Summary of Submissions

Application # RM24.184

Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Limited - RM24.184 - Various Consents - Macraes Phase 4 - Stage 3

Status	Number of Submissions
Neutral	3
Opposed	5
Support With Conditions	1
Total Number of Submissions:	**9**

To Be Heard	Number of Submissions
No	1
Yes	8
Total:	**9**

Submitter No	Submitter	Add1	Add2	Add3	Add4	Add5	Add6	Submissions Summary	Received Date	To Be Heard	Status
1	Richard Geels		[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	Opposes the application. Concerned about noise, air, water, and light pollution. Concerned particularly the extension of Frasers Pit and any other activities that are close to private dwellings. Wants OGL to consider new locations for tailings that are further from private dwellings, as these cause noise, dust, and light pollution.	01/04/2025	Yes	Opposed
2	Dean Parata and Trevor Hay							Opposes the application. Claims audits have shown breaches of RMA and QE2 Covenants. Concerned about lack of statutory obligations to clean up the mine, lack of mining inspectors, apparent inconsistencies in arsenic concentrations in water, and loss of lizard populations and habitat.	01/05/2025	Yes	Opposed
3	Forest and Bird							Opposes the application. Considers that the proposal will result in significant adverse effects on indigenous vegetation, fauna habitat, wetlands, and freshwater ecosystems, and will be inconsistent with various relevant statutory documents.	01/05/2025	Yes	Opposed
4	Department of Conservation							Appears to be a neutral submission. Consider that there is potential for significant adverse effects on the environment, in particular terrestrial indigenous fauna, flora, habitats, and freshwater ecosystems and species. DoC seek that appropriate conditions are enforced, should the consents be granted.	01/05/2025	Yes	Neutral

5	Otago Fish and Game Council		[redacted]		[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	Neutral submission. F&G seek: 1. appropriate water quality compliance limits. 2. certainty about mitigation measures. 3. financial mechanism to ensure all rehabilitation and mitigation is funded and implemented. 4. application of precautionary principle due to significant uncertainty. 5. decision must support achievement of RPS visions for each FMU.	01/05/2025	No	Neutral
6	Fire and Emergency New Zealand							Neutral submission. Fire and Emergency seek provision of an adequate water supply and suitable access for emergency vehicles. These should be reflected in consent conditions.	01/05/2025	Yes	Neutral
7	Kāti Huirapa Rūnaka ki Puketeraki and Te Rūnanga o Moeraki and Te Rūnaka o Ōtākou							Opposing submission. States that the application does not adequately address the magnitude, severity, and ongoing impact of the effects arising from the application, and that the conclusions reached do not take into account an assessment of the cultural impacts of the proposal that has been endorsed by Ka Runaka.	01/05/2025	Yes	Opposed
8	Neil Roy	[redacted]		[redacted]	[redacted]		[redacted]	Supportive submission, subject to appropriate conditions being imposed. Mr Roy expresses concerns about inefficient land restoration, incorrect naming of places and roads, post-mining roads at Coronation, poor compliance with previous consent conditions, removal of wind/dust gauges, lighting at night, rehabilitation of waste rock stacks will not support livestock as anticipated by previous consent applications,	08/05/2025	Yes	Support With Conditions

								Overall, in support of the proposal but has concerns about previous compliance record. Suggests that a financial bond could cover costs of rehabilitation and compliance with consent conditions.			