

Status	Number of Submissions		To Be Heard	Number of Submissions
Neutral	1		No	110
Opposed	197		Yes	84
Support	2		Not indicated	6
Total	200		Total	200

SUBMITTERS NAME	SUPPORT/NEURAL/OPPOSE	WISH TO BE HEARD	SUBMISSION
Ann-Louise Stokes	Oppose	No	Opposes as not acceptable practice, lakes and rivers should be pristine.
Adam Hall	Oppose	No	Opposed all aspects of the application due to the offensive nature of the discharge and the potential adverse effects. Relief sought is that alternatives to discharging are further considered.
Alan Richard Flett	Oppose	Yes	Queenstown Lakes is main tourist destination, Country voted for clean water - QLDC should be treated as any farmer and prosecuted
Alastair Hamilton	Oppose	Yes	Opposed to broad nature of application and no other NZ entity would have ability to do this
Alexia Joy Clarke and Albert Errol David Clark	Oppose	No	The discharge to Wakatipu, Wanaka and Hawea not to be permitted - upgrade of infrastructure to be a priority
Andrea and Gaeme Oxley	Oppose	No	Opposed all aspects of the application due to the offensive nature of the discharge. Relief sought is that the discharge permit is declined and the applicant is required to adhere to a scheduled plan for upgrades.
Andrew Conyngham Burton	Oppose	Yes	Strongly opposes QLDC should install fit for purpose equipment and have suitable backup in the event of a primary failure
Andrew Howard Dale Woods	Oppose	No	Should not allow discharge of untreated waste under any circumstances - QLDC should assess points of weakness in systems. QLD is an area of outstanding natural beauty QLDC have duty to ensure natural resources are maintained and protected
Andrew Millar	Oppose	No	Decline application in entirety
Andrew Roger Barnett	Oppose	No	QLDC need to look at sustainable ways to stop untreated wastewater entering natural environment
Angela Walker	Oppose	No	Should not allow discharge of untreated waste under any circumstances - QLDC should assess points of weakness in systems. QLD is an area of outstanding natural beauty QLDC have duty to ensure natural resources are maintained and protected
Anita Jansen	Oppose	No	Opposes due to pollution of waterways, impact on regions environment.
Ann Dodds	Oppose	No	Opposes due to the impact on the environment and impact on tourism
Anna Ryder	Oppose	No	Opposed all aspects of the application due to the offensive nature of the discharge.

SUBMITTERS NAME	SUPPORT/ NEURAL/OPOSE	WISH TO BE HEARD	SUBMISSION
Anna Scott Walker	Oppose	No	Opposes due to the impact on the environment and impact on tourism
Anna Simmonds and Matthew Evrard	Oppose	No	Opposed all aspects of the application on the basis that the application is inconsistent with the provisions of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014. Various objectives and policies relating to water quality are referenced. Relief sought is that the discharge permit is declined and that alternatives are considered further.
Anna Van Riel	Oppose	Not indicated	Opposed all aspects of the application due to the offensive nature of the discharge.
Anne R McNeill	Oppose	No	Opposes due to the impact on the environment
Anthony Olsen	Oppose	Yes	Opposes as uncertainty around the volume and frequency the impact on the environment is unknown and must be monitored
Aotearoa Water Action Incorporated	Oppose	Yes	Opposes because of significant values of receiving water bodies, unlimited discharge volumes and frequency, consent term and impact on environment and health risk
Barry Wayne and Glenys Elaine Morgan	Oppose	Yes	Strongly oppose the discharge of waste water into water ways.
Belinda Egden	Oppose	No	Opposes as not consistent with NPSFM - risks to the environment and health concerns
Beverley Joyce Thomson	Oppose	No	Opposes discharge of wastewater is unhealthy for people and native biodiversity - term should be no longer than 3 years
Bill and Kirsty Sharpe	Oppose	No	Opposes due to impact on environment and tourism in the area
Brad Gould	Oppose	Yes	Opposed all aspects of the application due to the potential adverse effects on the sensitive receiving environments. Relief sought is that the discharge permit is declined.
Bridget Burt on behalf of Burt Family	Oppose	Yes	Opposes due to impact on environment and health concerns - concerned about 35 year term
Bronwyn Bain	Oppose	No	Opposed to 35 year term and the impact on the environment and tourism
Bruce Farmer	Oppose	Yes	Opposed to impact on environment and inadequate infrastructure
Central Otago District Council	Oppose	Yes	Opposed as discharge for prolonged period is not environmentally or culturally sustainable - will have adverse effects on the environment and downstream communities. Opposed to 35 year term
Cherilyn Walthew	Oppose	Yes	Opposes due to impact on environment and health concerns - concerned about 35 year term
Chris Riley	Oppose	No	Opposed due to environmental impact - infrastructure should be improved. Impact on tourism
Christina Lee McCabe	Oppose	Yes	Strongly in opposition to the proposed
Christopher and Maxine Kissling	Oppose	No	Opposes due to impact on the environment
Christopher Pinkney Shaw	Oppose	Yes	Opposed all aspects of the application due to its inconsistency with Part 2 of the RMA and relevant plans and policy statements. Relief sought is that the discharge permit is declined, or if granted, the

SUBMITTERS NAME	SUPPORT/ NEURAL/OPOSE	WISH TO BE HEARD	SUBMISSION
Craig Laing	Oppose	No	Opposed all aspects of the application. Considered that if the discharge permit was granted this would be a double standard in comparison to how agricultural discharges are treated.
Damian Foster	Oppose	No	Opposed all aspects of the application stating that discharges of wastewater to freshwater environments must be avoided in any circumstances.
Darren Arthur Smith	Oppose	Yes	Opposed all aspects of the application due to the potential adverse effects on the environment and the lack of assessment provided by the applicant. Relief sought is either further evidence on the potential effects is provided or the discharge permit is declined.
Darryll Rogers	Oppose	Yes	Opposes due to the impact on the environment and water quality
David N Mitchell	Oppose	No	Opposes due to the offensive nature of the discharge and the lack of alternatives considered.
David Pearse	Oppose	Yes	Opposed all aspects of the application on the basis that the application is inconsistent with the provisions of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014. Various objectives and policies relating to water quality are referenced. Application does not protect cultural values. If granted consent should contain requirements for infrastructure upgrades and be granted for a term not exceeding 10 years. Stringent monitoring and review provisions must be included. Concerned that adequate monitoring and enforcement will not be adhered to.
David Shepherd	Oppose	Yes	Opposed parts of the application, in particular the proposed term of 35 years. Discussed aspects of the application while providing consideration against policies and objectives of the Regional Plan Water for Otago. Relief sought is that should the discharge permit be granted, it is subject to a number of conditions including those proposed by the applicant and term of no more than 10 years.
Deborah Richards	Oppose	No	Opposes due to impact on the environment and tourism
Dennis Behan	Oppose	No	Opposed as frequent users of the river
Dennis Louis and Olwyn Ysabel Pezaro	Oppose	No	Opposes due to impact on the environment and health concerns - concerned about 35 year term
Department of Conservation (Nardia Yozin)	Oppose	Yes	Opposed due to impact on environment and that conditions do not adequately address system capacity, how QLDC will reduce overflow occurrences and the duration of the consent
Donald McKenzie	Oppose	No	Opposed all aspects of the application stating that discharges of wastewater to freshwater environments must be avoided in any circumstances.
Duncan James Campbell	Oppose	No	Concerns regarding failure of infrastructure and impact on the environment

SUBMITTERS NAME	SUPPORT/ NEURAL/OPOSE	WISH TO BE HEARD	SUBMISSION
Elizabeth Rimmer	Oppose	Not indicated	Opposed all aspects of the application due to the offensive nature of the discharge and the potential adverse effects. Relief sought is that the discharge permit be declined.
Emberly Wetherall	Oppose	No	Opposes impact on the environment and tourism QLDC should upgrade infrastructure
Emily Robertson	Oppose	No	Opposes impact on the environment and tourism QLDC should upgrade infrastructure
Etrick Fruit Growers Association	Oppose	Yes	Opposes due to impact Growers environment and health concerns - concerned about 35 year term
Federated Farmers of New Zealand (Otago Province) C Ryder	Oppose	Yes	Opposed due to environmental impact - infrastructure should be improved. Opposes 35 year term
Fiona Clements Chair of Sustainable Dunedin City	Oppose	Yes	Opposed as does not meet NPSFMO standards and against 35 year term
Fiona Mangos	Oppose	No	Opposed due to impact on the environment and tourism
Forest and Bird (Sue Maturin)	Oppose	Yes	Opposed to impact on environment particularly fish habitat. Opposed to 35 year term.
Frankton Community Association	Oppose	Yes	Opposed due to impact on environment and that conditions do not adequately address system
Fraser Nicholas Hocks (President Wakatipu Anglers Club)	Oppose	Yes	Opposes due to impact on the environment and inadequate infrastrucure
Friends of Bullock Creek Incorporated	Oppose	Yes	Opposes due to impact on environment proposal will compromise water quality in Bullock Creek
Friends of Lake Hayes	Support	No	Supports the intent of the consent and conditions
Gary Anderson	Oppose	No	Concerned about the water quality and biodiversity and ecosystems - the omission of siesmic and wild fires from application - inadequate infrastructure. 10 year term sufficient
Gavin Michael Dann	Oppose	No	Consent should be for no longer than a 5 year term
Geoff Marks	Oppose	No	Opposed all aspects of the application due to the potential adverse effects on the environment and the lack of assessment provided by the applicant. Relief sought is either further evidence on the potential effects is provided or the discharge permit is declined.
Geoffrey James and Margaret Anne Pye	Oppose	No	Opposed all aspects of the application due to the adverse effects on water quality, in particular nutrient loading on the Lakes and the associated human health risk and impact on socio-economic values. Relief sought is that the discharge permit is declined.
Gerrard Eckhoff	Oppose	Yes	Opposes the discharge of raw wastewater and impact on the environment and the impact on downstream users
Gilbert Van Reenen	Oppose	Yes	Opposes term of consent and the impact on the environment

SUBMITTERS NAME	SUPPORT/ NEURAL/OPOSE	WISH TO BE HEARD	SUBMISSION
Grayham Ronald Harvey	Oppose	No	Unsubstantiated infrastructure - objects to 35 year term - concerns relating to health risks
Guardians of Lake Dunstan (Glen Christiansen)	Oppose	Yes	unacceptable environmental impact
Guardians of Lake Wanaka; Guardians of Lake Hawea; Upper Clutha Lakes Trust Board	Oppose	Yes	opposed to all aspects - will affect many waterways within the area and is unacceptable
Harsh Kumar Bansal	Oppose	Yes	Opposes permanent damage to Lakes habitat, environmental impact
Heather Anne Riddell	Oppose	No	Objects to the discharge into lakes and waterways and would like to see alternative solutions looked at
Hugh Aaron Radford	Oppose	No	Totally opposed goes against environmental practices
Hunter John Leese and Anne Margaret Kobienia	Oppose	No	Opposes due to the fact that the issue has been known about for a considerable time and the continuation is unacceptable
Ian S Ross	Oppose	No	Opposed to environmental impact and inadequate infrastructure
Indira and Michael Neuendorff	Oppose	No	Opposes the discharge of untreated wastewater into freshwater
Isabel Bongers	Oppose	Yes	Does not meet NPSFM - upgrades to occur within a period no longer than 10 years
Jade Gibson	Oppose	No	Concerned about impact on the environment
James Dicey	Oppose	Yes	No incentive to upgrade infrastructure
James Michael Bohm (Jim)	Neutral	Yes	Neutral - wastewater system inadequate QLDC needs to better communication with the community
Jane Guy	Oppose	No	Does not meet NPSFM
Janet Dolan	Oppose	No	Robust treatment system is essential if application granted there is no incentive to upgrade the current system
Jason Wayne Morgan	Oppose	Yes	Strongly oppose the discharge of waste water into water ways.
Jennie Blennerhassett	Oppose	Yes	Opposes due to the impact on the environment
Jennifer Ruth Cleland and Jenny Sutherland	Oppose	No	Opposes 35 year term suggests 10 year term as Opposes due to impact on environment.
Joanna Ashe	Oppose	No	Does not meet NPSFM standards
Joanna Margaret Finnigan	Oppose	No	Opposes application
John Glover	Oppose	No	Current infrastructure is inadequate and any future schemes are designed using technologies that avoid debris entering network
Joseph Wadsworth	Oppose	No	Concerns about the impact of the discharge on the environment
Judith Mary Stevenson	Oppose	Yes	Opposed to term of consent - concerned about the impact on the environment
Judith Pringle	Oppose	No	Opposed due to the offensive nature of the discharge and the long term of consent sought.
Judy Thompson on behalf of the Luggate Community Association	Oppose	Yes	Concerned with the impact on Luggate Creek, Opposed to term of consent, requests monitoring and annual reporting
Karen Gallagher	Oppose	No	opposes due to the irreversible negative impact on the lakes, rivers particularly downstream of Lake Dunstan

SUBMITTERS NAME	SUPPORT/ NEUTRAL/OPPOSE	WISH TO BE HEARD	SUBMISSION
Karen L Eadie	Oppose	No	Opposes due to impact on the environment and tourism
Kāti Huirapa Rūnaka ki Puketeraki and Te Rūnanga o Ōtākou (Kāi Tahu) (Tania Richardson)	Oppose	Yes	Opposes due to the impact on the CluthaMata Au catchment environment - term of consent should be 10 years - Ka Runaka via Aukaha are notified of unplanned wastewater discharges - pump stations to be alarmed within 2 years - unplanned discharges should be reduced by 50% within the next 5 years
Kelly Graham	Oppose	Yes	Opposed all aspects of the application on the basis that the application is inconsistent with the provisions of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014. Various objectives and policies relating to water quality are referenced. Application does not protect cultural values. If granted consent should contain requirements for infrastructure upgrades and be granted for a term not exceeding 10 years. Stringent monitoring and review provisions must be included. Concerned that adequate monitoring and enforcement will not be adhered to.
Kelvin Peninsula Community Association (Cath Gilmour)	Oppose	Yes	Does not meet NPSFM requirements, fails to meet Water Conservation (Kawarau) Order 1997 requirements - environmental impact
Ken Saxby and Carol Saxby	Oppose	No	Opposed to term requests 5 year term during that period the QLDC should ensure wastewater system is fit for purpose
Kenneth David Fraser	Oppose	No	Opposes whole application concerned about the impact on tourism and the environment
Kim Badger	Oppose	No	Opposed to the impact on the environment and too many tourists - should tax tourists
Kim Baker	Oppose	No	Concerns regarding failure of infrastructure and impact on the environment
Kim Coll	Oppose	No	Concerns regarding failure of infrastructure and impact on the environment
Laura and Jan Solbak	Oppose	No	Opposes due to impact on the environment concerned about failure of infrastructure
Lauren Prebble	Oppose	Yes	Does not meet NPSFM standards
Laureys Marlene	Oppose	Not indicated	Opposes the application
Lesley Margaret Anderson	Oppose	Yes	Feels the current wastewater system is ill designed and needs upgrading before further expansion of the area occurs
Linda Julie Danen	Oppose	No	Opposes - inadequate infrastructure - annual monitoring report should be made available to the public
Loris Eileen King	Oppose	No	Opposes all due to impact on environment
Lynne Ann Campbell	Oppose	No	Opposed due to the impact on the environment
Lynne Stewart	Oppose	No	Opposes for reasons of ecological and human health
Margaret and John Wilkinson	Oppose	No	Totally opposes any and all untreated wastewater in or any fresh water environment
Margaret Prescott and Steven Prescott	Oppose	No	Opposed to discharge of wastewater into fresh water environments

SUBMITTERS NAME	SUPPORT/ NEURAL/OPOSE	WISH TO BE HEARD	SUBMISSION
Marie-Claire Henderson	Oppose	No	Concerned about the impact on the environment, ecosystems, public health and the recreational value of freshwater environments. Design of system should be reconsidered.
Marina Silva	Oppose	No	Oppose application in its entirety. Concerns with lack of infrastructure capacity.
Mark Sinclair	Oppose	Yes	Oppose application in its entirety. Applicant has not assessed alternatives adequately. Potential economic impacts as could threaten tourism. Relief sought is that the application is declined in its entirety and the
Melanie Mueller	Oppose	Yes	Inconsistent with NPSFM and should have a much shorter term
Michael and Susie Ross	Oppose	Yes	Applicant supports emergency discharges to land rather than to water. Concerns with lack of infrastructure capacity. Relief sought is that the application be declined and the applicant undertake contingency planning.
Michael Clark	Oppose	No	Opposed the proposed duration sought due to the long term adverse effects. Relief sought is that should the consent be granted, the term is no longer than 10 years.
Michael Farrier	Oppose	Yes	Concerned with human health effects and effects on surface water bodies. Noted infrastructure is not adequate. Opposes term and suggests a maximum period of 5 years with review.
Michael John Bruce Arthur	Oppose	No	Opposed all aspects of the application stating that discharges of wastewater to freshwater environments must be avoided in any circumstances. Relief sought is that the discharge permit is declined.
Michael Laws	Oppose	Yes	Oppose application in its entirety. Economic, environmental and leadership values will be severely compromised. Consent should not be granted.
Michael Robertson	Oppose	No	Opposes due impact on the environment - QLDC's wastewater systems should be monitored and maintained to a high standard also concerned about the impact on tourism
Mike Hartley	Oppose	No	Oppose application in its entirety. Applicant has not assessed alternatives adequately. Potential economic impacts as could threaten tourism. Relief sought is that the application is declined in its entirety and the applicant reconsiders options.
Miranda Susan Spray	Support	Not indicated	Concerned with the nature and scope of the application.

SUBMITTERS NAME	SUPPORT/ NEURAL/OPOSE	WISH TO BE HEARD	SUBMISSION
Miriam Houliston	Oppose	Yes	Opposed all aspects of the application on the basis that the application is inconsistent with the provisions of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014. Various objectives and policies relating to water quality are referenced. Application does not protect cultural values. If granted consent should contain requirements for infrastructure upgrades and be granted for a term not exceeding 10 years. Stringent monitoring and review provisions must be included. Concerned that adequate monitoring and enforcement will not be adhered to.
Morgan Richard Williams	Oppose	No	Unfair that farmers cannot discharge but an urban town can and not be penalised
Mylrea Elizabeth Bell	Oppose	No	Oppose application in its entirety. Applicant has not assessed alternatives adequately. Potential economic impacts as could threaten tourism. Relief sought is that the application is declined in its entirety and the applicant reconsiders options.
Nancy Latham	Oppose	Yes	Opposed all aspects of the application on the basis that the application is inconsistent with the provisions of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014. Various objectives and policies relating to water quality are referenced. Relief sought is that the discharge permit is declined.
Natalie Astin	Oppose	No	Opposed consent term, and noted concerns regarding water quality, environmental impacts, cultural values and human health. Stated that proposal is not consistent with Lake Wanaka Preservation Act 1973. Relief sought is that consent term reduced to less than 10 years, monitoring provisions, consideration of cultural values and reduce overflows to zero.
Neil Jordan	Oppose	No	The submitter opposes the application in its entirety and states that consent should not be granted.
Niamh Shaw	Oppose	Yes	Opposes application in entirety contrary to the values of the community striving to maintain quality of freshwater
Nicholas Andrew Loughnan	Oppose	Yes	Opposes discharge to various freshwater receiving environments, the term sought and the number of locations sought. Infrastructure and maintenance not adequate.
Nicola Jane Barnard	Oppose	Yes	Opposes application in its entirety. Concern over discharge to any freshwater receiving environments.
Nigel Cowburn	Oppose	Yes	Opposes application in its entirety. Stated that application should be declined.

SUBMITTERS NAME	SUPPORT/ NEURAL/OPOSE	WISH TO BE HEARD	SUBMISSION
Niki Gladding	Oppose	Yes	Opposes application in its entirety. Discussed specifically receiving environment, discharge volume, frequency and duration, term, inadequate assessment of environmental effects, loss of enforcement tool, loss of stewardship and kaitiaki rights and potential avoidance of future planning framework.
Nina Klemm	Oppose	No	Oppose application in its entirety. Applicant has not assessed alternatives adequately. Potential economic impacts as could threaten tourism. Relief sought is that the application is declined in its entirety and the applicant reconsiders options.
NZ Deerstalkers Association (Upper Clutha Branch) Inc	Oppose	No	Oppose discharge of untreated wastewater to freshwater environments. Relief sought is that application is refused until wastewater infrastructure upgraded, modified or relocated.
One New Zealand (Monique Kelly)	Oppose	Yes	Oppose discharge due to ecological effects and human health risks and potential cumulative effects. Oppose term and suggest 10 years is more appropriate to allow for upgrading. Inadequate assessment of environmental effects. Not consistent with the Lake Wanaka Preservation Act 1973. Likely breach of Water Conservation (Kawarau) Order 1997 and not be consistent with the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management. Submitter proposed conditions of consent.
Otago Fish and Game Council (Nigel Paragreen)	Oppose	Yes	Oppose the application in its entirety. Receiving waters are valuable to the Council and there is concern for environmental harm. Concern with cumulative effects. Application not consistent with relevant policy documents. Relief sought that application is rejected in current form.
Patricia Muir	Oppose	Yes	Opposed all aspects of the application on the basis that the application is inconsistent with the provisions of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014. Various objectives and policies relating to water quality are referenced. Application does not protect cultural values. If granted consent should contain requirements for infrastructure upgrades and be granted for a term not exceeding 10 years. Stringent monitoring and review provisions must be included. Concerned that adequate monitoring and enforcement will not be adhered to.
Patsy and Barry Johnston	Oppose	Yes	Oppose all aspects of application. Relief sought is that the discharge permit is declined.

SUBMITTERS NAME	SUPPORT/ NEURAL/OPOSE	WISH TO BE HEARD	SUBMISSION
Paul and Robyn Hellebrekers	Oppose	No	Opposed all aspects of the application due to the adverse effects on water quality, in particular nutrient loading on the Lakes and the associated human health risk and impact on socio-economic values. Relief sought is that the discharge permit is declined.
Peter Hamilton	Oppose	No	Opposes discharge to various freshwater receiving environments. Sets precedent. Relief sought is that the application is declined and upgrades be undertaken as soon as possible.
Peter Thomson	Oppose	No	Opposes application.
Phillipa Byers	Oppose	No	Opposes discharge to various freshwater receiving environments. Concern for human health effects. Relief sought is the application declined.
Pierre Marasti	Oppose	No	Opposed all aspects of the application on the basis that the application is inconsistent with the provisions of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014. Various objectives and policies relating to water quality are referenced. Relief sought is that the discharge permit is declined.
Prue and Annabel Wilson	Oppose	No	Objects to any untreated wastewater going into waterways and lakes at any time
Rachael Bentley	Oppose	Yes	Opposed all aspects of the application on the basis that the application is inconsistent with the provisions of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014. Various objectives and policies relating to water quality are referenced. Application does not protect cultural values. If granted consent should contain requirements for infrastructure upgrades and be granted for a term not exceeding 10 years. Stringent monitoring and review provisions must be included. Concerned that adequate monitoring and enforcement will not be adhered to.

SUBMITTERS NAME	SUPPORT/ NEURAL/OPOSE	WISH TO BE HEARD	SUBMISSION
Raewyn Calhaem	Oppose	No	Opposed all aspects of the application on the basis that the application is inconsistent with the provisions of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014. If granted consent should contain requirements for infrastructure upgrades and be granted for a short term. Stringent monitoring and review provisions must be included. Concerned that adequate monitoring and enforcement will not be adhered to.
Rainsford John Grubb	Oppose	Yes	Opposed all aspects of the application. It was suggested that further consideration should be given to prevent the discharges from occurring by installing a number of holding tanks. The submitter also requested the further data from past overflows is provided to better understand the discharges. Relief sought is that the applicant further considers alternatives to prevent the discharge such as the holding tanks. If this is deemed impractical, it is requested that the discharges are subject to conditions requiring effects to be mitigated.
Ralph Hume	Oppose	No	Opposed all aspects of the application. Particular concern to ecological and social consequences. Requests application be declined and applicant reassess options.
Reid Mossman	Oppose	No	Opposes the application in its entirety.
Richard Alan Dickson Flett and William David Smelley	Oppose	Yes	Opposes the application in its entirety. Relief sought is that resource consent is not granted.
Richard and Ingrid Thomas	Oppose	Yes	Opposed all aspects of the application on the basis that the application is inconsistent with the provisions of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014. Various objectives and policies relating to water quality are referenced. Application does not protect cultural values. If granted consent should contain requirements for infrastructure upgrades and be granted for a short term. Stringent monitoring and review provisions must be included. Concerned that adequate monitoring and enforcement will not be adhered to.
Richelle Courtney	Oppose	Yes	Opposed all aspects of the application due to the adverse effects on water quality and human health. Relief sought is that the discharge permit is declined.
Riki Young	Oppose	No	Opposes application in its entirety. Relief sought is that the discharge permit is declined.
Robert and Elizabeth Knox	Oppose	Yes	Opposes discharge of untreated wastewater to freshwater and the term of consent. Applicant should be required to progressively upgrade.
Robert Douglas Galvin	Oppose	Not indicated	QLDC infrastructure unfit for purpose objects to term and impact on recreational activities
Robert John Wilson	Oppose	Yes	Objects and opposes the QLDC discharge into water bodies in area

SUBMITTERS NAME	SUPPORT/ NEURAL/OPOSE	WISH TO BE HEARD	SUBMISSION
Robin Henry Maguire Dicey and Margaret Lucy Dicey	Oppose	Yes	Opposes due to impact Maguire environment and health cMaguiresearns - cMaguirecerned about 35 year term
Robyn and John Gerard Cooney	Oppose	Yes	Opposes due to impact of wastewater flows on the Clutha River
Robyn Williams	Oppose	No	Opposed to term of consent should be no more than 7 years and should not be extended
Rod Walker	Oppose	No	Opposes the discharge of untreated wastewater into waterways and lakes.
Roderick Baxter	Oppose	No	Opposes the discharge of untreated waste into any freshwater environment
Rodney John Pemberton	Oppose	No	Opposes 35 year term would reluctantly be happy with 10 year term concerned with the impact on the environment and tourism
Rosemary Hamilton	Oppose	Yes	Opposes impact on environment and concerned about health risks.
Ross Cottier	Oppose	No	Opposed as againste ORC Fresh Water Strategy and Annual Plan and concerned about the impact on the environment
Rupert and Rebecca Gardiner	Oppose	Yes	Opposes as the discharge does not meet the requirements of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management
Russell Lloyd Williams	Oppose	No	Concerns about the impact of the discharge on the environment
Ruth Alice Brown	Oppose	No	Opposes due to impact on the environment and
Sarah Millwater	Oppose	Yes	Opposes due to adverse effects on human health the discharge will also come into contact plant life and animals
Shaping Our Future Inc	Oppose	Yes	Opposed application does not provide sufficient upgrades ofr community education, likely to be in breach of the Water Conservation (Kawarau) Order 1997 inconsistent with NPSFM
Sharon Poulson	Oppose	No	Opposes all aspects of the application concerned about the impact on the environment
Shaye Darlianna Kaye Rakich Tronel	Oppose	No	Will be disgusting - the tourist market will be upset - ruining New Zealands green look
Sheena Ashford-Taid	Oppose	Not indicated	Opposes concerned about the impact on the environment - feels 35 years is too long for term and suggests a 5 year maximum term
Sheryl Kaye Alty	Oppose	No	Opposed all aspects of the application on the basis that the application is inconsistent with the provisions of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014. Various objectives and policies relating to water quality are referenced. Relief sought is that the discharge permit is declined and that alternatives are considered further.

SUBMITTERS NAME	SUPPORT/ NEURAL/OPOSE	WISH TO BE HEARD	SUBMISSION
Shirley Walthew	Oppose	No	Opposes the discharge of waste water to water
Simon Wilkinson	Oppose	Yes	Opposed all aspects of the application on the basis that the application is inconsistent with the provisions of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater
Simon Williams	Oppose	No	Opposed to all parts of the application - the discharge sets an unacceptable precedent
Siobhan Waterhouse	Oppose	No	Opposed all aspects of the application stating that discharges of wastewater to freshwater environments must be avoided in any circumstances.
Sonia Richter	Oppose	No	Concerned about the impact on the environment - if granted should require updates to the infrastructure and have a term of 10 years
Stanley Ward Scoringe	Oppose	Yes	Opposes all aspects of the application concerned about the impact on the environment
Stephen and Patricia Popperwell	Oppose	No	Opposes discharge of raw sewage into pristine lakes - correct infrastructure should be installed
Stephen Skelton	Oppose	Yes	Opposed all aspects of the application on the basis that the discharge will cause significant adverse effects on ecological, public health, amenity, cultural values along with potential for significant cumulative effects. Suggested that the public health report does not provide sufficient assessment of the effects given assumptions have been relied upon rather than evidence. Identifies that there is a lack of assessment of the adverse effects on cultural and tourism values. Relief sought is that the discharge permit is declined.
Susan Scott	Oppose	Yes	Opposes as the proposed discharge is an abhorrent practice that displays complete disregard for residents, visitors and is also disrespectful to Iwi
Sustainable Queenstown Charitable Trust	Oppose	Yes	Opposed to 35 year term requests 10 year term during which upgrades should occur - ORC should
Suzanne Kolff	Oppose	No	Opposes as the dumping of waste to lakes and rivers is a disgusting impact on people's drinking water as well as habitat for animals, birds, fish and plants.
Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu.	Oppose	Yes	Opposes due to impact environment and health concerns - concerned about 35 year term
Te Runanga o Oraka Aparima, Hokonui Runanga and Waihopai Runaka	Oppose	Yes	Opposes as within an area of significant cultural landscape and has various statutory acknowledgements - opposed to application in current form - 25 years maximum

SUBMITTERS NAME	SUPPORT/ NEURAL/OPOSE	WISH TO BE HEARD	SUBMISSION
Tegan Worthington	Oppose	No	Opposes due to impact on the environment and health concerns - concerned about 35 year term
The Lake Hayes Estate and Shotover Country Community Association	Oppose	Yes	Opposes the impact on significant water bodies - QLDC should focus on improving infrastructure and education of tourists and the community
Thomas Bongers	Oppose	Yes	Opposes as the proposal is in contrast to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management, stated Iwi desire to retain and improve the Mana and Mauri of our district especially in regards to our freshwater ecosystems, and the locals wishes
Thomas L Lamb	Oppose	No	Concerned with the impact on the environment and lack of responsibility for monitoring discharges
Thomas Serri	Oppose	No	Opposed due to the impact on the environment QLDC responsible for the weakness of the infrastructure
Thomas Watson	Oppose	Yes	Opposes as the proposal is in contrast to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management, stated Iwi desire to retain and improve the Mana and Mauri of our district especially in regards to our freshwater ecosystems, and the locals wishes
Tony Cox	Oppose	No	Opposed all aspects of the application due to the nature of the discharge and the lack of alternatives considered. Relief sought is that the discharge permit is declined.
Trevor Robert Haig Tinworth and Annabelle Jane Tinworth	Oppose	Yes	Opposes as untreated wastewater should not be discharged to the freshwater environments. For a properly designed, engineered and maintained wastewater network there should be no need to discharge untreated wastewater into the environment.
Trish Fraser on behalf of Sustainable Glenorchy	Oppose	Yes	Opposes the inclusion of Glenorchy in proposed condition 2(b)
Tristan Franklin	Oppose	No	Opposes on behalf of 55 members of the Southern Lakes Swimming Club, as when swimming in the lake often drink the water and do not want further risks to its quality
Warwick and Shirley Deuchrass	Oppose	No	Opposed overflow are unacceptable - emergency discharges should be allowed for limited duration whilst a review is undertaken to establish a better solution
Warwick Kent	Oppose	Yes	Opposed to 35 year term and the impact on the environment and tourism