	Oppose	The definition of common terms used in policies should be avoided as this is too restrictive; meaning will vary in different contexts; and is confusing when these terms are used elsewhere in the PORPS. Common or case law	Disallow

Provision	Submission point	Support/Oppose	Reason	Allow / Disallow
			interpretation provides a better vehicle for understanding these terms.	
DEF – Precautionary approach	FPI037.004	Oppose	The meaning of this term will vary in different contexts, and common or case law interpretation provides a better vehicle for understanding in the specific context.	Disallow
DEF -Restore	FPI037.005	Oppose	The definition of common terms used in policies should be avoided as this is too restrictive; meaning will vary in different contexts; and is confusing when these terms are used elsewhere in the PORPS. Common or case law interpretation provides a better vehicle for understanding these terms.	Disallow
LF-FW-O8	FPI037.015	Oppose in part	The relief requested implies stronger provision for the habitat of trout and salmon than is intended in the NPSFM 2020 and the distinction between section 6 and section 7 matters in the RMA.	Disallow
LF-FW-New provision	FPI037.021	Support	Kāi Tahu ki Otago support collaboration on development of an approach for managing conflicts between salmonid and indigenous freshwater species.	Allow
LF-LS-M11	FPI037.022	Support	It would be appropriate to recognise these tools as methods for achieving freshwater outcomes.	Allow
General submission	FPI037.050	Oppose	Although consistency of terminology is helpful, use of a single term across the document may not appropriately reflect the meaning in its context.	Disallow
Submitter: Otago Wa	ter Resource Users G	roup (FPI043)		
LF-VM-O2	FPI043.001	Oppose	Water storage is a tool for freshwater management, rather than an environmental outcome, and consideration of the extent to which use of this tool is appropriate would be better addressed in development of the Regional Land and Water Plan.	Disallow
LF – VM – O4	FPI043.002	Oppose	Water storage is a tool for freshwater management, rather than an environmental outcome, and consideration of the extent to which use of this tool is appropriate would be better addressed in development of the Regional Land and Water Plan.	Disallow

Provision	Submission point	Support/Oppose	Reason	Allow / Disallow
LF-FW – General submission	FPI043.003	Oppose in part	Kāi Tahu ki Otago agree that timeframes for transition to achieving the long-term visions are needed. However, this matter is more appropriately considered through the Land and Water Regional Plan FMU process.	Disallow
SRMR-I6	FPI043.023	Oppose	Mauri is a central concept for Kāi Tahu in freshwater management, and Kāi Tahu ki Otago do not support deletion of reference to mauri from the issue.	Disallow
LF-WAI-O1	FPI043.051	Oppose	Mauri is a central concept for Kāi Tahu in freshwater management, and Kāi Tahu ki Otago do not support deletion of reference to mauri from the Te Mana o te Wai objective.	Disallow
LF – WAI – New AER	FPI043.054	Oppose	The wording sought is not consistent with the direction of the NPSFM 2020.	Disallow
LF-WAI-PR1	FPI043.057	Oppose	Mauri is a central concept for Kāi Tahu in freshwater management, and Kāi Tahu ki Otago do not support deletion of reference to mauri from the principal reasons for the Te Mana o te Wai provisions.	Disallow
LF – WAI – AER2	FPI043.058	Oppose	Mauri is an inseparable part of the relationship of Kāi Tahu whānui with Otago's water bodies. Reference to mauri in LF-WAI-AER2 appropriately reflects LF-WAI-O1.	Disallow
LF-FW-M6	FPI043.069	Oppose	Kāi Tahu ki Otago do not support new storage dams being constructed in rivers, as this will modify the natural behaviour of the river and will not give effect to te Mana o te Wai. (Note that the submission point is incorrectly tagged to LF-FW-M7 but relates to LF-FW-M6.)	Disallow
DEF – Effects management hierarchy (Other Matters)	FPI043.080	Oppose	The suggested approach of using a single definition to establish a policy approach to managing a wide range of effects on differing resources and values is not supported.	Disallow

LF-LS-M11	FPI036.004	Support	It would be appropriate to recognise these tools as	Allow
			methods for achieving freshwater outcomes.	
Submitter: Queensto	wn Lakes District C	Council (FPI046)		
LF-FW-P9	FPI046.011	Oppose	The definition of common terms used in policies should be avoided as this is too restrictive; meaning will vary in different contexts; and is confusing when these terms are used elsewhere in the PORPS. Common or case law interpretation provides a better vehicle for understanding these terms.	Disallow
LF-FW - General submission	FPI046.015	Oppose	The relief sought is not appropriate to give effect to the NPSFM 2020. The submission point misinterprets reference in the NPSFM 2020 to "restoring and preserving the balance between the water, the wider environment, and the community"	Disallow
DEF - Restoration	FPI046.028	Oppose	The definition of common terms used in policies should be avoided as this is too restrictive; meaning will vary in different contexts; and is confusing when these terms are used elsewhere in the PORPS. Common or case law interpretation provides a better vehicle for understanding these terms.	Disallow
Submitter: Rayonier	Matarki Forests (FI	PI014)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
LF-LS-M11	FPI014.004	Support	It would be appropriate to recognise these tools as methods for achieving freshwater outcomes.	Allow
Submitter: Realnz (F	PI039)			
General submission	FPI039.001	Oppose	Recreational activities can encompass a wide range of activities with varying types of positive and adverse effects, and a single approach to this range of activities would not be appropriate. The Land and Water Regional Plan would be a more appropriate place to consider the matters raised.	Disallow
General submission	FPI039.005	Oppose	It is not appropriate to replace this term throughout the PORPS, as the meaning will vary in different contexts.	Disallow
New definition – Minimise	FPI039.006	Oppose	The definition of common terms used in policies should be avoided as this is too restrictive; meaning will vary in	Disallow

			different contexts; and is confusing when these terms	
			are used elsewhere in the PORPS. Common or case law	
			interpretation provides a better vehicle for	
			understanding these terms.	
New definition – Natural environment	FPI039.007	Oppose	The proposed definition is too limited, and the value in attempting to define the natural environment is questioned. The proposed definition also does not	Disallow
			provide for Kāi Tahu whakapapa connections to te taiao.	
LF-FW-P9	FPI039.013	Oppose	The relief sought is inconsistent with the NPSFM 2020.	Disallow
LF-FW - New provision	FPI039.014	Support in part	Kāi Tahu ki Otago support improvement of access to wetlands for the purposes in LF-FW-P10. However, any provision for recreational access must be subject to being consistent with achieving these purposes.	Allow in part, to the extent that it is consistent with LF-FW-P10
Submitter: Royal For	est and Bird Protec	ction Society of New Zea	land Inc (FPI045)	
DEF - Specified infrastructure	FPI045.001	Support	The relief requested would improve clarity and certainty for decision-making.	Allow
LF – WAI – AER2	FPI045.007	Support	The relief requested would be appropriate to reflect outcomes that give effect to te Mana o te Wai.	Allow
Submitter: Transpov	ver New Zealand Li	mited (FPI013)		
LF – FW – P15	FPI013.003	Oppose	The requested relief would inappropriately limit the ability to give effect to the NPSFM 2020.	Disallow
Submitter: Waka Ko	tahi NZ Transport A	Agency (FPI018)		
LF – VM – O2	FPI018.001	Oppose	The matter raised by the submitter would be more appropriately considered in the Land and Water Regional Plan	Disallow
LF – VM – O5	FPI018.002	Oppose	The matter raised by the submitter would be more appropriately considered in the Land and Water Regional Plan	Disallow
Submitter: Wise Res	ponse Society (FPIC	035)		
LF-FW-O9	FPI035.012	Support	Kāi Tahu ki Otago support direction that works towards recovery of wetlands.	Allow
General submission	FPI035.031	Oppose	The matters raised by the submitter would be more appropriately considered in the Land and Water Regional Plan.	Disallow

Submitter: Z Energy Limited, BP Oil NZ Limited, MobilOil NZ Limited (The Fuel Companies) (FPI034)					
LF-FW-P7	FPI034.002	Oppose	The matter raised by the submitter would be more appropriately considered in the Land and Water Regional Plan.	Disallow	
LF-FW-M6	FPI034.004	Support	The amendments sought would be appropriate to give effect to the NPSFM 2020.	Allow	