From: DUNCAN GRAY
To: Rebecca Jackson

**Subject:** Re: RM19.387 - NZTA Beaumont Bridge - S92 response and request for full assessment

**Date:** Friday, 17 January 2020 9:21:55 p.m.

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u> <u>image002.png</u>

## Hi Rebecca

The proposed consent conditions in the application (appendix 4) are very general and high level. They rely on an as yet unwritten CEMP and a statement that the works will occur in general accordance with the application documents. Hence my concern is that there is no detail regrading fish salvage and the use of ecologists etc. If these details will be thrashed out in the drafting of later documents than there is less of an issue. However I note that the CEMP is only required 10 days prior to works beginning

As to effects that will all depend on the conditions drafted to deal with issues like fish salvage and how they are enacted. But if fish stranding is avoided and sediment discharge is prevented then yes effects should be less than minor.

Unless there are other more detailed conditions associated with the application I remain of the opinion that more detail is required pertaining to fish salvage, sediment discharge and ongoing consultation with ecologists

happy to discuss further

Regards

Duncan

Dr Duncan Gray Senior Scientist

Land and Water House 14 Lombard Street

441 Church Street Level 1

Palmerston North 4410 Wellington 6011 P: +64(6) 358 6581 M: +64 (27) 838 6434

www.aquanet.co.nz

On Wed, Jan 15, 2020 at 1:55 PM Rebecca Jackson < Rebecca. Jackson@orc.govt.nz > wrote:

Thanks Duncan,

I will ask that of the applicant. Just to confirm, are you proposing any changes to the conditions that the applicant has recommended? Could you please confirm whether, in your opinion, effects are less than minor, no more than minor or more than minor?

Regards,		
Rebecca		
From: DUNCAN GRAY < duncan.p.gra Sent: Tuesday, 14 January 2020 8:29 p.r. To: Rebecca Jackson < Rebecca.Jackson( Subject: Re: RM19.387 - NZTA Beaum assessment	n. <u>@orc.govt.nz</u> >	and request for full
Hi Rebecca		
I have reviewed the S92 response regards attention to the Ryders comments on eco that the applicant may need alter their preceding advice. In particular how will plan the work program?	logy. I think that their respoposed consent conditions	onse is complete but to enact the
Happy to discuss further		
regards		
Duncan		
Dr Duncan Gray		
Senior Scientist		
?	Land and Water House	14 Lombard Street
	441 Church Street Palmerston North 4410	Level 1


www.aquanet.co.nz

Wellington 6011

P: +64(6) 358 6581

M: +64 (27) 838 6434

	ca
	had an opportunity to look at this yet but don't envisage any major issues. A suggest that 3 hours should be adequate.
regards	
Duncan	
On Wed, wrote:	Jan 8, 2020 at 4:14 PM Rebecca Jackson < Rebecca.Jackson@orc.govt.nz >
1	
Hi Dun	can,
	lowing up to see how you are getting on with the estimate of hours for this
Just fol	lowing up to see how you are getting on with the estimate of hours for this



Please find attached the response from NZTA regarding the request for further information in relation to the new Beaumont Bridge.

Could you please review the Ecological Assessment section and let me know whether this addresses what was asked of in the Section 92 letter (also attached). If it does, could you please provide me with an approximate date that you would be able to have a full assessment completed by. Could you please also let me know if we need the purchase order varied for this work and by how much.

If you have any questions please get in touch (03 470 4707).

Kind regards,



Rebecca Jackson CONSENTS OFFICER

P 0800 474 082 rebecca.jackson@orc.govt.nz www.orc.govt.nz

Important notice

This email contains information which is confidential and may be subject to legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not peruse, use, disseminate, distribute or copy this email or attachments. If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately by return email or telephone (03 474-0827) and delete this email. The Otago Regional Council accepts no responsibility for changes made to this email or to any attachments following the original transmission from its offices. Thank you.