

ADDENDUM TO EVIDENCE BY GLEN PATTERSON

Notes relating to comments made in respect of evidence in support given prior to my submission in no particular order:

I would be extremely concerned at anyone staying at the wharf thinking they could use a boat either in the river or going out to sea without good local knowledge. The bar is one of the most dangerous in the country.

There is no safe area to swim from the pontoon as suggested by the applicants, Ms Barkmand and Mr Marrable. This is a working wharf area and is the main channel used by boats and jet skis to go to sea. As a first responder and fisherman there is no area around any of the wharves let alone this one for swimming - especially by a disabled person.

Comments were made that this wharf is protected from the weather. That is misleading as the entire wharf area is subject to all weather conditions.

As a current first responder in the area I would always prefer to use a stable environment such as the boat ramp or Knarston Park for rescues - the wharves are surge affected and therefore like the pontoon would be an unstable environment.

I intend to be part of the group that is putting together a plan for an undated first responders area to include an IRB and would think that it will most likely be located at Knarston Park for ease of access.

I am concerned about reverse sensitivity where residential would conflict with commercial marine activity. Noise, smell etc.

A local builder is always employed when repairs of any sort are required for the commercial wharves and they are well maintained