4.2 Descriptions of prioritised activities and projects for 2018-21

The committees have prioritised the larger projects into two priority bands, taking into account the benefits that each project would help realise, whether it forms part of an integrated programme of works for a particular area, and whether it is of inter-regional significance. Projects for which insufficient information is available to judge how the results will align with RLTP strategy are included in the lower priority band; this applies to a suite of NZTA safety projects for Otago that are in the 2018 Transport Agency Investment Plan.

Integrated programmes of work are kept within a single prioritisation category, since all projects within such a programme need to be implemented in order to realise the anticipated benefits.

Priority One projects – Southland

NZTA

Project Name:	SH 1 - Edendale Realignment	Item No 19 & 23
	NZTA	
Organisation		Project Veero 2015 to 2019
Project Funding	\$13,178,058	Project Years 2015 to 2018
Project description	bend with an adjacent intersection and highway. Any expansion of Fonterra's p	s through the Edendale township and a right-angled level crossing all located within a short section of blant will generate additional traffic, which will travel I. The project will provide a bypass to the township, hterra plant.
Reason for priority	project improves safety for vehicles and The project supports economic growth	nce and capability, and regional development. The d other road users within the Edendale township. by providing a transport network that enables the to desired destinations as efficiently as practicable.
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes. This project supports economic gr journey between Southland, Otago and	owth within Otago and Southland and is on a key Canterbury (SH1)
Project Name:	SH 1 – Elles Road Roundabout	Item No 21
Project Name: Organisation	SH 1 – Elles Road Roundabout NZTA	Item No 21
		Item No 21 Project Years 2017 to 2025
Organisation	NZTA \$3,742,576 Realign highway approaches to existing	
Organisation Project Funding	NZTA \$3,742,576 Realign highway approaches to existing roundabout. Extend Lake Street to bec access to the industrial area. Benefits safety, also network performan Improved safety for all road users at this	Project Years 2017 to 2025 g intersection. Replace priority control with

Project Name:	SH 94 - Milford Rockfall/Avalanche Protection		Item No 24
Organisation	NZTA		
Project Funding	\$1,041,823 of \$13.7M	Project Years	2018-2020
Project description	Detailed business case and design eastern approach to tunnel. Relocations catch-fencing at two other locations	tion of visitor attraction/stop	•
Reason for priority	Benefits safety, also network perfor Improved safety for users and resili- lead to a reduction in losses for tour an on-going issue based on climation	ence of a key tourism route ism operators. Avalanche r	. Fewer highway closures will
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes. The project is located on the i Milford Sound.	nter-regional journey betwe	en Queenstown, Te Anau and

Project Name:	Visiting Drivers Signature Project		Item No 25
Organisation	NZTA		
Project Funding	\$2,006,437	Project Years	2019
Project description	Safety improvements for tourist drivers on the Sound route including ATP, pull-off areas, ba		on of the Queenstown - Milford
Reason for priority	Improved safety for users. Benefits regional development.		
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes.		

Explanation of the Priority One projects in Otago region

The RTCs have identified 29 of the projects proposed for Otago during 2018-21 as being of top priority (Priority One). This count excludes those projects deemed low cost and low risk. Eighteen of these are in Queenstown, six in Dunedin and five in other localities.

The Priority One projects proposed for Queenstown are part of an integrated approach to addressing problems facing this area. The Priority One projects proposed for Dunedin form an integrated approach to improving transport in the Dunedin city centre.

Queenstown

All of the Priority One projects for the Queenstown area are part of the integrated Queenstown programme business case (as is part of ORC's project of regional public transport infrastructure improvements).

The integrated programme business case developed for Queenstown is designed to address the following problems:

- Significant growth in visitors, residents and vehicles leads to increasing trip unreliability and worsening customer experience across the network.
- Car dominance and associated congestion is affecting the liveability and attractiveness of the area.

The benefits anticipated from addressing these problems are:

- improved network performance and customer experience for all users
- improved liveability and visitor experience.

Investment objectives, identified in conjunction with stakeholders, focus on improving mode share and people throughput, as well as on travel time reliability for both general traffic and public transport.

The recommended programme, selected from four alternative programmes, seeks to address the problems through a mix of infrastructural, public transport and behaviour change methods. Key activities include:

- Making public transport an attractive and viable alternative to the private car through improvements to service provision, and the introduction of bus priority, expanding the water taxi into a full ferry operation, park and ride and, beyond 2021, a mass transit corridor between Queenstown and Frankton.
- Altering costs, provision and management of parking to support reduction in the use of private cars and increase in the use of public transport (this change has already been initiated).
- Completing infrastructure projects for vehicular and active modes, including removing vehicle movements from the most congested areas of the town centre, providing better access for public transport and pedestrians, and a new town centre arterial to allow the town centre to expand.

The recommended programme also draws on the master-planning business case being developed for Queenstown's town centre. The masterplan is enabling the multi-agency partners to bring together, in a single spatial plan, the high-level transport elements of the proposed improvements to the town centre.

Two of the projects in this integrated programme are designed to support the Housing Infrastructure Fund projects, designed to assist this high growth council to advance infrastructure projects important to increasing housing supply.

Dunedin

The six Priority One projects proposed for Dunedin are part of an integrated approach to addressing area specific problems. The programme business case developed for Dunedin city centre, along with the cycle lanes on the one-way pair (the construction of which recently started) is designed to address the following problems:

- SH1, the railway and north/south arterial routes bisect areas of high pedestrian use resulting in dislocation and poor connectivity of key areas.
- The design, use and management of central city routes results in intermodal conflict.
- Poor management of car parking impacts adversely on safety, mode choice and amenity of the city.
- The design, management and lack of integration of public transport discourages use and leads to low patronage.

The benefits anticipated from addressing these problems are:

- improved safety
- reduced severance
- appropriate mode choice
- the central city is a 'nice place to be'
- greater resilience.

The recommended programme, selected from five alternative programmes, seeks to address the problems through the following key activities:

- Improvements to public transport, including the development of a central city bus hub.
- Infrastructure improvements to increase safety and accessibility, particularly for pedestrians and cyclists.
- Improvements to the cycle network to increase safety and increase cycling uptake (this work extends the network beyond the central city area).

Priority One projects – Otago

These project descriptions are grouped by the organisation proposing them.

Clutha District Council

Project Name:	Hina Hina Bridge Replacement		Item No 47
Organisation	CDC		
Project funding	\$2,729,000	Project years	2018 to 2019
Project description	Replacement of the Hina Hina Bridge.		
Reason for priority	This bridge is on the Southern Scenic Route journey used by tourists going to Jacks Bay Blowhole and to Purakaunui Falls in the Catlins. The bridge also is a critical lifeline for emergency services having to access the community (with the bridge access being 12.5 km shorter than the alternate route) Benefits safety and network resilience; supports regional development.		
Is it inter-regionally significant and why	Yes – the bridge is on a journey within the visitors.	e Southern Scenic Ro	ute area frequented by

Dunedin City Council

Project Name:	City to Harbour Cycle/Pedestrian Connection (Dunedin)	Item No 24
Organisation	DCC	
Project funding	\$11,331,000 Project years 2018 to 2	
Project description	The aim of this project is to improve the pedestrian and cycle connection the city centre and harbour. This will encourage redevelopment of the harbour improve accessibility between the centre city and outlying areas including and south Dunedin.	rside and will
Reason for priority	This project addresses some safety issues and responds to current and la demands – pedestrian and cyclist access to the harbour is currently poorly for. Benefits safety and network performance and capability. Enables greater voice and modality shifts.	y provided
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Νο	

Project Name:	Dunedin Urban Cycleways	Item No 25
Organisation	DCC	
Project funding	\$17,521,900	Project years 2018 to 2024
Project description	Improve Dunedin's urban cycleways with a appropriate level of service to encourage th	
Reason for priority	Addressing safety issues and responds to or are poorly provided for currently on the Dur Benefits safety and network performance a voice and modality shifts.	nedin network.
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	No	

Project Name:	Dunedin Central City Safety an	d Accessibility Upgrade	Item No 51
Organisation	DCC		
Project funding	\$17,507,000	Project years	2018 to 2024
Project description	This project arises from the Dunedin City Centre - Access, Mobility and Safety Strategic Case and Programme Business Case and will result in better use of existing capacity, greater transport choice, positive health outcomes, reduced environmental effects, and a secure and resilient network. Benefits safety and network performance and capability. Enables greater customer voice and modality shifts.		
Reason for priority	pedestrians and cyclists, and the The contribution to reducing deal as Dunedin city centre is the hots	for a significant reduction in injury crashes, including crashes involving nd cyclists, and the contribution to network access by all modes. on to reducing death and serious injury crashes for Otago is significant, ity centre is the hotspot for crashes involving pedestrians and cyclists. by and network performance and capability. Enables greater customer cessibility.	
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	No		

Project Name:	Tertiary Precinct (Dunedin)		Item No 54
Organisation	DCC		
Project funding	\$6,712,200	Project years 2018 to	2022
Project description		he campuses of the University of Otago ad accessibility by foot and cycle, and the	
Reason for priority	future proofing for these users. T important, and the area has man	e contribution to safety, pedestrian/cyc he tertiary institutions in Dunedin are re y vulnerable users during term time. ormance and capability. Enables greate	egionally
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	No		

NZTA

Project Name:	Wakatipu Walking/Cycling Improve	ments	Item No 26
Organisation	NZTA		
Project funding	13,132,800	Project years 201	8 to 2026
Project description	Walking and cycling facilities adjacen for residential areas of Shotover Cour Downs and the Wakatipu trails. Upgra Frankton to Queenstown as a safe al	ntry/Lake Hayes estate, Jacks ading of the existing Frankton t	Point/Henley rack connecting
Reason for priority	This project is part of the Queenstown Benefits safety and network performa voice and modality shifts.		
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes. These improvements will improv is an important part of national marke		

Project Name:	Park and Ride Facilities (Queenstown, including on SH6) Item No 29 & 71
Organisation	NZTA
Project funding	\$9.3M Project years 2018 to 2021
Project description	The Queenstown Integrated Transport Programme Business Case has identified park and ride facilities as being complimentary to the transport improvements in the Wakatipu Basin. These will need to be located adjacent to new areas of residential development where servicing the entire area by public transport is inefficient. One or more sites is likely to be needed alongside SH6. <i>For QLDC park and ride project; see project 36.</i>
Reason for priority	These two projects are part of the Queenstown integrated transport business case. They benefit network performance and capability and safety and regional development. They also enable greater customer voice and modality shifts.
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes. These improvements will improve the appeal of the area to visitors. Queenstown is an important part of national marketing of New Zealand to tourists.

Project Name:	Grant Road to Kawarau Falls Bridge (Queenstown)	Item No 65
Organisation	NZTA	
Project funding	\$22,001,139 Project yea	rs 2018 to 2021
Project description	Work necessary to complement development projects in the improvements for pedestrians, lighting, widening and utility is surrounding projects for Glenda Drive, Frankton BP Rounda Corridor Improvements between the BP Roundabout and Ka	ntegration. Includes about improvements and
Reason for priority	This project is part of the Queenstown integrated transport to Benefits network performance - reduced congestion and im existing corridor - also safety and regional development. En voice and modality shifts.	proved efficiency of
Is it inter-regionally significant and why? 2017 to 2020	Yes. This project is located on the inter-regional journey bet Queenstown. These improvements will improve the appeal Queenstown is an important part of national marketing of Ne	of the area to visitors.

Project Name:	SH 6 – Ladies Mile Corridor Impr	ovements (Queenstown)	Item No 70 & 79
Organisation	NZTA		
Project funding	\$8,289,605	Project years	2017 to 2020
Project description	Capacity and safety issues related Lake Hayes Estate residential deve Lower Shotover Road and Tucker improvements. Further population	elopment. Residential traffic fi Beach Road require corridor a	rom Stalker Road, and access
Reason for priority	This project is part of the Queensto Benefits network performance - re existing corridor - also safety and r voice and modality shifts.	duced congestion and improv	ed efficiency of
Is it inter-regionally significant and why? 2017 to 2020	Yes. This project is located on the Queenstown. These improvements Queenstown is an important part of	will improve the appeal of th	e area to visitors.

Project Name:	SH 6A Corridor Improvements		Item No 72
Organisation	NZTA		
Project funding	\$20,141,958	Project years	2018 to 2020
Project description	Corridor improvements to relieve	congestion and improve acce	ss from side roads.
Reason for priority	This project is part of the Queens Benefits network performance an Also enables greater customer vo the town centre to expand.	d capability and safety and re	gional development.
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes. As the major tourist hub in t issues is of national significance.	he South Island, addressing (Queenstown transport

Project Name:	Stanley St Corridor Improvements	(Town Centre)	Item No 76
Organisation	NZTA		
Project funding	\$109M total cost which will be shared with QLDC	Project years	2018 to 2022
Project description	These improvements will optimise access to the town centre, particularly for public transport, facilitate access to a new town centre public transport hub and provide access to area covered by plan change 50. Development of the new town centre public transport hub is an integral part of this project. This project is the same project as QLDC project 84. A cost-sharing agreement will be needed.		
Reason for priority	This project is part of the Queenstow Benefits network performance and ca Also enables greater customer voice the town centre to expand.	apability and safety and re	gional development.
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes. As the major tourist hub in the sissues is of national significance.	South Island, addressing C	Queenstown transport

Project Name:	Visiting Drivers Signature Project	Item No 86
Organisation	NZTA	
Project funding	\$2,274,080 Pr	oject years 2018-19
Project description	Safety improvements for tourist drivers on the Sou Milford Sound route including ATP, pull-off areas,	
Reason for priority	Improved safety for users. Benefits regional development.	
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes.	

Otago Regional Council

Project Name:	Public Transport Infrastructure Improvements Item 31
Organisation	ORC
Project funding	\$3,990,000 Project years 2017-19
Project description	Development and implementation of Dunedin central city bus hub and provision of realtime information.
Reason for priority	Enables greater customer voice, accessibility and modality shift. Benefits network performance and capability, regional development and safety.
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	No

Project Name:	Wakatipu Further Small Ferry	Services	Item No 33
Organisation	ORC		
Project funding	\$2,460,000	Project years 2	018 to 2027
Project description	Queenstown Integrated Transpo	rther improvements to the ferry service, as demand increases. Part of the eenstown Integrated Transport Business Case. the also QLDC project 37 for water taxi service/ferry network.	
Reason for priority	This project is part of the Queens Benefits network performance ar enables greater customer voice, Wakatipu Basin transport system	d capability, safety and regional accessibility and modality shift. H	development. Also
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes. These improvements will i Queenstown is an important pa		

Project Name:	Wakatipu Public Transport Hu	b Improvements Support	Item No 34
Organisation	ORC		
Project funding	\$1,500,000	Project years	2020 to 2021
Project description	Support for the new public trans customer experience and enhar providing a contribution to bus h	nce the attractiveness of pub	lic transport by
Reason for priority	This project is part of the Queenstown integrated transport business case. Benefits network performance and capability, safety and regional development. Also enables greater customer voice, accessibility and modality shift. Helps optimise the Wakatipu Basin transport system.		
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes. These improvements will in Queenstown is an important part		

Queenstown Lakes District Council

Project Name:	Queenstown Town Centre Pedestrianisation	Item No 27
Organisation	QLDC	
Project funding	\$45,415,000 Project years	2018 to 2027
Project description	Restriction of vehicles by time or location in the town centre. Pro those streets with high priority pedestrianisation connections (P Gondola).	
Reason for priority	This project is part of the Queenstown integrated transport busin Benefits regional development and improved safety. Enables greater customer voice, accessibility and modality shift	
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes. These improvements will improve the appeal of the area to is an important part of national marketing of New Zealand to tou	

Project Name:	Wakatipu Active Travel Network	Item No 28	
Organisation	QLDC		
Project funding		ect years 2018 to 2023	
Project description	network for Queenstown. Includes additional active r Improve high level bicycle link to Fernhill. Provide cy marketing, promotion and education. Provide cycle s	ng and implementing an on-road and off-road connected pedestrian cycle for Queenstown. Includes additional active mode crossing of Shotover River. high level bicycle link to Fernhill. Provide cycle hire scheme. Include ng, promotion and education. Provide cycle storage facilities, lockers and s at locations throughout the Queenstown and Frankton areas e.g. Fernhill and	
Reason for priority	This project is part of the Queenstown integrated tran Benefits safety, network performance and capability. accessibility and modality shifts.	•	
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes. These improvements will improve the appeal of is an important part of national marketing of New Zea		

Project Name:	Public Transport Hub, Queenstown town centre	Item No 35
Organisation	QLDC	
Project funding	\$19,931 Project years	2019/20, 2022-23
Project description	Provision of a new public and passenger transport hub in Quee nominally on Stanley Street.	enstown town centre,
Reason for priority	This project is part of the Queenstown integrated transport business case. Benefits network performance, and capability, and safety and regional development. Enables greater customer voice and modality shifts.	
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes. These improvements will improve the appeal of the area t is an important part of national marketing of New Zealand to to	

Project Name:	Park and Ride Transport Services (Queenstown)		Item No 36
Organisation	QLDC		
Project funding	\$3,000,000 Projec	t years 2018 t	o 2021
Project description	hubs in Queenstown and Frankton, possibly located at	vision of parking facilities at appropriate locations. Connecting to major PT Queenstown and Frankton, possibly located at Arrow Junction and Jacks Point. <i>A's park and ride projects, see projects 29 and 71 above.</i>	
Reason for priority	This project is part of the Queenstown integrated trans Benefits network performance and capability, and safe Enables greater customer voice and modality shifts.		
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes, the Arrow Junction facility will be located on a ma Christchurch and Queenstown.	ijor journey betwo	een

Project Name:	Water Taxi Service/Ferry Network (Queenstown)	Item No 37
Organisation	QLDC		
Project funding	\$5,669,000	Project years	2018 to 2023
Project description	Investigation and implementation of water-based infrastructure to support water transport. See also ORC project 33.		
Reason for priority	This project is part of the Queenstown Benefits network performance and ca Also enables greater customer voice, the Wakatipu Basin transport system.	pability, also safety and r accessibility and modalit	egional development.
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes. These improvements will improvise is an important part of national marke		

Project Name:	Housing Infrastructure Fund Project -	Ladies Mile	Item No 56
Organisation	QLDC		
Project funding	\$6,100,000	Project years 20)18 to 2020
Project description	The proposed Ladies Mile residential dev both sides of Ladies Mile (SH6), between improvement from SH6.	•	6
Reason for priority	This project is aligned with the Queenstor Benefits network performance and capab connectivity.		
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	No		

Project Name:	Housing Infrastructure Fund Project -	- Quail Rise	Item No 57
Organisation	QLDC		
Project funding	\$8,539,723	Project years	2018 to 2020
Project description	The Quail Rise South project borders the and SH6. The road will link Ferry Hill Dri and Hawthorne Drive.		
Reason for priority	This project is aligned with the Queenston Benefits network performance and capa connectivity.		
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	No		

Project Name:	Queenstown Traffic Management Facilities	Item No 60 & 85
Organisation	QLDC	
Project funding	\$7,975,000 Project ye	ears 2018 to 2023
Project description	Queenstown traffic management facilities including conso information and technologies to manage and operate intel	
Reason for priority	This project is part of the Queenstown integrated transpor Benefits network performance and capability, also safety Also enables systems optimisation.	
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes. These improvements will improve the appeal of the a is an important part of national marketing of New Zealand	

Project Name:	Ballantyne Road Seal Extension (Wanaka)	Item No 82
Organisation	QLDC	
Project funding	\$2,100,000 Project years 2018	3
Project description	Provide a safe, accessible, resilient and alternative route in the town	of Wanaka.
Reason for priority	Benefits safety, network performance and capability, and safety. Ena customer voice, accessibility and modality shift.	bles greater
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	No	

Project Name:	Queenstown Town Centre Arterial	Item No 84	
Organisation	QLDC		
Project funding	\$139,484,000 Project years	2021 to 2024	
Project description	QLDC is proposing a new town centre arterial to improve accurate particularly for public transport and to facilitate access to a ne transport hub and provides access to the area covered by Pla This is the same project as NZTA's project 76, and assumes QLDC and NZTA.	w town centre public In Change 50.	
Reason for priority	This project is part of the Queenstown integrated transport business case. Benefits network performance and capability, also safety and regional development. Also enables greater customer voice by responding to the call to create the space to allow the town centre to expand.		
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes. These improvements will improve the appeal of the area is an important part of national marketing of New Zealand to t		

Project Name:	Shotover River Bridge (Arthurs Point) Duplication	Item No 86
Organisation	QLDC	
Project funding	\$500,000 Project years 202	0
Project description	Initial work (detailed business case preparation) associated with an a near the Edith Cavell bridge at Arthurs Point, near Queenstown, for a	
Reason for priority	This project is part of the Queenstown integrated transport business Benefits network performance and capability, and safety and regiona	
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes. These improvements will improve the appeal of the area to visit is an important part of national marketing of New Zealand to tourists.	

Project Name:	Wanaka Master Plan Iten	n No 87
Organisation	QLDC	
Project funding	\$500,000 Project years 2019	
Project description	Reviewing network connections and movements through the town and surrou areas to optimise transport efficiency and multi modal options.	Inding
Reason for priority	Proactive approach to addressing the transport issues in the Wanaka District. Benefits network performance and capability, and safety and regional develop	
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes. These improvements will improve the appeal of the area to visitors	

Project Name:	Kakanui Bridge Design and Constru	ction	Item No 61
Organisation	WDC		
Project funding	\$7,000,000	Project years 2019	to 2020
Project description	Design and construct a replacement br services such as fire engine and schoo posting. If the bridge was to fail, the so cut off from each other. To go from the be about 18 km to travel.	buses, cannot use the bridge th and north sides of the com	with its current munity would be
Reason for priority	Benefits regional development (this is a	tourist route), network resilier	nce and safety.
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes. These improvements will improve	the appeal of the area to visito	ors

Priority Two projects – Otago

Dunedin City Council

Project Name:	Dunedin Streetlight Renewal with LEDs	Item No 52
Organisation	DCC	
Project funding	\$9,426,000 Project years	2017 to 2020
Project description	Renewal of street light luminaires with LED as existing ones re	ach the end of life.
Reason for priority	Renewal of aging asset is required. There are safety implications from a failing lighting network. Installing a CMS will enable us to respond better and quicker to changing user demands through use of smart city functions. This will give enable greater value for money and energy efficiency.	
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	No	

NZTA

Project Name:	Beaumont Bridge Replacement	Item No 64
Organisation	NZTA	
Project funding	\$17,060,000 Project years	2017 to 2020
Project description	Replacement of the existing bridge with a new two-lane structure and approach realignment.	
Reason for priority	This is an aging bridge, which is close to the end of its economic life. The objective of the project is to ensure a resilient and secure transport network and reduce delays. Benefits network performance and capability, and safety and regional development.	
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	No	

Project Name:	ITS Improvement Project	t	Item No 67
Organisation	NZTA		
Project funding	\$9,737,011	Project years	2018-2020
Project description Reason for priority	implementation of an intell rural roads and urban area	igent transport system acro	ing system leading to regional ss the transport network (both h near real-time information. nisation.
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes		

Project Name:	Active road user intersection improvements- Otago	Item No 63
Organisation	NZTA	
Project funding	\$3.4M Project years	2018-2021
Project description	This project seeks to deliver Safer Intersection treatments to a cyclist road trauma managed to within Safe System (harm min project is within the High Risk Active Road User programme w corridors with a high proportion of crashes involving pedestria of projects also contains proposed high-risk intersection treat	nimisation) limits. This which aims to target ns and cyclists. This set
Reason for priority	Improved safety for users.	
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	No	

Project Name:	SH1 Mosgiel to Milton safety management	Item No 68
Organisation	NZTA	
Project funding	\$10.3M	Project years 2018-2021
Project description	This project seeks to deliver Safety Management treatments such as median and side barrier, roundabouts or grade separation, or speed managed to within Safe System (Harm minimisation) limits. This project is within the Current Safe Roads Alliance Programme. This corridor is also in the quick-win median barriers programme and the proposed new Safe Roads Alliance corridors.	
Reason for priority	Improved safety for users.	
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	This project is located on the inter-regional jou Christchurch.	urney between Invercargill and

Project Name:	SH6 Cromwell to Queenstown safer corridor and resilience Item No 69
Organisation Project funding	NZTA \$34.8M Project years 2018-2021
Project description	This project seeks to deliver Safer Corridor treatments such as median and side barrier, roundabouts or grade separation, or speed managed to within Safe System (Harm minimisation) limits. This project is within the 20 Year View Programme which aims to bring corridors up to a standard which is in line with its function and hierarchical (One Network Road) Classification. This corridor is also in the proposed new Safe Roads Alliance corridors. Proposed resilience improvements at locations along the SH6
Reason for priority	Improved safety for users.
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes, this project is located on inter-regional journeys between the West Coast and Queenstown and between Christchurch and Queenstown.

Project Name:	SH8 Lawrence to Burma Road safer corrido	r Item No 73
Organisation	NZTA	
Project funding	\$5.4M	Project years 2018-2021
Project description	This project seeks to deliver Safer Corridor/Management treatments such as median and side barrier, roundabouts or grade separation, or speed managed to within Safe System (Harm minimisation) limits. This project is within the 20 Year View Programme which aims to bring corridors up to a standard which is in line with its function and hierarchical (One Network Road) Classification.	
Reason for priority	Improved safety for users.	
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	No	

Project Name:	SH8/SH8B Intersection Improvement	Item No 74
Organisation	NZTA	
Project funding	\$3M Project years	2018-2021
Project description	This project seeks to deliver Safer Corridor treatments such as median and side barrier, roundabouts or grade separation, or speed managed to within Safe System (Harm minimisation) limits. This project is within the 20 Year View Programme which aims to bring corridors up to a standard which is in line with its function and hierarchical (One Network Road) Classification.	
Reason for priority	Improved safety for users.	
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes, this project is located on an inter-regional journey betwe Queenstown.	en Christchurch and

Project Name:	Dunedin to Port Chalmers Safety Improvements (SH88) Item No 75
Organisation	NZTA
Project funding	\$29.4M Project years 2018-2022
Project description	The project will complete the final section of the SH88 shared walking and cycling path from Dunedin (St Leonards) to Port Chalmers. It will also implement various safety improvement options along the 7 km SH88 road corridor through combination of improved delineation (e.g. ATP markings); wire rope barrier, and w-section guardrail barrier. Nominally, the focus is areas of 80km/h speed limit between Ravensbourne and Port Chalmers, and to protect from loss of control impact from entry into harbour, onto rail lines, into/over steep embankments.
Reason for priority	Improved safety for users.
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes (for freight)

Project Name:	Dunedin – Fairfield safety improvements	Item No 77
Organisation	NZTA	
Project funding	\$6.7M Project years	2015-2019
Project description	This project continues into 2018/19. The works entails: infill of wire rope side barriers and other improvements to create safer and more forgiving roadsides. This is part of the implementation of the Safer Journeys - Roads & Roadsides business case.	
Reason for priority	Improved safety for users.	
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes	

Project Name:	SH 1 – Oamaru - Dunedin Safety and Resilienc	e Item No 78
Organisation	NZTA	
Project funding	\$35.8M Pr	oject years 2017 to 2026
Project description	This project seeks to deliver safer corridor treatments on the rural part of SH1, e.g. median and side barriers, roundabouts, or speed managed to within Safe System (harm minimisation) limits. The project also includes installation of wire rope barrier and ATP in high risk areas along the highway corridor. Part of the Safer Journeys - Roads and Roadsides business case. It does not include any safety improvements in the urban area of Oamaru. The project also seeks to treat coastal erosion along Katiki Straight, which is being monitored but requires a long-term solution.	
Reason for priority	Benefits safety, network performance and capabili Improved safety for all road users. Reduction in cr	
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes. This project is located on the inter-regional ju Christchurch.	burney between Dunedin and

Project Name:	SH 6, SH8B, SH8 Gibbston to Cly	de Corridor Improvements	Item No 80
Organisation	NZTA		
Project funding	\$1.8M	Project years 2	018 to 2021
Project description	This project seeks to deliver safer corridor treatments such as median and side barriers, roundabouts or grade separation, or speed managed to within Safe System (harm minimisation) limits. This project is part of the 20 Year View Programme that aims to bring corridors up to a standard that is in line with their function and One Network Road Classification. At Nevis Bluff: ongoing work by Opus under the NMM contract, with capital project required. International peer review recommends staged high velocity catch fences. [Note, there needs to be further provision made for capital funding of Nevis Bluff work: see Table V.]		
Reason for priority	Improved safety for users and resilie Benefits safety, network resilience a		
Is it inter-regionally significant and why?	Yes. Part of this project is located or and Queenstown and between Christ		veen the West Coast