

#### Engineering Geology Ltd

- +64 9 486 2546
- info@egl.co.nz
- Unit 7C, 331 Rosedale Road, Albany, Auckland PO Box 301054, Albany, Auckland 0752
- www.egl.co.nz

EGL Ref: 9745

## OCEANA GOLD (NEW ZEALAND) LIMITED MACRAES OPERATION TRIMBELLS WASTE ROCK STACK CLOSURE STABILITY REPORT

Prepared for:

Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Limited P O Box 5442 Dunedin **OTAGO**  23 August 2024



## **DOCUMENT CONTROL**

#### **Document information**

Title	OCEANA GOLD (NEW ZEALAND) LIMITED MACRAES
	OPERATION TRIMBELLS WASTE ROCK STACK – CLOSURE
	STABILITY REPORT
Revision	1
Date	23 August 2024
EGL Reference	9745
<b>Client Reference</b>	-
File Name	Trimbells WRS Closure Stability Report Rev 0.docx

#### **Document roles and approvals**

Role	Name	Credentials	Signature	Doc.	Date
				Rev.	
Author	A. Hope	BE (Hons) Civil,	M	1	23/08/2024
		MEngNZ, CPEng	Hym		
Reviewer	E. Torvelainen	BE (Hons) Civil,	1/1	1	23/08/2024
		MEngNZ	2. Corvelaine		
EGL	E. Torvelainen	EGL Director	1/1	1	23/08/2024
Approval			2. Corvelaine		

Final copy issue requires signatures.

#### **Document revision and issue record**

Revision.	Date	Revision Description	Issue by
А	26/07/2023	Draft for Comment	E. Torvelainen
В	11/10/2023	Update for revised project	E. Torvelainen
0	02/02/2024	Final Issue	E. Torvelainen
1	23/08/2024	Update of seepage and stability	E. Torvelainen
		analyses in response to Section 92 RFIs	

Draft revisions are given alphabetic characters. Final copy issue and subsequent revision are given numeric characters.

## Document applicability and disclaimers

This report has been prepared by EGL (Engineering Geology Limited) solely for the benefit of Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Limited as our client with respect to the particular brief given to us.

The content of this report and any advice given in undertaking this work cannot to be relied on by any person or parties other than the client, or for any purpose other than the client's particular brief, without EGL's prior agreement.

This report shall only be read in its entirety.

Where this report is issued in draft the contents shall be for initial information and review only and are subject to change and shall not be relied upon.

## CONTENTS

## Page No.

1.0 INTRODUCTION	4
2.0 SITE GRID	5
3.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE TRIMBELLS WASTE ROCK STACK	5
4.0 GEOLOGY	5
4.1. Regional Geology	5
4.2. Site Geology	6
4.2.1. Soils	6
4.2.2. Schist	6
5.0 IN-SITU ROCK, AND WASTE ROCK CHARACTERISTICS	7
5.1. In-situ Rock	7
5.2. Waste Rock	7
6.0 SEISMIC HAZARD	8
6.1. Background	8
6.2. Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Analyses	8
7.0 DESIGN AND ANALYSIS	8
7.1. General	8
7.2. Stability	9
7.2.1. Pore Pressures	9
7.2.2. Shear Strength Parameters	9
7.2.3. Results of Stability Analysis	9
8.0 RISKS AND MITIGATIONS	10
9.0 CONCLUSIONS	10
10.0 REFERENCES	11

## FIGURES 1-7

## **TABLES 1-4**

## APPENDIX A - SEEPAGE AND STABILITY ANALYSES



#### **Engineering Geology Ltd**

- **)** +64 9 486 2546
- ☑ info@egl.co.nz
- Unit 7C, 331 Rosedale Road, Albany, Auckland PO Box 301054, Albany, Auckland 0752
- www.egl.co.nz

EGL Ref: 9745

23 August 2024

#### OCEANA GOLD (NEW ZEALAND) LIMITED MACRAES OPERATION TRIMBELLS WASTE ROCK STACK CLOSURE STABILITY REPORT

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

The Macraes Operation is located at Macraes Flat in East Otago as shown in Figure 1. Gold and scheelite were initially produced at Macraes by underground mining from the 1890's to the 1920's. Production recommenced for the current operation in 1990 with an open pit mine. The main features of the Macraes Operation are shown in Figure 2.

OceanaGold have proposed extensions at three open pits and creation of a new tailings storage facility for the Macraes Operation called Macraes Phase 4 (MP4). The features of the proposed MP4 are shown in Figure 3. For the purposes of this application, MP4 includes expansion of the operations at the Innes Mills, Coronation and Golden Bar Pits. Waste Rock will be placed in Golden Point Pit, Frasers Pit, Innes Mill Pit, Golden Bar WRS and Coronation North Pit. An additional Tailings Storage Facility (Frasers) will be created in the mined-out Frasers Pit by the placement of a backfill embankment at the northern end. Expansion of the Golden Point Underground, the initial stage of tailings deposition into Frasers Pit and Innes Mills Stage 8 are the subject of separate resource consent applications.

Engineering Geology Limited (EGL) has been engaged by Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Limited (i.e. OceanaGold) to review the closure stability of the Trimbells Waste Rock Stack (WRS). The Trimbells WRS forms part of the Coronation North WRS as shown on Figures 1 and 2. The Coronation North Pit has not been mined to the full depth and therefore the full storage capacity of the Coronation North WRS was not required. The layout of the Trimbells WRS is shown on Figures 4 to 6. The Trimbells WRS is covered by the existing consent for Coronation North WRS and will remain in place in perpetuity.

The Coronation Pit comprising the merged Stage 5 and 6 voids will fill up with water as part of the mine closure plan. The closure plan has a proposed outlet channel (660m RL) at the southern end of the Coronation Pit, which will discharge to Highlay Creek. Highlay Creek is part of the Deepdell Creek Catchment. However, there is a low point in the Northern wall of Coronation Pit (637m RL) that will result in up to approximately 23m of water depth being locally impounded against the Trimbells WRS. This will result in seepage through the Trimbells WRS, ultimately entering the Trimbells Gully Creek. While the Trimbells WRS is in effect damming the water in Coronation Pit, EGL consider there to be no potentially catastrophic failure modes due to the approximately 500m long seepage path length and any piping and backward erosion type failure mechanisms would not be self-sustaining.



## 2.0 SITE GRID

All plan grids and references to the site are based on mine north which is approximately 45 degrees anti-clockwise from true north.

## 3.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE TRIMBELLS WASTE ROCK STACK

The Trimbells WRS has a maximum crest level of 675mRL with typical slope angles of 1V:2.5H adopted in the construction. The contoured surface of the WRS has been blended with the adjacent natural slopes. The original ground contours, prior to the development of the Coronation Project are shown on Figure 4 with the footprint of the existing Trimbells WRS shown for context. The existing site contours are shown on Figure 5, illustrating the backfilling of the ephemeral gullies forming the southern catchment of the Trimbells Gulley Creek.

The WRSs on site typically have a layer of coarse rock at the base of a fill layers due to the tip head process, where large rock pieces segregate the material mass as they roll to the bottom of the tip face. The fill layers are approximately 10 to 15m high. This horizontal layering will provide contrasting permeability and strength within the WRS.

## 4.0 GEOLOGY

#### 4.1. Regional Geology

The basement rock in Central and East Otago comprises Otago schist. The Otago schist is primarily composed of psammitic and pelitic grey schist derived from metamorphism of Mesozoic age sandstone and mudstone. In the area of Macraes Flat, the rocks have been metamorphosed to green schist metamorphic facies, giving a strongly foliated fabric of dark grey micaceous and light grey quartz-rich laminations.

From previous geotechnical investigations for the Macraes Gold Project it is apparent that the prominent geological structure includes a well-developed schistosity with two dominant fault sets. West of the Footwall Fault, that defines the Footwall of the Hyde – Macraes Shear Zone (HMSZ), the schistosity is folded and has a varying trend over the project area revealing a series of anticlines and synclines. Foliation dips either to the northwest, north, west or southwest. East of the Footwall Fault (i.e., the hanging wall) the schistosity has more of an easterly trend. At Coronation the Footwall Fault position is inferred as a subtle feature on the landscape. Unlike Frasers and Round Hill to the south, where gold mineralisation extends vertically for 100m to 120m below the Hanging Wall Shear to the Footwall Fault, at Coronation the gold mineralisation is restricted to a 10m thick Hanging Wall Shear that is underlain by 90 to 100m of unmineralised foliated schist. The Trimbells WRS is located approximately 500m to the north of the proposed Coronation Pit stage 6 extension and overlies the inferred northern extension of both the Footwall Fault and the Hanging Wall Shear

The major set of faults has an eastern trend. They exhibit Miocene (recent tectonic) deformations and are related to formation of the Alpine Fault. This deformation has faulted and folded the surface within Central and East Otago to produce the present-day basin and range topography.

The second set of faults has a northern trend, and the most significant of these is the Hyde-Macraes Shear Zone.

The Hyde–Macraes Shear Zone (HMSZ) comprises a mineralised shear zone which has been mapped for at least 25km by OGL geologists. The HMSZ represents the principal gold bearing ore body exploited by OceanaGold and generally strikes north and dips at about 15° to the east. Tectonic displacement associated with the HMSZ is inferred to be in the order of hundreds of metres, with this movement initiating some 120 to 150 million years ago. The ore-schist zone of the HMSZ consists of predominantly pelite and semipelite, but includes blocks of psammite, typically well foliated and containing mineralised quartz veins.

## 4.2. Site Geology

Geotechnical investigation for the Coronation North WRS comprised field mapping of the site and review of the borehole investigation and mapping carried out for the adjacent Coronation North Pit (Ref. 1). No further investigations have been undertaken as part of the development of this report.

## 4.2.1. Soils

The prevalent rock outcrops and head scarps of shallow slips observed on the sides of gullies, show that there is only a thin layer of soil overlying the bedrock.

## 4.2.2. Schist

The schist observed on site comprises well foliated, highly to moderately jointed semipsammitic schist.

The foliation is well developed and a walk over survey as part of the original design of the Coronation North WRS showed the foliation generally dipping between  $9^{\circ}$  and  $20^{\circ}$  to the northeast on the eastern side of the Coronation North WRS (Ref 1,2). Near the Trimbells WRS, there are two observations that show general dip of 14, and  $20^{\circ}$  to the North.

The schist is moderately jointed with joints generally steeply dipping between  $60^{\circ}$  and  $80^{\circ}$  to the south and southwest or north to northeast (Ref 1,2).

No strength testing has been undertaken on schist in the Trimbells WRS area. Elsewhere on the Macraes Operation the typical unconfined compressive strength of unweathered schist is between about 20MPa and 40MPa, normal to foliation. Schist typically has a lower unconfined compressive strength along the direction of foliation. This is reflective of the layered nature of the rock and the presence of weak, mica-rich laminations. It is anticipated that the schist strength in the underlying Trimbells WRS will be consistent with that found elsewhere in the Macraes Operation area.

#### 5.0 IN-SITU ROCK, AND WASTE ROCK CHARACTERISTICS

#### 5.1. In-situ Rock

A single set of shear strength parameters have been adopted for the *in-situ* rock. The design parameters have been taken as a lower bound of the rock strengths typically used for the pit design at Macraes Operation

Effective cohesionc' = 150 kPaEffective friction angle $\phi' = 45^{\circ}$ 

The foliations in the rock have not been considered in the stability on the basis that the dip and dip direction are unlikely to significantly influence the stability of the Trimbells WRS. The shear strength functions are summarised in Table 3.

#### 5.2. Waste Rock

Existing tailings and water storage embankments at the site have been successfully constructed using rock from mine waste (primarily slightly to highly weathered schist). Laboratory and field testing has been undertaken on these materials, both prior to construction commencing on-site, and during the operation of the mine, to enable design parameters to be established. These same parameters have been adopted for stability analyses for the Trimbells WRS.

Density ( $\gamma$ )  $\gamma = 21.5 \text{ kN/m}^3$ Shear strength ( $\tau$ )  $\tau = 1.29 \sigma_v'^{0.91}$ where  $\sigma_v'$  is the effective vertical overburden pressure (in kPa)

The strength relationship is based on triaxial testing of scalped rockfill samples at a density representative of placement in uncompacted state.

The shear strength functions are summarised in Table 3.

EGL is aware that waste rock tipping methods result in some degree of segregation of the coarse fraction from the fine fraction in the rockfill such that the upper layers can be supported by a matrix of silt, sand, and gravels which may be contractive under shear and susceptible to softening if pore pressures increase rapidly during earthquake shaking. Typically, this is not an issued for WRSs as they have low internal phreatic surface due to the coarse rock layers providing good drainage. However, because the pit lake will introduce seepage through the WRS, perched water tables are possible and consideration of saturation has been made. An undrained strength to effective stress ratio of 0.2 is selected for the assessment for the 1 in 2500 year Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP) earthquake and post-earthquake stability cases. Under 1 in 150 year AEP shaking softening is unlikely (See Section 7.0 for analysis cases). This strength ratio assumes a partial increase in pore pressure reducing the available strength from the peak drained strength under static loading. It is difficult to assess the strength of such material and therefore EGL has checked stability based on a practical worse case assumption to demonstrate that it is not of concern.

#### 6.0 SEISMIC HAZARD

#### 6.1. Background

The site is in an area of low historic seismicity. However, there are some nearby faults that are considered active with low slip rates, but they have the capability of generating large, rare earthquakes. They include the nearby Taieri Ridge and Macraes (Billys Ridge) Faults and the more distant Hyde and Waihemo faults. These faults all have annual mean slip rates of less than 0.5 mm/year but are considered capable of generating earthquakes with magnitudes in the range of about Mw 6.4 to 7.3. The Alpine Fault is the largest and most active fault in New Zealand. It is located about 200 km northwest of the site. It has an annual mean slip rate of 25 mm/year and is considered capable of earthquakes of up to about Mw 8.3.

#### 6.2. Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Analyses

Bradley Seismic Limited was engaged to undertake a probabilistic seismic hazard analysis for the Macraes Operation site in 2021 (Ref. 3). This seismic hazard study was an update of the Geological and Nuclear Sciences (GNS) probabilistic seismic hazard study undertaken in 2005 (Ref. 4). The National Seismic Hazard Model (NSHM) has subsequently been updated in 2022 (Ref 5) with several differences, including a revised earthquake rupture forecast (source) model. The 2022 NSHM spectra are used for this assessment.

Probabilistic estimates of seismic hazard in terms of acceleration response spectra (5% damping) are provided for return periods of 150 and 2,500 years in Table 1. These are derived from the National Seismic Hazard Model (NSHM) 2022 (Ref. 5) with an assumption of Vs30 condition of 1,000 m/s. This representative of a lower bound Vs30 value for schist rock at the Macraes Operation. Vs30 is defined as the average seismic shear-wave velocity from the surface to a depth of 30 m and is used to characterise the site response for the estimation of seismic loading.

## 7.0 DESIGN AND ANALYSIS

#### 7.1. General

EGL has carried out both preliminary static and seismic stability analyses to confirm that the Trimbells WRS will be stable in closure.

The analyses do not include the stability of potential shear failures into the existing Coronation Pit. The Coronation Pit Stability has been covered by Pells Sullivan Meynink (PSM) in their design for the pits (Ref. 6). The Trimbells WRS generally falls within the footprint and profile of the Coronation North WRS Design. PSM analyses conclude that the Coronation North WRS is a sufficient distance from the Coronation North Pit (about 190m) and extension to the Coronation Pit (about 80m) to not represent a significant stability risk.

The seepage and limit equilibrium analyses of the slope have been undertaken using the SLOPE/W program, Geostudio 2012 (Ref.7). The Spencer solution method (Ref.8) has been used for the analyses of circular potential failure surfaces. The Janbu

simplified method (Ref.12) has been used for the analyses of potential block/non-circular failure surfaces.

Seismic stability and shear deformation analyses have been undertaken for both 1 in 150 AEP and 1 in 2,500 AEP levels of ground motion. A 1 in 150 AEP level is comparable to an Operational Basis Earthquake (OBE) and a 1 in 2,500 AEP level is comparable to a Safety Evaluation Earthquake (SEE) typically used in the design of dams. Under an OBE the design intent is that there is only minor damage and under a SEE earthquake damage is permitted so long as the WRS remains stable during and post-earthquake.

A summary of the design criteria for the Trimbells WRS is provided in Table 2. Seepage and stability analyses have been undertaken for a representative Model Section which is a combination of both Section A-A' and Section B-B'. Section A-A' represents the anticipated flow path for seepage originating from the Coronation Pit Lake. Section B-B' represents the steepest section at the toe of the WRS. Therefore, for analysis they have been combined in to the Model Section. The location of Cross Sections A-A' and B-B' are shown in Figures 4-6 and the cross sections with the Model Section are shown in Figure 7.

## 7.2. Stability

Stability analyses have been undertaken to confirm the Trimbells WRS is likely to be stable in closure. Detailed design analyses will be required to confirm stability. The results are summarised in the following sections.

## 7.2.1. Pore Pressures

A seepage analysis has been undertaken assuming a Coronation Pit Lake longterm level of 660m RL. This level is controlled by the proposed spillway to the south and results in a head of approximately 23m above the low point in the Coronation pit wall. A rainfall infiltration rate of 20% is assumed for the WRS. The analysis is undertaken for the Model Section. Permeability contrasts expected in the WRS have been considered. The purpose of the seepage modelling is only to determine the likely pore pressure regime for stability analysis. The permeability values applied are summarised in Table 3 and the figures in Appendix A.

## 7.2.2. Shear Strength Parameters

The design static shear strength parameters for the in-situ rock, and backfill zones are discussed in Section 5. The parameters used in the stability analyses are also summarised in Table 3 and in the figures contained in Appendix A.

## 7.2.3. Results of Stability Analysis

The results from the static and seismic analyses are summarised in Table 4 with detailed results provided in Appendix A. The results of the stability assessments indicate:

- 1. Static limit equilibrium Factor of Safety (FoS) for the peak drained condition are all greater than the required 1.5.
- 2. Static limit equilibrium FoS for the post-earthquake softened condition are all greater than the required 1.2 for the downstream slope.

- 3. Estimated seismically induced displacements under a 1 in 150 year earthquake loading condition are unlikely.
- 4. Estimated seismically induced displacements under 1 in 2500 year earthquake loading are estimated to be less than 5 cm for the downstream slope.
- 5. Estimated seismically induced displacements are small and the postearthquake stability is adequate.

## 8.0 **RISKS AND MITIGATIONS**

The following risks and mitigations are outlined for the resource consent:

- The final slopes of the WRS have been checked to confirm they can achieve a long-term static FoS exceeding 1.5.
- An assessment of earthquake performance of the WRS has been undertaken and indicates satisfactory performance under both OBE and SEE levels of earthquake shaking.
- The WRS is located immediately adjacent to the pit. The effect of the pit on the stability of the WRS has been assessed by PSM. OceanaGold will review the pit stability as the pit is developed. Any instability of the pit affecting the WRS during operation could be mitigated by reprofiling and rehabilitating prior to closure.
- It is recommended that an amendment to the building consent should be applied for that reflects the revised arrangement of the Coronation North WRS and the addition of the toe buttress.
- The Trimbells WRS will potentially dam water in closure within the Coronation Pit to a depth of 23m. Technically, the WRS would be defined as a Large Dam under the New Zealand Building Act (2004) and may require building consent as a dam.
- EGL note that seepage may occur at the toe of the WRS. To avoid local slumping at the toe in closure it is recommended that a toe drain and buttress be considered. This could be may need to be 25m in height and 10m wide at the toe of the Trimbells WRS and would be constructed from selected waste rock material onsite. Further detailed assessment is recommended.

## 9.0 CONCLUSIONS

This report summarises the stability analysis, risks and mitigations for the closure of the Trimbells WRS. Analyses confirm that the Trimbells WRS meet design criteria for stability. This should be confirmed in detailed design for closure prior to construction of the toe buttress and would support application for an amendment to the Building Consent if considered necessary.

## **10.0 REFERENCES**

- 1. Engineering Geology Ltd (2016) 'Macraes Gold Project, Coronation North Waste Rock Stack Design Report (Rev. A)'.
- 2. Engineering Geology Ltd (2014) 'Macraes Gold Project, Coronation Waste Rock Stack, Design Report'.
- 3. Bradley Seismic Ltd (2021) 'Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Analysis for Macraes, New Zealand' Technical Report prepared for OceanaGold.
- 4. Litchfield N, McVerry G H, Smith W, Berryman K R and Stirling M (2005) 'Seismic Hazard Study for Tailings Embankments at Macraes Gold Project' GNS Client Report 2005/135'
- Gerstenberger MC, Bora S, Bradley BA, DiCaprio C, Van Dissen RJ, Atkinson GM, Chamberlain C, Christophersen A, Clark KJ, Coffey GL, et al. (2022) 'New Zealand National Seismic Hazard Model 2022 revision: model, hazard and process overview' Lower Hutt (NZ): GNS Science. 106 p. (GNS Science report; 2022/57). doi:10.21420/TB83-7X19.
- 6. Pells Sullivan Meynink (2016) 'Impact of the Coronation and Coronation North Waste Rock Stacks on Open Pits' letter Ref PSM71-204L, dated 12 April 2016.
- 7. Seequent Limited (2022) 'Stability Modelling with Geostudio'.
- 8. Spencer, E. (1967) 'A Method of Analyses of the Stability of Embankments Assuming Parallel Inter-Slice Forces' Geotechnique, Vol. 17, No. 1, pp 11-26
- 9. New Zealand Society of Large Dam (NZSOLD). (2015). 'New Zealand Dam Safety Guidelines', IPENZ.
- 10. Kiro, C. (2022). 'Building (Dam Safety) Regulations', May 2022.
- Bray, J.D. and Macedo, J. (2019) 'Procedure for Estimating Shear-Induced Seismic Slope Displacement for Shallow Crustal Earthquakes', DOI:10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0002143.
- Janbu, N. (1954) Applications of Composite Slip Surfaces for Stability Analysis. In Proceedings of the European Conference on the Stability of Earth Slopes, Stockholm, Vol. 3, pp. 39-43.

FIGURES

## List of Figures

Figure 1	Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Limited, Macraes Operation, Locality Plan
Figure 2	Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Limited, Macraes Operation, Existing Site Layout
Figure 3	Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Limited, Macraes Operation, Proposed Macraes Gold Project 4 Overview
Figure 4	Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Limited, Macraes Operation, Trimbells Waste Rock Stack, Original Ground Contour Plan
Figure 5	Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Limited, Macraes Operation, Trimbells Waste Rock Stack, Existing Ground Contour Plan
Figure 6	Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Limited, Macraes Operation, Trimbells Waste Rock Stack, Proposed Layout
Figure 7	Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Limited, Macraes Operation, Trimbells Waste Rock Stack, Cross Sections











Figure 3

EGL Geotechnical, Earthquake	OCEANA GOLD (NEW ZEALAND) LIMITED MACRAES OPERATION PROPOSED MACRAES PHASE 4 PROJECT	Figure No.: Date: Drawn: Scale:	9745–Fig 3 January 2024 R.M. N.T.S. (@A3)
and Dam Engineers	OVERVIEW PLAN	Filename:	9745-Fig3.dwg









PROPOSED TOE DRAIN AND BUTTRESS

-PROPOSED TOE DRAIN AND BUTTRESS

Figure 7

Drawing No. Date: Drawn: Scale: Filename:

9745-Fig 7 August 2024 E.T. 1:5000 (@A3) 9745-Fig7.dwg TABLES

## List of Tables

- Table 1Design Earthquake Response Spectra, NSHM 2022 ( $V_{s30} = 1,000 \text{ m/s}$ ) and<br/>amplificationTable 2Summary of Design Criteria
- Table 3
   Summary of Material Properties for Seepage and Stability Analyses
- Table 4
   Summary of Stability Analyses Model Section

Period,	Bedrock	k SA (g)	Mid Heig	ght SA (g)	Crest SA (g)		Spectral amplifica	ation base to crest*
<b>T</b> (s)	150 Yr (OBE)	2500 Yr (SEE)	150 Yr (OBE)	2500 Yr (SEE)	150 Yr (OBE)	2500 Yr (SEE)	150 Yr (OBE)	2500 Yr (SEE)
0.0	0.08	0.36	0.22	0.47	0.32	0.62	4.5	1.9
0.1	0.17	0.86	0.52	1.08	0.68	1.48	5.1	2.2
0.2	0.17	0.83	0.50	1.06	0.68	1.43	4.9	2.1
0.3	0.14	0.66	0.40	0.85	0.56	1.14	4.7	2.0
0.4	0.11	0.54	0.33	0.69	0.44	0.93	4.9	2.1
0.5	0.09	0.45	0.27	0.57	0.36	0.77	5.0	2.1
0.7	0.07	0.34	0.21	0.43	0.28	0.58	4.9	2.0
1.0	0.05	0.24	0.15	0.31	0.20	0.41	4.8	2.1
1.5	0.03	0.16	0.10	0.20	0.12	0.28	5.3	2.3
2.0	0.03	0.12	0.08	0.17	0.12	0.21	4.0	1.8
3.0	0.02	0.08	0.05	0.11	0.08	0.14	4.0	1.8

TABLE 1 Design Earthquake Response Spectra, NSHM 2022 (Vs30 = 1,000 m/s) and amplification

\*Allows for waste rock site and topographical type amplification

## TABLE 2 Summary of Design Criteria

Design Parameter	Design Criteria
Earthquake	
Operational Basis Earthquake	1 in 150 AEP
Safety Evaluation Earthquake	1 in 2,500 AEP
Stability	
Static	
Peak Drained (Long Term)	Limit Equilibrium FoS $\geq 1.5$
Residual Undrained (Short Term,	Limit Equilibrium FoS ≥ 1.2
e.g., post-earthquake, static	
inqueraction	
Seismic	
Operational Basis Earthquake	Minor deformations are acceptable, and the resulting
	damage is easily repairable
Safety Evaluation Earthquake	Some deformation and damage is permitted as long as
	the stability is ensured.

## TABLE 3 Summary of Material Properties for Seepage and Stability Analyses

Material	Density (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	Strength parameters		Permeability k (m/s)	
Waste Rock (Segregated cobbles and boulders)	21.5	τ=1.29σ <sup>,0.91</sup>		1 x10 <sup>-2</sup> m/s ky/kx =1	
Waste Rock (Well graded sandy gravelly rockfill with boulders and cobbles)	21.5	τ=1.29σ <sup>,0.91</sup>		1 x10 <sup>-4</sup> m/s ky/kx =1	
Waste Rock (Silty sandy	21.5	$\tau$ =1.29 $\sigma$ <sup>,0.91</sup> Static and 150yr EQ		1 x10 <sup>-6</sup> m/s ky/kx =1	
gravel)	21.3	τ=0.2σ' 2500yr EQ			
Insitu Rock	26	c'=150kPa	<b>\ \ \ '</b> = 45deg	5 x10 <sup>-9</sup> m/s ky/kx =0.1	

Loading	Condition	Failure	Failure Surface	Kh (g) <sup>(1)</sup>	FoS	Yield	Seismic	Figure
		Location				coefficient $k_y(g)^{(2)}$	Displacement (cm) <sup>(3)</sup>	
Static	Long Term - Peak Drained	Downstream	Circular Planar	-	2.16 2.21	-	-	A02 A03
	Short Term - Residual Undrained (Static Liquefaction / Post Earthquake)	Downstream	Circular Planar	-	1.95 1.60	_	-	A04 A05
	150 Year Return Period (OBE)	Downstream	1/3H	0.271	1.36 1.37	-	-	A06 A07
			2/3Н	0.2	1.50 1.46	-	-	A08 A09
с · ·			Н	0.176	1.43 1.42	-	-	A10 A11
Seismic			1/3H	-	-	0.44 0.44	<0.5 to 5cm	A12 A13
	2,500 Year Keturn Period	Downstream	2/3Н	-	-	0.37 0.38	<0.5 cm	A14 A15
	(SEE)		Н	-	-	0.28 0.14	<0.5 to 5cm	A16 A17

#### **TABLE 4 Summary of Stability Analyses – Model Section**

(1) Kh (g) - average acceleration within the potential failure mass for various return period earthquakes (for pseudostatic analysis only). Out of phase behaviour conservatively ignored.

(2)  $k_y$  (g) - yield acceleration within the potential failure mass for an FoS = 1.0, determined using pseudostatic approach.

(3) Estimated seismically induced permanent displacement during an earthquake. The range given here represents the the 84% and 16% probability of exceedance applying the methods set out in Bray and Macedo (2019) (Ref. 11). Results reported are rounded for simplification.

APPENDIX A

SEEPAGE AND STABILITY ANALYSES

#### **Appendix A List**

- Figure A01 Trimbells WRS Closure Seepage Analysis for Pore Pressure Profile
- Figure A02 Trimbells WRS Closure Stability Static Peak Circular
- Figure A03 Trimbells WRS Closure Stability Static Peak Planar
- Figure A04 Trimbells WRS Closure Stability Post EQ Softened Circular
- Figure A05 Trimbells WRS Closure Stability Post EQ Softened Planar
- Figure A06 Trimbells WRS Closure Stability EQ150yr Peak Circular 1/3<sup>rd</sup> H
- Figure A07 Trimbells WRS Closure Stability EQ150yr Peak Planar 1/3<sup>rd</sup> H
- Figure A08 Trimbells WRS Closure Stability EQ150yr Peak Circular 2/3<sup>rd</sup> H
- Figure A09 Trimbells WRS Closure Stability EQ150yr Peak Planar 2/3<sup>rd</sup> H
- Figure A10 Trimbells WRS Closure Stability EQ150yr Peak Circular H
- Figure A11 Trimbells WRS Closure Stability EQ150yr Peak Planar H
- Figure A12 Trimbells WRS Closure Stability Residual Peak Circular 1/3<sup>rd</sup> H
- Figure A13 Trimbells WRS Closure Stability Residual Peak Planar 1/3<sup>rd</sup> H
- Figure A14 Trimbells WRS Closure Stability Residual Peak Circular 2/3<sup>rd</sup> H
- Figure A15 Trimbells WRS Closure Stability Residual Peak Planar 2/3<sup>rd</sup> H
- Figure A16 Trimbells WRS Closure Stability Residual Peak Circular –H
- Figure A17 Trimbells WRS Closure Stability Residual Peak Planar –H



	Category	Kind	Parameters
Seepage Face	Hydraulic	Water Rate	0 m³/sec
20%	Hydraulic	Water Flux	5.5e-09 m/sec
RL 555m	Hydraulic	Water Total Head	555 m
RL 660m	Hydraulic	Water Total Head	660 m

		Color	Name	Slope Stability Material Model	Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	Strength Function
Analy	sis Settings:		Unweathered Schist	Spatial Mohr-Coulomb	26	
Kind: S Methor	SLOPE/W d: Spencer	-	Zone C - Rockfill - Seggregated Cobbles/Boulders 1E-2	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^(
Factor Horz S	of Safety: 2.164		Zone C - Rockfill - Silty Sandy Gravel 1E-6 (Peak)	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^(
			Zone C - Rockfill - Well Graded Sandy Gravelly Rockfill 1E-4	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma <sup>(</sup>
E	Existing Trimbells WRS			2.164		
00	1,100	1,200	1,300 1,4	-00 1,8	500	1,60
Stabi	ility - Static - Peak - Cir	cular				
E Geotechni and Dam E	Cal, Earthquake Engineers Engineering Geology Ltd J +64 9 486 2546 ⊠ info@egl.co.nz 9 Unit 7C, 331 Rosedale Road, Albany, A PO Box 301054, Albany, Auckland 075 ⊕ www.egl.co.nz	uckland 2	OCEANA GOL MACRAES OPERA	<b>.D (NEW ZEALAND)</b> TION - TRIMBELLS WRS ( ANALYSIS	) <b>LIMI</b> Closuf	TED RE

	Effective Cohesion (kPa)	Effective Friction Angle (°)	Phi-B (°)
	150	45	0
0.91			0
0.91			0
0.91			0





## Figure A02

	Color	Name	Slope Stability Material Model	Unit Weight	Strength Function
Analysis Settings:		Unweathered Schist	Spatial Mohr-Coulomb	26	
Kind: SLOPE/W Method: Janbu		Zone C - Rockfill - Seggregated Cobbles/Boulders 1E-2	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma <sup>^</sup> (
Factor of Safety: 2.210		Zone C - Rockfill - Silty Sandy Gravel 1E-6 (Peak)	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^(
		Zone C - Rockfill - Well Graded Sandy Gravelly Rockfill 1E-4	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma <sup>A</sup>
Existing Trimbells WRS			2.210		
00 1,100 1,200		1,300 1,4	.00 1,5	500	1,60
Stability - Static - Peak - Planar					
Engineering Geology Ltd ↓ +64 9 486 2546 info@egl.co.nz ↓ unit 7C, 331 Rosedale Road, Albany, Auckland PO Box 301054, Albany, Auckland 0752 ↓ www.egl.co.nz		OCEANA GOL MACRAES OPERA	<b>D (NEW ZEALAND)</b> TION - TRIMBELLS WRS ( ANALYSIS	) <b>LIMI</b> ' CLOSUF	TED RE

	Effective Cohesion (kPa)	Effective Friction Angle (°)	Phi-B (°)
	150	45	0
0.91			0
0.91			0
0.91			0





## Figure A03

			Color	Name		Slope Stability Material Model	Unit Weight (kN/m³)	Minimum Strength (kPa)	Tau/Si Ratio
Analy	/sis Settings:			Unweathered Schist		Spatial Mohr-Coulomb	26	(	
Kind: S Metho	SLOPE/W d: Spencer			Zone C - Rockfill - Seg	gregated Cobbles/Boulders 1E-2	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
Factor Horz S	r of Safety: 1.955 Seismic Coef.:			Zone C - Rockfill - Silty	Sandy Gravel 1E-6 (Peak)	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
				Zone C - Rockfill - Silty	Sandy Gravel 1E-6 (Residual)	SHANSEP	21.5	0	0.2
E	Existing Trimbells	WRS		Zone C - Rockfill - Well	Graded Sandy Gravelly Rockfill 1E-4	4 Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
00	1,100	1,200	_	1,300	1,400	1,500			1,60
Stab		oπened - Circular	-						
E Geotechi and Dam	GL nical, Earthquake Engineers  Comparison of the state of the stat	Road, Albany, Auckland y, Auckland 0752		OCE MACE	ANA GOLD (NEW ZE) RAES OPERATION - TRIMBEL ANALYSIS	LIAND) LIM	I <b>TED</b> RE		

igma	Strength Function	Effective Cohesion (kPa)	Effective Friction Angle (°)	Phi-B (°)
		150	45	0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0



# 1,700

## Figure A04

		Color	Name		Slope Stability Material Model	Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	Minimum Strength (kPa)	Tau/Si Ratio
Analysis Set	tings:		Unweathered Schist		Spatial Mohr-Coulomb	26		
Kind: SLOPE/ Method: Janbu	N		Zone C - Rockfill - Seggregated Cob	bles/Boulders 1E-2	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
Factor of Safet Horz Seismic C	y: 1.597 Coef.:		Zone C - Rockfill - Silty Sandy Grave	l 1E-6 (Peak)	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
			Zone C - Rockfill - Silty Sandy Grave	I 1E-6 (Residual)	SHANSEP	21.5	0	0.2
Existing	Trimbells WRS		Zone C - Rockfill - Well Graded Sand	dy Gravelly Rockfill 1E-4	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
)00 1,1	00 1,20	00 Planar	1,300 1,	,400	1,500			1,60
EGL Geotechnical, Earthquake and Dam Engineers	Log - Sontened -      Engineering Geology Ltd     +64 9 486 2546     info@egl.co.nz     Unit 7C, 331 Rosedale Road, Albany, Auckland     PO Box 301054, Albany, Auckland 0752     www.egl.co.nz		OCEANA GO MACRAES OPEI	<b>DLD (NEW ZEA</b> RATION - TRIMBELI ANALYSIS	LAND) LIMI	I <b>TED</b> RE		

igma	Strength Function	Effective Cohesion (kPa)	Effective Friction Angle (°)	Phi-B (°)
		150	45	0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0



# 1,700

## Figure A05



	Effective Cohesion (kPa)	Effective Friction Angle (°)	Phi-B (°)
	150	45	0
0.91			0
0.91			0
0.91			0

## Figure A06



	Effective Cohesion (kPa)	Effective Friction Angle (°)	Phi-B (°)
	150	45	0
0.91			0
0.91			0
0.91			0

## Figure A07



	Effective Cohesion (kPa)	Effective Friction Angle (°)	Phi-B (°)
	150	45	0
0.91			0
0.91			0
0.91			0

## Figure A08



	Effective Cohesion (kPa)	Effective Friction Angle (°)	Phi-B (°)
	150	45	0
0.91			0
0.91			0
0.91			0

## Figure A09



	Effective Cohesion (kPa)	Effective Friction Angle (°)	Phi-B (°)
	150	45	0
0.91			0
0.91			0
0.91			0

## Figure A10



	Effective Cohesion (kPa)	Effective Friction Angle (°)	Phi-B (°)
	150	45	0
0.91			0
0.91			0
0.91			0

## Figure A11

			Color	Name		Slope Stability Material Model	Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	Minimum Strength (kPa)	Tau/S Ratio
Anal	ysis Settings:			Unweathered Schist		Spatial Mohr Coulomb	26	(	
Kind: Metho	SLOPE/W od: Spencer	-		Zone C - Rockfill - Segg	gregated Cobbles/Boulders 1E-2	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
Facto Horz	or of Safety: 1.021 Seismic Coef.: 0.44	-		Zone C - Rockfill - Silty	Sandy Gravel 1E-6 (Peak)	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
		-		Zone C - Rockfill - Silty	Sandy Gravel 1E-6 (Residual)	SHANSEP	21.5	0	0.2
	Existing Trimbells	WRS		Zone C - Rockfill - Well	Graded Sandy Gravelly Rockfill 1E-4	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
00	1,100	1,200		1,300	1,400	1,500		-	1,60
Stat	oility - ky - Residua	al - Circular - 1/3rd H	I						
E Geotec and Da	Engineering Geology Ltd → +64 9 486 2546 → +64 9 486 2546 → info@egl.co.nz → Unit 7C, 331 Rosedale PO Box 301054, Alban ⊕ www.egl.co.nz	e Road, Albany, Auckland ny, Auckland 0752		OCE MACE	ANA GOLD (NEW ZEA RAES OPERATION - TRIMBELI ANALYSIS	LAND) LIM	I <b>TED</b> RE		

igma	Strength Function	Effective Cohesion (kPa)	Effective Friction Angle (°)	Phi-B (°)
		150	45	0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0



# 1,700

## Figure A12

			Color	Name		Slope Stability Material Model	Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	Minimum Strength (kPa)	Tau/S Ratio
Anal	ysis Settings:			Unweathered Schist		Spatial	26		
Kind: Meth	SLOPE/W od: Janbu			Zone C - Rockfill - Seg	gregated Cobbles/Boulders 1E-2	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
Facto Horz	or of Safety: 1.011 Seismic Coef.: 0.44			Zone C - Rockfill - Silty	Sandy Gravel 1E-6 (Peak)	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
				Zone C - Rockfill - Silty	Sandy Gravel 1E-6 (Residual)	SHANSEP	21.5	0	0.2
	Existing Trimbells	WRS		Zone C - Rockfill - Well	Graded Sandy Gravelly Rockfill 1E-4	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
00	1,100	1,200		1,300	1,400	1,500			1,60
Stal	bility - ky - Peak -	Planar - 1/3rd H							
Geote and Da	Engineering Geology Ltd → +64 9 486 2546 ⇒ info@egl.co.nz → Unit 7C, 331 Rosedale PO Box 301054, Alban ⊕ www.egl.co.nz	Road, Albany, Auckland y, Auckland 0752		<b>OCE</b> MACE	ANA GOLD (NEW ZEA RAES OPERATION - TRIMBEL ANALYSIS	ALAND) LIM LS WRS CLOSU	I <b>TED</b> RE		

igma	Strength Function	Effective Cohesion (kPa)	Effective Friction Angle (°)	Phi-B (°)
		150	45	0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0



# 1,700

## Figure A13

		Col	or Name		Slope Stability Material Model	Unit Weight (kN/m³)	Minimum Strength (kPa)	Tau/S Ratio
Analys	is Settings:		Unweathered	Schist	Spatial Mohr-Coulomb	26		
Kind: SL Method:	OPE/W Spencer		Zone C - Rock	fill - Seggregated Cobbles/Boulders 1E-2	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
Factor o Horz Se	ismic Coef.: 0.37		Zone C - Rock	fill - Silty Sandy Gravel 1E-6 (Peak)	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
			Zone C - Rock	fill - Silty Sandy Gravel 1E-6 (Residual)	SHANSEP	21.5	0	0.2
Ex	isting Trimbells V	NRS	Zone C - Rock	fill - Well Graded Sandy Gravelly Rockfill 1E-4	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
)00 Stabili	1,100	1,200	1,300	1,400	1,500			1,60
ECC Geotechnical, and Dam Engli	Earthquake neers PECAR - C Engineering Geology Ltd +64 9 486 2546 Di hfo@egl.co.nz Unit 7C, 331 Rosedale Ro PO Box 301054, Albany, A www.egl.co.nz	ad, Albany, Auckland Auckland 0752		OCEANA GOLD (NEW ZEA MACRAES OPERATION - TRIMBELI ANALYSIS	LAND) LIM	I <b>TED</b> RE		

igma	Strength Function	Effective Cohesion (kPa)	Effective Friction Angle (°)	Phi-B (°)
		150	45	0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0



# 1,700

## Figure A14

			Color	Name		Slope Stability Material Model	Unit Weight (kN/m³)	Minimum Strength (kPa)	Tau/S Ratio
Analy	ysis Settings:			Unweathered Schist		Spatial Mohr-Coulomb	26		
Kind: Metho	SLOPE/W od: Janbu			Zone C - Rockfill - Seg	gregated Cobbles/Boulders 1E-2	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
Facto Horz	r of Safety: 1.029 Seismic Coef.: 0.38			Zone C - Rockfill - Silty	Sandy Gravel 1E-6 (Peak)	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
				Zone C - Rockfill - Silty	Sandy Gravel 1E-6 (Residual)	SHANSEP	21.5	0	0.2
	Existing Trimbells	WRS		Zone C - Rockfill - Well	I Graded Sandy Gravelly Rockfill 1E-4	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
00	1,100	1,200		1,300	1,400	1,500			1,60
Stat	ollity - ky - Peak -	Planar - 2/3rd H							
E Geotec and Dar	Engineering Geology Ltd → t64 9 486 2546 ⇒ info@egl.co.nz ⊕ Www.egl.co.nz ⊕ www.egl.co.nz	e Road, Albany, Auckland ny, Auckland 0752		<b>OCE</b> MACI	EANA GOLD (NEW ZEA RAES OPERATION - TRIMBEL ANALYSIS	<b>LAND) LIM</b> LS WRS CLOSU	I <b>TED</b> RE		

igma	Strength Function	Effective Cohesion (kPa)	Effective Friction Angle (°)	Phi-B (°)
		150	45	0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0



# 1,700

# Figure A15

	Color	Name	Slope Stability Material Model	Unit Weight (kN/m³)	Minimum Strength (kPa)	Tau/S Ratio
Analysis Settings:		Unweathered Schist	Spatial Mohr-Coulomb	26		
Kind: SLOPE/W Method: Spencer		Zone C - Rockfill - Seggregated Cobbles/Boulders 1E-2	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
Factor of Safety: 1.008 Horz Seismic Coef.: 0.28		Zone C - Rockfill - Silty Sandy Gravel 1E-6 (Peak)	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
		Zone C - Rockfill - Silty Sandy Gravel 1E-6 (Residual)	SHANSEP	21.5	0	0.2
Existing Trimbells WRS		Zone C - Rockfill - Well Graded Sandy Gravelly Rockfill 1E-4	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
00 1,100	1,200	1,300 1,400	1,500		,	1,60
Stability - ky - Residual - Ci	rcular H					
EGGL Geotechnical, Earthquake and Dam Engineers EGGL Geotechnical, Earthquake and Dam Engineers Engineering Geology Ltd J +64 9 486 2546 ⊠ info@egl.co.nz V Unit 7C, 331 Rosedale Road, Albany, Auckland 075 ⊕ www.egl.co.nz	Auckland 52	OCEANA GOLD (NEW ZEA MACRAES OPERATION - TRIMBEL ANALYSIS	LAND) LIM	I <b>TED</b> RE		

igma	Strength Function	Effective Cohesion (kPa)	Effective Friction Angle (°)	Phi-B (°)
		150	45	0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0



# 1,700

# Figure A16

		Color	Name		Slope Stability Material Model	Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	Minimum Strength (kPa)	Tau/Si Ratio
Analysis	Settings:		Unweathered Schist		Spatial Mohr Coulomh	26		
Kind: SLOI Method: Ja	PE/W anbu		Zone C - Rockfill - Seggregated Cobb	es/Boulders 1E-2	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
Factor of S Horz Seisn	afety: 1.014 nic Coef.: 0.14		Zone C - Rockfill - Silty Sandy Gravel	1E-6 (Peak)	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
			Zone C - Rockfill - Silty Sandy Gravel	1E-6 (Residual)	SHANSEP	21.5	0	0.2
Exis	ting Trimbells WRS		Zone C - Rockfill - Well Graded Sandy	Gravelly Rockfill 1E-4	Shear/Normal Fn.	21.5		
00	1,100	1,200	1,300 1,4	400	1,500			l,60
Stability	- ky - Residual - Pla	anar - H						
EG Geotechnical, Eartho and Dam Engineers	Engineering Geology Ltd → +64 9 486 2546 info@egl.co.nz Quint Cr, 331 Rosedale Road, Albany, A PO Box 301054, Albany, Auckland 075 www.egl.co.nz	uckland 2	OCEANA GO MACRAES OPER	LD (NEW ZEA ATION - TRIMBELL ANALYSIS	LAND) LIMI S WRS CLOSU	RE		

igma	Strength Function	Effective Cohesion (kPa)	Effective Friction Angle (°)	Phi-B (°)
		150	45	0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0
	Function 2 - 1.29 x sigma^0.91			0



# 1,700

## Figure A17