

Q1 (Name)	Q5 (Do you support simplifying fares?)	Q6 (If you don't support this, why not?)	Q7 (Do you have any other comments?)	Q8 (Do you wish to be heard?)	Response ID
Diane Cooney	No	In general the \$2 fare for card users is great...however this new system penalises people who travel in Zone 1. Especially children as this is actually an increase to their fare. If you really want to get cars off the roads the childrens fare needs to be 1\$. At 10\$ a week to and from school..I would put my child on the bus. However at \$15 week...I'll drive them.... and remember many people have more than one child that travels to inner city schools so public transport needs to be cheaper than driving!	Ideally an free innercity bus loop would be the best option! With zones paid for outside zone1. And please consider shift workers when doing timetables...the first nurses shift start at 7am and afternoon shifts finish at 11pm...so buses need to go to ALL suburbs after 11pm. (i catch the 1130 Opoho on weekdays which is wonderful but many of my colleagues dont have this option as no buses after 11pm) which results in so many cars in center of city unnecessarily. Thank you	No	2549823
Kimberly Ischia	No	Those that are entitle to go card extra which are majority low income who due to various reasons quite frequently go one zone, raising the fare from \$1.72 to \$2.00 creates more financial hardship. This makes getting out into the community more difficult. Include into this if we include their children if they have them, there is a increase on their fares from \$1.15 to \$1.50. For my family personally this means our bus fares during the week is going to increase just under \$10 a week with required travel- school, medical appointments, etc. not to mention if we as a family wouldn't like to do anything social on the weekend, again only tend to travel one zone. It is definitely only my family in this situation. Others around me have all similar thoughts, not knowing where this extra money is going to come from is frightening. Already tight budgets are breaking.	I agree it is beneficial for those travelling many zones, but I feel those low income earners who have had lower fares and travel one zone mostly are going to be penalised. Especially those on go card extra who generally rely on public transport for their travel needs.	No	2549824
Wayne Gray	No	Should be user pays, travel further pay more.	Sick of ratepayers subsidizing services they do not use. Time to charge people the full cost if the service.	No	2550041
Sarah ravenwood	No	Because I will be paying more for my children to catch the bus home from school	Buses that are used as solely school buses should be free	No	2550113
Heather Duncley	No	I agree with all the charges except that I think children 5-18 year olds should be free or half price. This will hopefully encourage a lifelong habit of traveling by bus and reduce the ridiculous hordes of cars dropping them at school. This causes mayhem to traffic and parking and is environmentally detrimental.	I think the extension of the super gold card fantastic and well overdue.	No	2550193
	No	This is such a terrible waste of rates money. Not a good use of money. Should be user pays		No	2550255
ANGELA ANDERSON	No	As over 60s free off peak under 18yrs should all be free would cut out a lot of peak traffic		No	2550322
Nic Tregonning	No			No	2550446
Devon Allen	No	I do support the flat fee. However, I believe that keeping a student fare would be beneficial to the community, as it would encourage students and young people to use the busses and encourage domestic tourism by our students around the city.		No	2550617
Angela Stewart	No	I think school children should be free or \$1 if an adult is \$2! Having two children \$6 a day to catch the bus! Cheaper for me to drive them	Please make it free or cheaper for children	No	2550677
Megan Ross	No	I support reducing bus fares to increase the use of public transport and reduce car use. The proposed child fare is too expensive. Some pupils don't have the option of walking to a local school, because there isn't one nearby or the local primary school has closed. These children tend to live in poorer areas (eg Corstorphine). Currently to bus one zone to school it is \$1.15 each way, or \$11.50 a week. The proposal is to increase this to \$1.50 each way, or \$15 a week. That is an increase of \$3.50 a week for each child (over 30%). I think that this will reduce bus use and increase car use. If instead child fares were free, more families would use the bus and car use would reduce. All SuperGold Holders receive NZ Super. Some also have other incomes, yet it us rare for them to have dependants. SuperGold Holders are better placed to pay to use the bus than the general population, especially children. Therefore it is more appropriate for children to travel for free on the bus than for SuperGold Holders to travel for free.	"New Zealand Superannuation is a universal income support paid to all older people meeting basic residency requirements and is set at a level tied to the average wage and indexed against inflation. This combined with relatively high levels of mortgage-free home ownership means that rates of poverty and hardship for older people overall (4-7%) are much lower than for children or the wider population."	No	2550713
Paul Pedofski	No	It will cost me more to travel than the current one zone that I normally do. Why should those who live close to the city and do so because it means they don't need to use a car, subsidies those who choose to live further out. Same goes for the child discounts. Children are a life style decision. No one is forced to have children yet those that do expect to sponge off those that don't.		No	2550764
Juanita Bielecki-Knox	No	While this is a great initiative for those who frequently travel through multiple zones, this works out to be more expensive for those of us who travel consistently only through 1 zone. As a university student, I am almost always only travelling through 1 zone, usually twice daily. As the fare of \$2 is more than the current 1 zone fee for a student, this works out to be more expensive for us. The tertiary student discount will also be removed which is unfortunate as the students are often already struggling to balance finances without increased travel costs.	I really like the Dunedin bus system, however with this new proposed flat fare, I will probably only be using the busses occasionally when needing to travel longer distances.	No	2550787
N	No	I think tertiary students should get a discounted rate, compared to adults.	Why do we need a tap on and off system if it is a flat fare? It won't change the fare?	No	2550812
Deborah Bucannon	No	why should I have to pay more for the bus, when money is so very tight.	It seems to me that this is a way to make more money off the very people who can least afford it.	No	2550946
Grace Neilan	No	I get the bus two zones and it's cheaper than \$2? It's currently \$1.92 why put it up even more. This only benefits people travelling long distances.		No	2551036
Kirsten M Gibson	No	I think it should be cheaper per trip. Or possibly a day rate that's cheaper than 2 separate trips		No	2551549
Findlay Campbell	No	I support flat fares and a single zone. I do not support the price, which is still too high. Buses fares should be \$1 for adults and 50c for concessions.	The buses should be free instead of using a flat fare. Furthermore I request that the ORC continues to take up work with the Keep Dunedin moving partnership that the ORC should take any step possible to subsidise / reduce the price of bus services in Dunedin. I request that the ORC petitions central government to amend the legislation requiring fare box recovery. I request that the ORC petitions central government to remove the requirement of a privately owned bus network from the Land Transport Management Act.	No	2551659

Q1 (Name)	Q5 (Do you support simplifying fares?)	Q6 (If you don't support this, why not?)	Q7 (Do you have any other comments?)	Q8 (Do you wish to be heard?)	Response ID
Nick Vyle	No	<p>Simplifying the zone system is a great idea, and the flat fares are a step in the right direction. However, moving to a completely free system should be the goal of the ORC/DCC.</p> <p>There are two main reasons why:</p> <p>Firstly, we want to encourage more people to use buses, right? With a fare, there is still too much 'friction' for most users. For people who use a bus less than, say, once or twice a week, there is little incentive to buy a bus card. Additionally, most people (I think) don't carry cash anymore. Certainly less people than in past years carry cash. The simplest and most effective way to solve this is to make all bus fares free.</p> <p>Imagine a Dunedin in which all bus fares are free. Travellers could hop on and hop off as they please, leading to much greater mobility for everybody. This would be great for traffic congestion and great for tourism.</p> <p>Secondly, is it fair to use rates, paid by most people, to provide free buses, which not everybody will use? Yes, it is. The money spent on buses will more than be worth it in terms of reduced congestion, greater mobility, and less pollution. As the buses will be free for absolutely everybody, it is difficult to argue that congestion, etc. will be a problem.</p>	I'd be more than happy to elaborate further, or do further research. The staff at the economics department at the University would have some good input too.	No	2551745
Lillian Ward	No	I support the flat fare in principle but note that tertiary students will lose their concession under the current plan. I would prefer all concession holders retain a discount - perhaps the youth fare could be a youth and student fare.	See above	No	2552168
Nicola Dever	No	I think that it will be disadvantageous to low income people especially in Student areas and South Dunedin who often may have just enough cash for a fare into town or to the shops and this option will cost especially 1 Zone travellers more money and significantly subsidise passengers in more affluent further out areas such as Mosgiel and Waitati. Also if there is to be free travel for Super Gold card holders this should be extended to Community Service card holders, as these travellers are likely to be much less financially secure than Super Gold Card Holders.		No	2552198
Toni-Ann Alsop	No	I think you should retain the current single zone rates because this is essentially a big increase in bus fares for people that only make a single zone trip. In particular I am a little concerned that increasing a 1 zone fare for a child from 1.15 to 1.50 is making this a little too. If you have two kids and they go to and from school on a bus this adds up to an extra 7 dollars a week. I would be OK with this idea if you made the rate at the current 1 zone charge but nope you are just getting the people who only go one zone to pay for everyone else. Please reconsider the increase in one zone prices.	Nice way to be divisive, everyone that usually goes more than one zone is going to think this is great - everyone that only goes one zone is going to be unhappy. Try something that is fair to everyone please	No	2552332
Jordana Whyte	No	I support simplifying the zones and charging flat fee, however, I would support making the fares even cheaper. If we want people out of their cars and onto public transport, you need to make them an offer they can't refuse. Although I am a cyclist and rarely use the bus, I am happy for other bus users to leverage my rates and make the ride cheaper for them. I have no problem subsidising other users, including visitors to the area.	I understand that it may not be realistic to make the flat fees cheaper than what you have laid out here, but I hope it will be considered.	No	2552626
William Perriman	No	While this benefits longer bus trips significantly, one zone bus trips are more expensive with this proposal, as is the child fare for one zone.		No	2552667
Delyth Sunley	No	Cash fare disadvantages the poorest people	I support measures to encourage more bus use. I support free buses. I support transition to electric public transport.	No	2552764
Gina Preece	No	These proposed changes are costing us more. Not cheaper.	Reduce the fair more.	No	2552963
Leeanne Jack	No	I think school buses should be free - this would mean hundreds of cars (doing drop offs schools) would need to be on the roads Also my sons bus fare to school would go UP by 35c on each trip	It shouldn't be dearer time for kids to take the bus than it was before. I also think university students should have child/student rate	No	2553026
Emma Ralston	No	I am a student and would only usually travel 1 zone so now it is more expensive for me to travel to uni and it is too far to walk.	Cheaper fee for university students	No	2553623
Emily McEwan	No	<p>1. This proposal would result in a fare increase for a notable portion of patrons who travel only 1 zone, which would have a significant cumulative effect over the 3-month timeframe, especially for child patrons. 31.5% of all trips are for a single zone (excludes SuperGold) and all patrons would experience an increased fare. This would range from a 2% increase for adults, to 14% increase for students, and 23% increase for children (based on GoBus card fares). The increases are even greater for cash fares for adults (13%) and children (47%). This compares to very significant savings for other patrons (for example, an 82% saving for a GoBus cardholder on a 5-zone trip). It is likely that individual patrons will complete trips of similar length every time they use the bus, so the same patrons would be repeatedly penalised while others would accrue substantial savings over the term of the scheme. This is an inequitable approach with especially significant negative effects for child patrons and for single zone travelers.</p> <p>2. The cost of implementing the recommended scheme in terms of lost revenue is estimated in the range of \$20k-29k per month. This is a very small expense considered in the context of the 'pre-Covid' annual fare revenue for Dunedin of \$5.2million (a loss of less than 0.6% per month). An alternative, more equitable scheme would be well worth considering even if the lost revenue was higher. Furthermore, it is likely that as fares reduce, there is a corresponding exponential increase in patronage.</p>	<p>4. I propose a more equitable proposal, based on 2 zones but with flat pricing across all fare-paying patrons. Zone 1 could include current zones 1&2 and be charged at \$1 per trip BeeCard (for adults, children and students) or \$2 cash; Zone 2 could include all other current zones and be charged at \$2 per trip BeeCard or \$4 cash. This would result in a fare increase only for children travelling 1 zone who are paying by cash. Based on data from the Council agenda, I estimate this to be less than 1% of trips (although Council staff could provide more accurate data).</p> <p>5. I also propose that there should be further work done on the possibility of this scheme being implemented for the long-term. This would include using the three-month period as a trial to enable monitoring of its effects and research on users' changing attitudes and travel habits to inform the future approach.</p>	No	2554923
Karri Hartley	No	It will be more expensive for me to catch the bus as a tertiary student with the flat fee.		No	2554952
Max Harvey	No	I think Tertiary students should be included in the 'youth' category or it should be made into a general 'student' category. Also I've started using the buses during lockdown for the first time in a long time and I quite like the free bus offerings.	Free buses all the time would be nice.	No	2555870
Megan Hanham	No	A student fare should be introduced, equal to the fare for youth. Can be verified by supplying current student ID at time of purchase of the bus card.		No	2555872
Kaela Muir	No	Because it puts the student fare up. It should be the same as the youth fare to make it a general fare for all students.		No	2555894

Q1 (Name)	Q5 (Do you support simplifying fares?)	Q6 (If you don't support this, why not?)	Q7 (Do you have any other comments?)	Q8 (Do you wish to be heard?)	Response ID
Carrie Wooller	No	\$2 a trip is hard for students like me who use catch a bus frequently within one current zone to participate in voluntary community services. It's going to make it a ton more expensive to participate in in the long run, which will deter me and other students from volunteering within the community if it costs \$4 to go somewhere and come home per trip. A reduced student fair could be a solution to this issue.		No	2555972
K Anderson	No	Students should be included as YOUTH. They are poorer than children. On the condition they show their Student ID. Happy for the ONE Zone. Think that is a great idea.		No	2556101
Charlotte Su	No	Students should be included in youth		No	2556786
Miranda Olivia Evans	No	I think \$2 for cash 2 zones and \$1.00 for one zone would be better cheaper to catch a bus and free for the super gold.		No	2556790
Sarah Frampton	No	Great idea, but not for those who want to travel within one zone (which we do with our young children for school drop offs). Would end up more expensive with the proposed plan. I think travelling within 1 zone should therefore be free, and the proposed bee rates thereafter.		No	2557083
Grace Corbett	No	As a student, I would be paying more to bus everyday with the bee card. I do think this is a good idea as it's simple and easy but it will add up to cost than what it did with a go card	I have money still loaded on my go card, can I still use it? And if not do I get my money back?	No	2559272
David Robert Watts	No	The flat fare should be the amount of the current one zone fare, otherwise some will be faced with an increase in cost.	no, otherwise great idea.	No	2562113
Meg Davidson	No	The flat fees cause inequities. Those travelling one zone only will actually be paying more. I would prefer zero fees or the current discounts for students and the disabled, with bus fares CAPPED at \$2. I oppose the sharp rise in some child fares.	Thank you for making travel free for gold card holders. Free busing also all school students would do a lot to ease traffic congestion around schools and the campus area.	No	2562346
Terry Wilson	No	The extra cost to ratepayers isn't justified.	Supporting information for this survey seems to be deliberately misleading because there is no mention of the extra ratepayer cost and no mention of the objective ("convenient and attractive" is spin-doctoring). What is the purpose and what are the measurements to judge success/failure. This is a fake consultation designed to encourage supportive responses - and therefore dishonest. Also, this should be a Special Consultative Procedure.	No	2562581
Jasmin Taylor	No	I support the one zone/flat fare of \$2 in general but - we need free transfers, people who need to change buses to reach their destination should not be penalised. - student fares should be free too, for anyone learning primary/secondary/tertiary education. - disabled people should also travel free.		No	2563092
Tim Hambleton	No	See my comments below.	Question 4 is too broad because while I support the concept overall, I do not support a \$1.50 fee for youths. To get to and from school is often one zone. It is a significant increase to go from the current one zone youth fare of about \$1.13 to \$1.50. If you have to charge children for going to school (I don't think you should) a flat fee for one zone for youths of \$1.00 would be fairer.	No	2563302
Carol Jamieson	No	Concerned over cost to ratepayers and on going debt to dunedin city council. Economically not sound policy	Yes \$3 adult and \$2 child and scaling rate for casual depending on distance \$3 or \$4 dollars	No	2565727
maggie mccormick	No	can we top u cards from bank account to bus card? if not why not..	YES to \$2 rides- a great idea. BUT, be sure to mention you don't want ANYONE to pay more than they do now. Bus Hub to to City Rise for instance! TRUTH is, the plan of \$2 for adults & \$1.50 for children sounds great, BUT for those who currently do one zone only, it will COST MORE- for disabled & children. WHAT!	No	2566149
Anita Admiraal	No	Overall a very good idea but as a regular bus user who travels one zone this will mean that I pay more. I suggest a flat rate of \$1 could be applied for travel less than two zones and for students.		No	2566537
Shaan Kumar	No	I instead support a 'tap on and off' system where you essentially pay for exactly the distance you travel. This is more fair to people who want to travel short distances, such as even just across Princes St into the University. I don't think its fair that someone travelling this short distance should be paying the same amount as someone travelling from Mosgiel for example.	Students should have a free / reduced fair. This will encourage more people to use the services, particularly those living in North East Valley or otherwise further from the University. It will also encourage students to venture out of North Dunedin, instead of having to feel that they have to stay within walking distance of the University (and thus inflating student rent prices).	No	2566870
Adele Finnie	No	Unfair on the students who are majority within 1 zone. Would mean an increase in daily cost when already on low income.	Allow valid student ID cards to come under youth category.	No	2566872
Hunter Kingsbeer	No	I think the \$2 flat rate is good, however I'm disappointed that there's no student discount. It's now going to cost me more than before to travel to and from home as a student. Before i was paying about \$1.70. A \$1 rate for students would be fantastic		No	2566873
Miriam Buhler	No	This is too expensive for students. We don't usually travel more than one zone anyway. \$2 a bus ride adds up over a week. Please consider making the fare cheaper or free with a student ID card. Students really need to be encouraged to use the busses, as opposed to buying a car when they move down to Dunedin, it needs to be an easy and cheap option, this will also free up parking.		No	2566968
Becks Hall	No	\$2 is a pretty steep price if I'm just taking the bus from North Road into uni... that's \$4 a day, \$24 per week for a really short bus ride. Doesnt seem to make sense to me	Could be a good idea to reinstate the student discount. We really don't have that much money to burn	No	2567015
Liam Hewson	No	Tertiary students have limited income and significant demands upon this limited financial resource. They contribute significantly to the local economy, by supporting local businesses, the university and via rent / rates etc. Thus, tertiary students should have free or discounted fares when using the bus network, upon presentation of a student ID		No	2567059
Tarryn Clark	No	Students should receive a discounted rate and should not be included in the adult fare. They should at least be included in the youth fair of \$1.50		No	2567334
Josiah Edwin	No	I would like either a discount or better, free travel to be applied to university students too. We use the bus quite a lot and this fee would mean an extra cost that would have to be taken from a very tight budget. These fees will encourage less students to use public transport which is detrimental for everyone.		No	2567338
Karen Roberts	No	I'm a student, I'm broke asf as it is, I'm only travelling 500 meters from my flat to my job in the city and \$2 each way is a scam!!!!	Students bring so much money into the dunedin economy as it is! Let us have free bus rides! The majority of us don't have that far to travel. Absolute rip off! I will pull a "mayor Aaron Hawkins" if this comes forward and rely on the generosity of the public to get around town	No	2567384

Q1 (Name)	Q5 (Do you support simplifying fares?)	Q6 (If you don't support this, why not?)	Q7 (Do you have any other comments?)	Q8 (Do you wish to be heard?)	Response ID
Clare Sullivan	No	I am a student and I take the bus every day to and from uni. With the tertiary discount, it costs me \$1.73 per trip (even though it's one zone, I'm right on the fringes of the next zone, so it's brilliant). Even though this would mean an increase of 54c per day, that small amount really starts to add up when it's extra costs every single day. The student discount has made such a difference for me the last four years studying in Dunedin and has meant looking for accommodation in Dunedin but outside the student area (with less noise, and better quality flats) a possibility.	I love the idea of having one zone, and definitely agree with free travel for Supercard Holders, but it would be great if Tertiary students didn't have to miss out on a discounted price too.	No	2567408
Charlotte McColl	No	Students should get free bus rides, or at least a reduced rate such as the youth rate		No	2567567
Amirah Osama	No	I support it for the most part, but this doesn't consider students, who should also have reduced or free rates with a student ID		No	2567604
Amber Holdem	No	As a university student I think that it would be detrimental to not introduce a tertiary student discount. For those like myself - who's flat is within walking distance of the university campus but taking the bus is more convenient time wise - losing the tertiary student discount will be a large deterrent from using the bus service. Especially if the fare is \$3 if you do not have the Bee Card.	I would support the new fares if there was a tertiary student discount.	No	2567681
Georgia Reynolds	No	There should be a reduced rate for tertiary students.	There should be a reduced rate for tertiary students.	No	2567711
Rebecca Branch	No	Because I'm a student that only ever travel one zone so I'll be charged more		No	2568837
Christine Johnston	No	I think the child fare is too high. I have 3 young grandchildren so for me to take them into town would cost 6.50. 13.00 return which is a lot of money. I am happy with the adult fare but I think you need either a very reduced child fare or a family fare of perhaps \$5.00 per trip. a day pass would be good where you could hop on/hop off all day. The transfer thing needs to be extended from 30 minutes to 4 hours. Paying 13.00 return trip would not encourage me to take the bus. Mind you if I lived at Mosgiel or Palmerston this would be a major bargain!!!!		No	2568840
Wayne Johnston	No	I support simplifying but I do not agree with the costs. For a family of 2 adults and 3 children a return trip to town would be 17.00 which is very high. I would not use the bus at this price. It would be good if kids could travel free in school holidays. People living at Mosgiel or Palmerston would think this fare structure is wonderful but a town suburb is simply too dear. The current price is amazing!!		No	2568892
Georgia Jennings	No	There should be free fares for university students	There should be free fares for university students	No	2569034
Donald Shand	No	All bus use should be free by way of a ORC rates charge to all residents to incentivise public transport in Dunedin and to encourage private vehicle users to switch to mass public transport.	The move to encourage greater uptake of public transport aligns well with the Dunedin City Council's current review of centre city transport planning designed to make the city more pedestrian friendly.	No	2571178
Miriam Frances	No	I would like to see all buses fully paid for out of rates and electric. If we are to have a future people need to stop using fossil fuelled cars. The above plan is unfair. Youth are penalised.	If you want the city busy and the busses humming around it and less traffic...free up the busses to all.	No	2571190
REBECCA J THORBY	No	I think students and pensioners should have a discount or free travel just like under 18 or olds or kids.		No	2571659
Katrina Bevan	No	Removal of student discount SHOULD BE SAME AS YOUTH RATE. Unfairly makes it more expensive for this group as they predominantly live closer to city and therefore the majority do not benefit from the removal of zones		No	2571749
Marama Grant	No	It will cost some travellers more.	Please get someone who is a regular user to write the timetables. For example, the only two buses that travel up High st travel within minutes of each other as opposed to being spread out evenly throughout the hour. Please extend the transfer time - half an hour is ridiculous!! You may wait longer than that for your next bus to arrive. Most modern cities offer at least a 2 hour transfer. Please allow dogs and animals in carriers on the bus. Have a daily fare cap...it's only reasonable.	No	2572022
David Cohen	No	Lower fares to increase usage might work to increase patronage, but smaller, more comfortable buses that are better matched to our narrow roads, and running in dedicated lanes where practical, on more considered routes, might work a lot better.	Has any consideration been given to a ring-route bus that doesn't require you to go in to the city centre to go between essentially adjacent suburbs? As an example, would cite the Orbiter in Christchurch.	No	2573923
Paige	No	All students should benefit from the 1.50 fare. Not just primary and secondary students.		No	2574495
Michael	No	It's more expensive for people who only take 1 zone frequently, that's 0.08 more expensive than the current 1 zone fare.	It'll be happy to take \$1.80 flat fare	No	2574713
Dave Gooselink	No	It unfairly over-subsidises passengers who live further away, and take up more resources of the public transport system.	I don't support high subsidies of the "public transport system" by ORC ratepayers	No	2575739
Rebecca Mary Reid	No	The buses should stay free to all users. As a regular bus user I would still find the proposed fees too dear. My daughter and I catch 4 buses each, five days a week. We are a single parent household. While the proposed fares are an improvement on the past fares our transport fees will not be insignificant each week and this in turn means we will have less money to spend on other essentials. Since the buses have been free I have seen so many new faces on my daily buses and our weekly budget has not been as impossibly tight!	Please take this opportunity to make our city a truly accessible city. Many families struggle to make ends meet, much more so since the horror of Covid 19. A well advertised free to all bus service will help our city be greener and more environmentally friendly as more cars come off our roads more often.	No	2576267
Martin Quinn	No	I do support it, but also would like to see a flat rate for all day travel, to encourage users to travel by bus through their whole day.		No	2576306

Q1 (Name)	Q5 (Do you support simplifying fares?)	Q6 (If you don't support this, why not?)	Q7 (Do you have any other comments?)	Q8 (Do you wish to be heard?)	Response ID
Craig Monk	No	<p>Actually, I fully support the parts of your plan that make buses in `Otepoti cheaper and more accessible. However, I would like to see you go further and make short distance travel free (current equivalent of 1 Zone).</p> <p>Free travel for short journeys would stimulate our economy by encouraging people to shop local, including access within the CBD.</p> <p>It would also avoid the fare increases for short journeys in the current proposal, that would otherwise disadvantage people under 65 on low incomes, including young people.</p> <p>It would increase bus use, and therefore revenue for ORC in the longer term.</p>	<p>It would be good to see further proposals from you to make all kinds of public transport in `Otepoti more accessible, and increase its use. Connecting Dunedin shows you have begun work towards this goal with DCC, NZTA and other partners. Please continue and expand this work.</p> <p>It would be good to see you work with community event organisers and entertainment businesses to offer bus travel to and from one-off events, by offering the proposed fares on extra services that mirror existing routes. As part of this, please consider how you could enable Bee card users to pre-book bus journeys. This would increase public safety - especially at night - and be good for our economy. There is good practice from our communities you could build on (Chicks Hotel's 'Magic Bus', Arc Brewing Company, Waitati Music Festival), as well as your own work (stadium events with DCC / DVML).</p> <p>It's very welcome that bus drivers in `Otepoti are finally going to be paid living wage. Please ensure that worker welfare stays at the forefront of your contract negotiations.</p> <p>Operational comments:</p> <p>Please introduce timed stop at all points on bus journeys. The current</p>	No	2578608
My details are private	No	This will mean an extra \$63 per year	<p>It's a great idea but the price should be no more than a 1 zone fare not more expensive.</p> <p>You do realise that no one will bother tagging off</p>	No	2579234
Glenda Powell	No	I would be paying more for one zone!		No	2582596
Megan Kyle	No	I'm a tertiary student and frequently travel within zone 1, meaning this will be a significant increase in fare. I also know of other students, and high school students who travel in zone 1 for whom this will be quite an increase as well. My brother catches the bus to and from school every day within zone one so per week he will lose \$3.50 which doesn't seem much initially but over time will be a significant amount.		No	2583534
Emma Warren	No	The tertiary students must be included in the reduced fare structure - a \$2 journey is an increase on the fare I paid before COVID19 - this is not fair.		No	2584198
Meghan Kruskopf	No	The price would increase for a single zone student, it would now be cheaper for me to use my car every day. How can a journey from the octagon to High street cost the same as the bus hub to Mosgiel, the rate should be adjusted for much further distances, these distances aren't comparable, yes it works out cheaper for someone in Mosgiel but the majority of people using the buses are within the city and that proposed rate is too high. More people will use the bus if it remains cheaper for everyone, the proposed fares only appeal to those living beyond one zone. Why is there no student rate?	<p>What if when changing buses they only run every half hour and the next bus is late and you are charged for a second trip despite only changing bus as part of your single journey. \$3 is also very expensive if you do not have a card and only need to travel a few blocks. If implemented I think the \$5 charge for not tagging off should be waived for the first couple months as no one is used to this, it is quite a big cost for simply forgetting the new system.</p>	No	2584432
Holly Aitchison	No	It will make bus travel more expensive for people in hardship	Cash fare should be \$2	No	2584714
Sarah Davis	No	Children should not be charged more than \$1	You should NOT be punished & charged \$5 for forgetting to tag off when there is now a flat rate \$2 anywhere. This ESP should not apply to children	No	2584795
Nikki Bradley	No	Children should be free. Students will be \$4 a day that's 20 a week! With no income.		No	2584815
Betty Rawlings	No	card for youth should be \$1.00, not \$1.50.		No	2584880
Sharron McCormack	No	Our 2 boys catch the bus to school and currently costs 1.15 each way under the new proposal it costs us 1.50 they travel from Mosgiel to Fairfield so its not fair ie they arms are going a short distance on one bus		No	2584994
Henriette Hafermann	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All school children should be free \$1 max for adults day passes should be offered at \$5max 	it should be allowed to bring small dogs on the bus	No	2585466
Martin Forbes	No	I think that bus travel can and should be free.	I think this is an opportunity to get a maximum number of people using the bus system.	No	2585527
Ellen Walters	No	I think it's great to be transitioning to tag on/off and reducing the complication of the zones. Being from Chch the current Dunedin zones seem very small. I don't agree with not having a student price, especially with so many of them in Dunedin and the city usually being accommodating to them. I think it would be great for a student price to be added, there are other concession fares there. Removing a concession seems antithetical to encouraging bus usage and having a positive new system.		No	2585970
Kate Hall	No	I think that Go card extra cardholders should pay the \$1.50. They should get cheaper fares than the general public		No	2586262
Pam Romero	No	If you are just travelling within one zone then you are paying more than the current fare. Though if you are travelling within two zones or more than that then paying \$2 is not too bad.		No	2586645
Sam van der Weerden	No	<p>I support the principle of a simplified fare structures with lower fares, however I have concerns about how increased zone 1 fares will affect communities that generally have less disposable income — students, children, etc.</p> <p>I hope that the PT team is able to implement some sort of student and child discount associated with individual Bee Cards. However I understand that this could prove difficult to implement in time as it'd require all schools, uni and the polytech to be onboard and ready for this verification very soon.</p> <p>If such a discount is not possible in the short term, then I'd suggest a flat \$2 fare for travel in 2+ zones, and \$1.50 for single zone travel. This maintains the 'simple' aspect of the structure while keeping fares equitable.</p>	<p>I take the 30 minute transfer window to mean that from the time of tag-off you have 30 minutes to tag-on again without being charged another \$2. It is unclear whether this will remain the case for a second (or more) transfer.</p> <p>I suggest that up to three transfers should be included in a single trip and each transfer window be 60 minutes, so that a passenger can travel between any two points on the Orbus network for \$2. A 30 minute window would exclude many transfers where connecting services run on 60 minute frequencies (an issue in evenings and weekends). Four transfers would allow, say, a journey from Brighton to South Dunedin to be no more expensive than a journey from Palmerston to Portobello. It seems arbitrary that one should cost more than the other only because ORC doesn't run direct services in some places while running them in others.</p>	No	2586766
Sophie orchiston	No	Because as a student usually going 1 zone I pay less than \$1.50 So it be ripping me off with this system		No	2587066
Jess Corbett	No	<p>I think the \$2 card fare should be \$1.80. \$2 is too high for those only travelling the current equivalent of one zone.</p> <p>The \$3 cash fare is ok as long as there isn't a minimum amount needed to top up a bee card on the bus (as is the case with the current bus cards). If you are low income and don't even have \$10 to top up a card, then you end up paying more as a cash fare, even though you have less money to start with - it's not fair.</p>	I hope the bee cards have better functionality than the current cards. At least the Wellington snapper cards could be read with a phone app so you know what your balance is before you get to the bus stop. Many times I've got on the bus and found I don't have enough on my card to go anywhere, and had to get off and walk instead. It's not practical to keep a little paper receipt from your last trip to find out how much is left on your card.	No	2587172

Q1 (Name)	Q5 (Do you support simplifying fares?)	Q6 (If you don't support this, why not?)	Q7 (Do you have any other comments?)	Q8 (Do you wish to be heard?)	Response ID
Nathan Laurie	No	The removal of tertiary student concessions creates a barrier for Dunedin's students making use of the bus system. A group which makes up a quarter of Dunedin's population		No	2587407
Suzanne Caulton	No	I travel one zone. This new flat fare is more expensive than what I'm paying now.	I think, if you want to get people out of their cars, the only way is to provide a free, clean, reliable, safe bus service. And if you really don't want to invest in that, make people who travel one zone and 18 year-olds and under (who don't usually have much money and need their parents to help them), free.	No	2587636
Brylee Saunders	No	While I support the idea I don't support the cost. It is saving some people money which is great but will cost more for others. If it was the flat fee for all day travel that would be great (return trip I mean on the same route)		No	2587710
Ryan Kenton	No	Bus Fares should remain free. I have used the bus system more in the time it has been free than in my entire life. If the busses are free more people will use them	No	No	2587721
Aliesha	No	People who have limited ability to walk to supermarkets will be paying a higher fare than they usually would if they are going short distances. Student fare should be 50 cents. It is hard enough for parents to get children to school at the moment. If we can reduce this barrier then it makes it easier to access education.		No	2587731
Johnny Mottershead	No	I would support it if there was a student discount		No	2587880
Helen couling	No	If just travelling one section it will actually cost more to travel this distance	One section price & then flat fare anything over that .	No	2587885
Maree Noone	No	I still think there should be zones but make it for every 5km		No	2587932